







•

- BBQ AND COUNTERTOP - PLANTER,<sup>1</sup>TYP.

RAISED PLANTER, TYP.



## Site

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

Pedestrian Unit Paver Pattern

![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

Pedestrian Accent Paving Color

![](_page_4_Picture_5.jpeg)

Open Wire Omega Fence near Public Rights of Way for Pedestrian and Vehicular Visibility-6' Height

![](_page_4_Picture_7.jpeg)

Existing Concrete Foundation Wall (Along Property Line Near Large Coast Live Oak) to Remain

![](_page_4_Picture_9.jpeg)

Fence - 7' Height

![](_page_4_Picture_11.jpeg)

Welle Circular Bike Rack-Silver

![](_page_4_Picture_13.jpeg)

Bollard Light

![](_page_4_Picture_15.jpeg)

Bollard Light

## **Roof Deck**

![](_page_4_Picture_18.jpeg)

Light and Raised Planter on Roof Deck

![](_page_4_Picture_20.jpeg)

Light and Planter on Roof Deck

![](_page_4_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_24.jpeg)

Horizontal Wood Slat Screen

Wood Deck on Grade

![](_page_4_Picture_28.jpeg)

Precast Planter on Decorative Gravel over Existing Asphalt

![](_page_4_Picture_30.jpeg)

Precast Planter on Decorative Gravel over Existing Asphalt

![](_page_4_Picture_32.jpeg)

Raised Concrete Treatment Planter

Low Bowl Planter on Roof Deck Low Bowl Planter on Roof Deck

Unit Paving on Pedestals and Wood Deck

## SMITH DEVELOPMENT

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

> GUZZARDO PARTNERSHIP Landscape Architects •Land Planners 181 Greenwich Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T 415 433 4672 F 415 433 5003

## ISSUES AND REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	D
1	12/01/21	F
2	05/13/22	F
3	08/15/22	F

DESCRIPTION PLANNING SUBMITTAL PLANNING RESUBMITTAL 3 08/15/22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL

PROJECT NUMBER 21003

SHEET TITLE LANDSCAPE IMAGERY

SCALE

SHEET NUMBER

L 2.1

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

## PLANT PALETTE

TREES- all 3	6" box						
KEY	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	COMMENTS/SPACING	WUCOLS	NOTES	
PLA ACE	3	Platanus acerifolia "Columbia"	Columbia London Plane Tree	Standard	Medium	Regionally Appropriate	
PRU ILI	2	Prunus ilicifolia ssp. lyonii	Catalina Cherry	Standard	Low	California Native	7
SHRUBS, GF	ROUNDCO	/ERS AND GRASSESall 5 gallon size		· · · ·			SUITABLE FO
KEY	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	COMMENTS/SPACING	WUCOLS	CALIFORNIA NATIVE	TRREATMEN
AGV	24	Anigozanthos 'Gold Velvet'	Gold Kangaroo Paw	24" o.c.	Low	Regionally Appropriate	
APM	22	Arctostaphylos 'Emerald Carpet'	Emerald Carpet Manzanita	36" o.c.	Low	California Native	
CEO	10	Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	48" o.c.	Medium	California Native	
СНО	50	Chondropetalum tectorum	Small Cape Rush	36" o.c.	Low	Regionally Appropriate	YES
FES	150	Festuca californica	California Fescue	24" o.c.	Low	California Native	
HET	15	Heteromeles arbutifolia	Toyon	48" o.c.	Low	California Native	
IRD	5	Iris douglasiana	Pacific Coast Iris	12" o.c.	Low	California Native	YES
JUP	104	Juncus patens	Blue Rush	24" o.c.	High	California Native	YES
MCA	17	Myrica californica	California Wax Myrtle	36" o.c.	Medium	California Native	
MUH	57	Muhlenbergia rigens	Deer Grass	24" o.c.	Low	California Native	
RCA	4	Rhamnus californica 'San Bruno'	San Bruno Coffeeberry	48" o.c.	Low	California Native	
RSA	36	Ribes sanguineum	Red Flowering Currant	30" o.c.	Low	California Native	
SAL	12	Salvia clevelandii 'Winfred Gillman'	Cleveland Sage	24" o.c.	Low	California Native	
	•	•	· · · ·				
ACCENT SHR	RUBS, GRASS	SES AND PERENNIALS- all one gallon size		· ·			
СК	14	Calamagrostis x a. 'Karl Foerster'	Feather Reed Grass	36" o.c.	Medium	CALIFORNIA NATIVE	
LL	50	Lomandra longifolia 'Lime Tuff'	Dwarf Mat Rush	24" o.c.	Low	Regionally Appropriate	
ST	50	Stipa arundinacea	New Zealand Wind Grass	18" o.c.	Low	Regionally Appropriate	
SB	17.	Sisyrinchium bellum	Blue-eyed grass	24" o.c.	VeryLow	CALIFORNIA NATIVE	YES
SM	30	Senecio madraliscae	Blue Chalk Sticks	24" o.c.	VeryLow	CALIFORNIA NATIVE	
GROUNDCOV	'ERS		•	· ·			
МН	153	Mahonia repens	Creeping Oregon Grape	24" o.c.	Low	California Native	

Notes:

е

Plants with low WUCOLS ratings are drought tolerant and regionally appropriate species. Plants noted are Native to California. Other plants, not in either of these
two categories are well adapted to Palo Alto. Habitat forming column refers to food value of flowers or fruit for small animals, birds, butterflies and other insects in
addition to shelter for some insects.

Do not use chemical fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides or commercial soil amendment. Use Organic Materials Review Institute (OMRI) materials and compost. Refer
to the Bay-Friendly Landscape Guidelines: http://www.stopwaste.org/resource/brochures/bay-friendly-landscape-guidelinessustainable-practices-landscape-professional for guidance

• Avoid compacting soil in areas that will be unpaved. All planting areas to receive 3" layer of bark mulch.

The total quantity of plants proposed is 815. Of these plants, 658 are native which totals 81% Native plantings.

## WATER EFFICIENT LANDSCAPE WORKSHEET

This worksheet is filled out by the project applicant and it is a required element of the Landscape Documentation Package.

Reference Evapotrans	spiration (ET	o) 43.1					
Hydrozone # /Planting Description <sup>a</sup>	Plant Factor (PF)	Irrigation Method <sup>b</sup>	Irrigation Efficiency (IE) <sup>c</sup>	ETAF (PF/IE)	Landscape Area (sq. ft.)	ETAF x Area	Estimated Total Water Use (ETWU) <sup>e</sup>
Regular Landscape A	reas		(/				()
Low Water-Use Plants	0.3	Drip	0.81	0.37	3,402	1,259	33,636
Moderate Water- Use Plants	0.5	Drip	0.81	0.62	338	210	5,600
					(A)	(B)	
				Totals	3,740	1,468	39,236
Special Landscape Ar	eas					•	
					(C)	(D)	
				Totals	0	0	
						ETWU Total	39,236
			Maximum Alle	owed Water All	lowance (MAW	A)e	44,973
a Hydrozone #/Planting Des	cription	ьlrrig	ation Method	c Irrigation Effi	iciency		
E.g		overhe	ead spray	0.75 for spray	head		
1.) front lawn		or arıp	1	0.81 for arip			
<ul><li>2.) IOW water use plantings</li><li>3.) medium water use plantin</li></ul>	a						
	9						
d ETWU (Annual Gallons Ro where 0.62 is a conversion fa	equired) = Eto x actor that converts	0.62 x ETAF x A s acre- inches pe	<b>A<i>rea</i></b> er acre per year to	gallons per squar	re foot per year.		
e <b>MAWA (Annual Gallons A</b> where 0.62 is a conversion fa in square feet, SLA is the tota	<i>llowed) = (Eto) (</i> actor that converts al special landsca	0.62) [ (ETAF x s acre-inches pe ape area in squar	<i>LA)</i> + <i>((1-ETAF) x</i> r acre per year to re feet, and ETAF	<sup>r</sup> SLA)] gallons per square is .55 for resident	e foot per year, LA ial areas and 0.45	t is the total landso	cape area al areas.
ETAF Calculations		Average ET	AF for Regula	ar Landscape	Areas must k	be 0.55 or belo	w
Regular Landscape Are	eas	for resident	tial areas, and	0.45 or below	w for non-resi	dential areas.	
Total ETAF x Area (B)	1,46	8					
Total Area (A)	3.74	0					
	- ,						

Average ETAF		0.40	
All Landscape Area	as		
Total ETAF x Area	(B+D)	1,468	
Total Area	(A+C)	3,740	
Sitewide ETAF (B+	·D) ÷ (A+C)	0.40	

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

Festuca californica

![](_page_6_Picture_13.jpeg)

Lomandra 'Lime Turf'

![](_page_6_Picture_15.jpeg)

Rhamnus c. 'Mound San Bruno'

![](_page_6_Picture_17.jpeg)

Calamagrostis acutiflora 'Stricta'

![](_page_6_Picture_19.jpeg)

Sisyrinchium bellum

Helictotrichon sempervirens

![](_page_6_Picture_23.jpeg)

Muhlenbergia rigens

![](_page_6_Picture_25.jpeg)

Salvia c. 'Winifred Gilman'

![](_page_6_Picture_27.jpeg)

Arctostaphylos 'Emerald Carpet'

![](_page_6_Picture_29.jpeg)

Mahonia repens

![](_page_6_Picture_31.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_33.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_35.jpeg)

Stipa arundinacea

![](_page_6_Picture_37.jpeg)

Heteromeles arbutifolia

Myrica californica

Anigozanthos 'Gold Velvet"

![](_page_6_Picture_43.jpeg)

Sysyrinchium angustifolium

## SMITH DEVELOPMENT

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

> GUZZARDO PARTNERSHIP Landscape Architects •Land Planners 181 Greenwich Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T 415 433 4672 F 415 433 5003

### ISSUES AND REVISIONS

NO.	DATE
1	12/01/21
2	05/13/22

DESCRIPTION
PLANNING SUBMITTAL

PLANNING RESUBMITTAL 3 08/15/22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL

PROJECT NUMBER 21003

SHEET TITLE PLANTING PALETTE & IMAGERY & WELO CALCULATIONS

SCALE

SHEET NUMBER

L 3.2

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

k ter	Canopy Spread (ft.)	Numbers of Replacement Trees Needed (24"Box Size)	Numbers of Alternative Trees Needed (36" Box Size)	Numbers of Alternative Trees Needed (48" Box Size)				
	10	3	2					
	20	3	2		Total Numbers		1	
	35	4		2	of Replacement			
	10	3	2		Trees Needed	46		
	15	3	2		(24"Box Size)			
	20	3	2		of Alternative			
	15	3	2		Trees Needed		Total Proposed	
	20	3	2		for	28	Tree	7
	20	3	2		Replacement		(So Box free)	
	20	3	2		(36" Box Size)			
	10	3	2		Total Numbers			
	10	3	2		of Alternative	2	Total Proposed	
	10	3	2		for		Tree	0
	10	3	2		Replacement		(48" Box Tree)	
	10	3	2		(48" Box Size)			

## Tre

Fenced enclosures around trees are essential to p preserving roots and soil conditions in an intact and non-c unless otherwise approved. An approved tr For detailed information on Palo Alto's regulated

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

			SMITH DEVELOPMENT
C: Ce Protection Make sure you motect them by keeping the ompacted state, and identi ce protection report must at trees and protection durit with Works Specifications Section 31 mat (TTM) (www.cityofpaloalto.org/trees/) the dameter of the tree or 10-feet, whichever is greater. Make sure you tree preservation report (TPR) prepared by the applicant's project arborist incation Type Lis-installed correctly to the plane and Trees. Issuance requires applicant's project arborist incation Type Lis-installed correctly to the plane and Tree Preservation Report	ity of Palo Alto         on - It's Part of the Pla         ur crews and subs do the job right!         e foliage canopy and branching structure clear from cativity the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) in which no set to added to this sheet when project activity occurs and development, review the City Tree Technical Materna development, review the City Tree Technical Materna         Table 2-2 Palo Alto Tree Technical Manual         Machine Inspection of Protective Tree Fencing. The Street Tree Verification Form shall be signed by the City Arborist. For other Protected Trees, the project arboris shall provide a written statement with a photograph verifying that he has conducted a field inspection of the trees and that the protective tree fencing is in place prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit. (see Verification of Tree Protection 1.39).         2. □ Pre-Construction Meeting. Prior to commencement of construction, the protective tree force is the protection of Tree Protection 1.39.	an! contact by equipment, materials and activities, bil disturbance is permitted and activities are restricted, <b>urs within the TPZ of a regulated tree.</b> anual (TTM) found at www.cityofpaloalto.org/trees/. <b>WARNING</b> <b>Tree Protection Zone</b> This fencing shall not be removed without City Arborist approval (650-496-5953) Removal without permission is	660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301
Any inadvertant sidewalk or curk replacement or trenching requires approval requires approval or built protecting all other land in TPZ.         Any inadvertant sidewalk or curk replacement or trenching requires approval or built protecting all other land in TPZ.         Protection         h approval of Public Works Operations (STV) udd.         proved by:         Dave Dockter         PE No.         Date 2006         Model Dock ter         PE No.         Date 2006         No.         BOPENDIX J          Mage canopy and branching structure clear mer (PZ) in which no soil disturbance is	applicant or contractor shall conduct a pre-construction meeting to discuss tree protection with the job site superintendent, grading equipment operators, project arborist, City Arborist, and, if a city maintained irrigation system exists, the Parks Manager (Contact 650-496-6962).         3. □ Inspection of Rough Grading. The project arborist shall perform an inspection during the course of rough grading adjacent to the TPZ to ensure trees will not be injured by compaction, cut or fill, drains and special paving. The contractor shall provide the project arborist at least 48 hours advance notice of such activity.         4. □ Monthly Inspections. The project arborist shall perform a monthly activity inspection to monitor and advise for conditions and tree health. The City Arborist shall be in receipt of the activity report during the first week of each calendar month or, immediately if there are <i>any revisions</i> to the approved plans or protection 1.17).         5. □ Special activity within the Tree Protection Zone. Work in this area (TPZ - described in #77 below) requires the direct onsite supervision of the project arborist (see Trenching, Excavation and Equipment, TTM Section 2.20 C).         6. □ Landscape Architect Inspection. For discretionary development projects, prior to temporary or final occupancy the applicant or contractor shall arrange for the Landscape Architect to perform an on site inspection of all plant stock, quality of the final inspection, unless otherwise approved.         7. □ Other (please describe)         Verification of Landscape Architect approval prior to scheduling the final inspection, unless otherwise approved.         Arphaent Inspection Congeough Architect mediate and notify applicant.         Patter wise Sp	Subject to a \$500 fine per day*         "Palo Alto Municipal Code Section 8.10.110         Ury of Palo Alto Tree Protection Instructions are located at <u>Intri/Ivvvv.citr palo-altoca ustrees/technical-manual.html</u>	ISUES AND REVISIONS NO. DATE DESCRIPTION 1 12/01/21 PLANNING SUBMITTAL 2 05/13/22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL 3 08/15/22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL
base of the free with a radius of ten-times closed by fencing. org/trees/ mrg/trees/forms) fthe tree(s) to be protected throughout the located on paving or concrete that will not grade level concrete base, if approved by o, only the planting strip and yard side of encing in order to keep the sidewalk and for ange plastic fencing from the ground to securely (slats shall not be allowed to dig hall be used to avoid damaging any 1 by the City Arborist. we protected with six (6') foot high chain nized iron posts, driven into the ground to shall extend to the outer branching, unless minently displayed on each fence at 20-foot clearly state in half inch tall letters: wed and is subject to a fine according to g or construction begins and remain in ally allowed in the TPZ. Work or soil City Arborist (in the case of work around 5 Street Work Permit from Public Works. eeted from impact of any kind. tt plus penalty of any publicly owned trees o Section 8.04.070 of the Palo Alto be retained: t shall be permitted within the TPZ: all not be altered. intained as necessary to ensure survival.	ADDRESS/LOCATION OF STREET   TREES TO BE PROTECTED:   APPLICANT'S NAME:   APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:   APPLICANT'S TELEPHONE   & FAX NUMBERS:   This section to be filled out by City Tree Staft   1. The Street Trees at the above address(es) are adequately protected. The type of protection used is:   **If NO, go to #2 below     Inspected by:   Date of Inspection:   Subsequent Inspection   Street trees at above address were found to be adequately protected:   * If NO, indicate in "Notes" below the disposition of case.   Inspected by:   Date of Inspection:   Subsequent Inspection   YES   No*   * If NO, indicate in "Notes" below the disposition of case.   Inspected by:   Date of Inspection:   Street trees at above address were found to be adequately if NO, indicate in "Notes" below the disposition of case.   Inspected by:   Date of Inspection:		PROJECT DUMBER           2003           SEE TITLE           DESTRICTION PLANS AS TO SERVICE           SEE TITLE
Revised 08/06 ed on this sheet (adding as b/forms	Return approved sheet to Applicant for demolition or building permit issuance. SPWDIOPS/Tree/DS/SILTreeProtect Strate Seneeded) Special Tree City of Palo Alto	Protection Instruction Sheet T-1	L 4.2

Fenced enclosures around trees are essential to protect them by keeping the foliage canopy and branching structure clear from contact by equipment, materials and activities, preserving roots and soil conditions in an intact and non-compacted state, and identifying the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) in which no soil disturbance is permitted and activities are restricted, unless otherwise approved. An appoved tree protection report must be added to this sheet when project activity occurs within the TPZ of a regulated tree. For detailed information on Palo Alto's regulated trees and protection during development, review the City Tree Technical Manual (TTM) found at www.cityofpaloalto.org/trees/.

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **TREE PROTECTION REPORT**

## 660 UNIVERSITY AVENUE

PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA (511 BYRON ST., 660 & 680 UNIVERSITY AVE.)

### Submitted to:

Smith Development 682 Villa Street, Suite G Mountain View, CA 94041

Prepared by:

David L. Babby Registered Consulting Arborist<sup>®</sup> #399 Board-Certified Master Arborist<sup>®</sup> #WE-4001B

November 19, 2021

p.o. box 25295, san mateo, california 94402 • email: arborresources@comcast.net office: 650.654.3351 • cell: 650.274.3656 • licensed contractor #796763

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist<sup>®</sup>

November 19, 2021

Page 5 of 16

### 3.0 REGULATED TREES

The PAMC regulates specific types of trees on public and private property for the purpose of avoiding their removal or disfigurement without first being reviewed and permitted by the CPA. Three categories within the status of regulated trees include protected trees (PAMC 8.10), street trees (PAMC 8.04.020) and designated trees. Additional Information regarding regulated trees can be viewed on page xiii of the City's Tree Technical Manual.

One tree, #10, is defined as a protected tree due to being a coast live oak with a trunk diameter of 50 inches (the threshold for coast live oaks is having a trunk diameters of  $\geq$ 11.5 inches at 54 inches above grade).

Trees #1 thru 9 are situated within the public right-of-way and defined as street trees.

The designated tree category may apply to a select number of existing trees planted on a commercial or planned development site, for either designated tree landscape or to mitigate tree removal. This category can be enacted by the CPA and applied to any specific tree associated with a proposed development. In the event the City qualifies a specific tree to this category, it may become provisioned to be saved and protected.

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist®

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Smith Development is planning to construct a mixed-use, four-story building and tw of underground parking on three properties<sup>1</sup> aligning the southeast side of U Avenue, between Middlefield Road and Byron Street; the project is titled 660 U Avenue. Two existing buildings and a surface parking lot currently occupy the site be demolished. As part of their planning submittal, Smith Development has retain prepare this Tree Protection Report, and specific tasks assigned to execute are as following

- Visit the site on 1/16/21 and 11/9/21 to identify 25 trees which have trunks within the subject property, along the street frontages up to 30 feet from the boundaries, and on adjoining properties within close proximity to the bounda
- · Determine each tree's trunk diameter pursuant to the City's Tree Technical and the Guide for Plant Appraisal, 10th Edition;3 all diameters represent in are rounded to the nearest whole number. Estimate each tree's height and average canopy spread (rounded to the neares
- · Ascertain each tree's health, structural integrity and form, and assign at condition rating (e.g. good, fair, poor or dead).
- Rate each tree's suitability for preservation (e.g. high, moderate or low).
- Obtain photographs; see Exhibit C. Assign numbers in a sequential pattern from #1 thru 25, and plot on the site
- Exhibit B (base map is a copy of the Topographic & Boundary Survey prep BKF and dated 2/17/21). · Affix round metal tags with corresponding, engraved numbers onto the t
- onsite and street trees (i.e. all but #10). • Identify which are defined by the PAMC as protected and/or street trees.
- Review the preliminary architectural plans, dated 9/7/21, to ascertain the tree disposition and potential impacts.
- · Provide preliminary design guidelines and protection measures to help mitigate potential impacts to retained trees, as well as conform with City requi · Prepare a written report presenting the above information, and submit via en
- PDF document.

The three property addresses include 511 Byron Street, 660 and 680 University Avenue. oalto.org/civica/filebank/blobdload.asp?BlobID=6430 Available for viewing at www.cityofpal Authored by the Council of Tree & Landscape Appraisers, and published by the International Arboriculture (ISA). 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto

Smith Development

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist<sup>®</sup>

### 4.0 SUITABILITY FOR TREE PRESERVATION

Each tree has been assigned either a high, moderate or low suitability for pres rating as a means to cumulatively measure its health, structural integrity, anticip span, remaining life expectancy, location, size, particular species, tolerance to cons impacts, growing space, and safety to property and persons within striking Descriptions of these ratings are presented below, and the high category comprise (4%), the moderate category 15 (or 60%), and the low category 10 (or 16%).

### High: Applies to #10.

This coast live oak appears healthy and structurally stable; has no obvious, sig health issues or structural defects; presents a good potential for contributing long the site; and requires only periodic or regular care and monitoring to maintain its and structural integrity.

Moderate: Applies to #1-3, 7, 8, 11 and 17-25.

These trees contribute to the site, but at levels less than those assigned a high su might have health and/or structural issues which may or may not be reasonably a and properly mitigated; and frequent care is typically required for their remaining l

Low: Applies to #4-6, 9, 10, and 12-16.

660 University Avenue, Palo Alto

Smith Developmen

These trees have significant health and/or structural issues expected to worsen reof tree care measures employed (i.e. beyond likely recovery). As a general guidel should be removed regardless of future site improvements, and any which are require frequent monitoring and care throughout their remaining lifespans to minin to any persons or property within striking distance.

660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development

**–** 

![](_page_10_Picture_44.jpeg)

All other tree-related reports shall be added to the space provided on this sheet (adding as needed) Include this sheet(s) on Project Sheet Index or Legend Page. A copy of T-1 can be downloaded at www.cityofpaloalto.org/arb/forms

## City of Palo Alto Tree Protection - It's Part of the Plan!

## Make sure your crews and subs do the job right!

November 19, 2021	David L. Babby, Registered Consulting	Arborist <sup>®</sup>	Л	lovember 19, 2021	David	L. Babby, Registered Consu
	2	.0 TREE DESCRIPTION			Nine	(9) trees, #1 thru 9, ha ated by the PAMC as s
ing and two levels	Twenty-five (25) trees of 11 va	arious species were inventorio	ed for this rep	port. They are	Unive	rsity Avenue, and #7
ide of University	sequentially numbered as 1 thru	1 25, and the table below ide	ntifies their co	ommon names,	street	frontage of the project
ed 660 University	assigned numbers, counts and ov	verall percentages.			southe	eastern property (and ir
by the site and will					Tree	#10 is located offsite in
has retained me to are as follows:	Table 1 - Tree Count and Com	position			have t	runks situated within th
ave trunks located	NAME	TREE NUMBER(S)	COUNT	% OF TOTAL	Two	(2) trees, #9 and 19, ar
rom the property	Chinese pistache	8	1	4%	onto t	he map in Exhibit B, b
contract Manual <sup>2</sup>	Coast live ook	10	-	49/	should	d not be construed as be
present inches and	Coast live oak	10	1	4 70		
	Crape myrtle	19 thru 24	6	24%	Trees	#1-9 and 11-25 are co
the nearest fifth).	European hackberry	1	1	4%	#10, c	coast live oak, is nativ
assign an overall	Glossy privet	4 & 5	2	8%	ins p	lojeen.
ow).	London plane tree	2.3&6	3	12%	Tree	#10 (coast live oak)
	01				Tree	#10 is the one invent
on the site map in	Olive tree	11	1	4%	Sectio	on 3.0 in this report for
urvey prepared by	Purple Robe locust	17 & 18	2	8%	inches	s above soil grade, is
into the trunks of	Raywood ash	12 thru 16	5	20%	spread	ling nearly 90 feet acro
sho ule duiks of	Southern magnolia	7&9	2	8%	As p	art of the initial site
rees.	You pipe	25	1	49/	evalu	ate #10's condition, as
rtain the potential	rew pine	25	- <u>.</u>	470	root a	zone and canopy whi
to help avoid or City requirements.		Total	25	100%	integr photo recom	ity and form. A summa s obtained then can be mended setbacks and r
D=6436. ternational Society of	trees' assigned numbers and a Exhibit B, and photographs are p	pproximate locations can be presented in Exhibit C.	viewed on th	Base 2 of 16	<sup>4</sup> The	diameter represents an appr iversity Avenue, Palo Alto Development
Page 1 of 16	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development			r age 2 0/10	660 Ur Smith 1	
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup>		November 19, 2021	660 Ur Smith I David	L. Babby, Registered Const
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> REVIEW OF POTENTIAL	IMPACTS	November 19, 2021	660 Ur Smith I David equip	L. Babby, Registered Consument (if applicable).
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con <b>5.0</b>	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> REVIEW OF POTENTIAL	IMPACTS	November 19, 2021	660 Ur Smith I David equip cleara branc	L. Babby, Registered Consument (if applicable). ince include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14-
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development <u>David L. Babby, Registered Con</u> <b>5.0</b> Implementing the proposed	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2:	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to	660 Ur Smith I equip cleara branc angle	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for presery	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation.	<i>bao Ur</i> <i>Smith I</i> equip cleara branc angle In tot	L. Babby, Registered Consument (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life nee to construction striking distance.	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation.	<i>David</i> <i>David</i> equip cleara branc angle In tot and th	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation.	<i>David</i> <i>David</i> equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The	L. Babby, Registered Consument (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo the tree's form would rear 20-foot setback from
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life nee to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %).	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tre overall condition and assig	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil tees #4 thru 6 are planned for a ned a low suitability for prese	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation.	<i>David</i> <i>David</i> equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %).	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ees #4 thru 6 are planned for a ned a low suitability for prese by the decision for their remova	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. o being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and	<i>David</i> <i>David</i> equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains	L. Babby, Registered Const ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo ne tree's form would ren 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life nee to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %).	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tre overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ees #4 thru 6 are planned for a ned a low suitability for prese by the decision for their removants the with advanced and extension	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. b being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and I decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean it has a	<i>David</i> Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> pment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %).	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ees #4 thru 6 are planned for a need a low suitability for prese by the decision for their remova the with advanced and extensiv plane leaning towards the strac causing extensive and somew	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. b being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and l decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage.	<i>David</i> Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into o	L. Babby, Registered Const ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 h emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo ne tree's form would ren 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %).	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root of The replacement of these	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ees #4 thru 6 are planned for a ned a low suitability for prese to the decision for their removant the advanced and extension plane leaning towards the stre causing extensive and somework the deficient trees will provide	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. b being in very poor hat because they are e CPA. Trees #4 and I decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. nity to significantly	<i>David</i> Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into o discus	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %). bvious, significant uting long-term to intain its longevity	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ees #4 thru 6 are planned for a ned a low suitability for prese s, the decision for their remova ets with advanced and extensiv plane leaning towards the stra causing extensive and somev et deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site a	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun and public.	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. o being in very poor hat because they are e CPA. Trees #4 and l decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. nity to significantly	<i>David</i> Smith I equip eleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into a discus Protee	L. Babby, Registered Const ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo ne tree's form would rei 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fd existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life nee to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %). bvious, significant uting long-term to intain its longevity	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tre and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low suitability for prese the decision for their remova- ts with advanced and extensive plane leaning towards the stre- causing extensive and somew- te deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site a	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportuni and public.	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. b being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and l decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. nity to significantly	<i>bao Cr</i> <i>Smith I</i> equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into c discus Prote Type	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> pment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- ; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur
Page 1 of 16 November 19, 2021 y for preservation ty, anticipated life ace to construction striking distance. y comprises 1 tree %). bvious, significant uting long-term to intain its longevity	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protection	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low suitability for prese s, the decision for their remova- ts with advanced and extensive plane leaning towards the struc- causing extensive and somew the deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportu- ind public.	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. b being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and l decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. nity to significantly celude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back	<i>David</i> Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into a discus Protee Type within	L. Babby, Registered Consu- ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- t; and roughly a dozen s al, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-for existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain It
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         David L. Babby, Registered Con         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low suitability for prese the decision for their removant the decision for the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the site at the si	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportur ind public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, ration. o being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and I decay. Tree #6 is a hardscape damage. nity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into c discus Protee Type within plywo	<u>L. Babby, Registered Const</u> pment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ret 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fi existing base material ssion, review and const ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur a their TPZ. Chain I pod. For #10, protect
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         text to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         David L. Babby, Registered Con         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eith I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root of The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-2	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitability the decision for their remova- the decision for their the site a- tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their the rbs, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos vhat dramatic the opportur ind public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, ration. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and l decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. nity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to	<i>David</i> equip clears branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into a discus Protee Type within plywo moun	<u>L. Babby, Registered Const</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-for existing base material ssion, review and consti ction for retained streee III Protection (aka trun a their TPZ. Chain II pod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts).
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         David L. Babby, Registered Con         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-1 accommodate shoring ins	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low or moderate suitabil ther a low suitability for prese a, the decision for their removant the decision for their the site and the decision for the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportur ind public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building.	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, ration. b being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and I decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. nity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment	boo Cr Smith I equip clears branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into d discus Protes Type within plywo moun	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> pment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ret 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fi existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur a their TPZ. Chain I: pod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts).
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development David L. Babby, Registered Con 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-2 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would	sulting Arborist® <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabilit ther a low or moderate suitabilit ther a low or moderate suitability and a low suitability for prese the decision for their removant the decision for their the site and the site and some of the site and tion include #1-3 and 7-10. The site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site a	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun und public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building.	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, ration. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a bite the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains plante into c discus Protee Type within plywo moun Addit	<u>L. Babby, Registered Const</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consti ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain li bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         ace to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development 5.0 David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-7 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil cess #4 thru 6 are planned for re- ned a low suitability for prese by the decision for their remova- tests with advanced and extensive plane leaning towards the stree causing extensive and somework deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site and tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their to rbs, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted I and highly-selective cuts by how the CDA	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportur ind public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building. provided pru a California	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. b being in very poor hat because they are e CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree-	boo Cr Smith I equip elears branc angle In tot and th The comp drains planta into a discus Protea Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er	L. Babby, Registered Consu- ment (if applicable), ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- t; and roughly a dozen s ial, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur n their TPZ. Chain li bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). ional and more defined eport. They should be ntire demolition, gradin
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development 5.0 David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for retem and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-2 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved	sulting Arborist® <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability rese #4 thru 6 are planned for a ned a low suitability for prese the decision for their removant the decision for their removant the decision for their removant plane leaning towards the struct causing extensive and somework the deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site and tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their to rbs, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA.	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. For eir canopies e building. I provided pru v a California	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, ration. b being in very poor hat because they are e CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a bite the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree-	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp draina planta into a discus Protea Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain li- bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). tional and more definer eport. They should be ttire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         ace to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         David L. Babby, Registered Com         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street trees overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-; accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil cess #4 thru 6 are planned for a need a low suitability for prese by the decision for their removant the decision for their removant plane leaning towards the stree causing extensive and somew deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their to rbs, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted I and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA. ak of protected tree status, the	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportur und public. Street trees in trunks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building. I provided pru a California	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree-	boo Cr Smith I equip elears branc angle In tot and th The comp drains planta into a discus Protes Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	L. Babby, Registered Consu- ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- t; and roughly a dozen s ial, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from action, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur n their TPZ. Chain li bod. For #10, protect tted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be attire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development 5.0 David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protected of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-2 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved For #10, the neighboring o	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability for prese the decision for their removants the decision for the site and	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building. I provided pru a California	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and 1 decay. Tree #6 is a bite the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp drains planta into o discu Protee Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka true n their TPZ. Chain he bod. For #10, protect tted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be attire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         ace to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development 5.0 David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-; accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved For #10, the neighboring o conforms to setbacks pro setback from oak's trunk	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil cess #4 thru 6 are planned for a need a low suitability for prese by the decision for their removant table a low suitability for prese by the decision for their removant causing extensive and extensive plane leaning towards the stree causing extensive and somework deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their to rbs, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA. ak of protected tree status, the vided in January 2021, which for the future building and	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportur ind public. Street trees in trunks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building. provided pru a California e architectural th stipulates a parking garage	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot ge, and a minimum	boo Cr Smith I equip elears branc angle In tot and th The comp drains planta into a discu Protes Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	L. Babby, Registered Consu- ment (if applicable), ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- t; and roughly a dozen s ial, pruning needed wo he tree's form would re- 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fi existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain I: bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). tional and more definee eport. They should be attire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development 5.0 David L. Babby, Registered Com 5.0 Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protected of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-2 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved For #10, the neighboring o conforms to setbacks pro setback from oak's trunk	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability for prese the decision for their removants the decision for the site and some the decision for the site and some the decision for the site and the site and the site and the site and the tion include #1-3 and 7-10. The and 10 feet in all other of a for and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA. ak of protected tree status, the vided in January 2021, which for the future building and ground disturbance beneath for the source of the site and the source of the site and	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. For eir canopies e building. I provided pru a California e architectural th stipulates a parking garag he existing as	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and 1 decay. Tree #6 is a bite the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot ge, and a minimum sphalt surface. The	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and th The comp draina planta into a discu. Protee Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consu</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-for existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain li- bod. For #10, protect tted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be ntire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         ace to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         David L. Babby, Registered Con         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street trees bare relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-1 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved         For #10, the neighboring o conforms to setbacks pro setback from oak's trunk setback of 20 feet for all garage and upper building placement (for driving pile	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil ees #4 thru 6 are planned for re- ned a low suitability for prese to the decision for their remova- tes with advanced and extensive plane leaning towards the stree causing extensive and somework deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site and tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their to rbs, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA. ak of protected tree status, the vided in January 2021, which for the future building and ground disturbance beneath to a floors are set a few or more s or a drill rig) and pruning car	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportur and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. For eir canopies e building. I provided pru a California e architectural th stipulates a parking garag he existing as e feet inside, a limit impacts	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot ge, and a minimum sphalt surface. The but careful shoring s.	boo Cr Smith I equip elears branc angle In tot and th The comp drains planta into a discu. Prote Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	L. Babby, Registered Consu- ment (if applicable), ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- t; and roughly a dozen s ial, pruning needed wo he tree's form would rea 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fo existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur n their TPZ. Chain li bod. For #10, protect tted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be ntire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         5.0         Javid L. Babby, Registered Com         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building at non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-2 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved         For #10, the neighboring of conforms to setbacks pro setback from oak's trunk setback of 20 feet for all garage and upper building placement (for driving plice	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability for prese the decision for their removants the decision for their the site and the decision for the site and the site and tion include #1-3 and 7-10. The site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site and the site	IMPACTS es #11 thru 2: Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. For eir canopies e building. I provided pru a California e architectural th stipulates a parking garag he existing as e feet inside, a limit impacts	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and 1 decay. Tree #6 is a bite the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot ge, and a minimum sphalt surface. The but careful shoring s.	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and tl The comp drains planta into a discu. Prote Type within plywo moun Addit this re the er review	<u>L. Babby, Registered Const</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ref 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-for existing base material ssion, review and consti- ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain li- bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be ntire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         ace to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         5.0         Javid L. Babby, Registered Cond         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building at non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street the overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protection of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-1 accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved         For #10, the neighboring of conforms to setbacks pro setback from oak's trunk setback of 20 feet for all garage and upper building placement (for driving plice	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitabil cess #4 thru 6 are planned for a need a low suitability for prese by the decision for their remova- test with advanced and extensive plane leaning towards the stree causing extensive and someware deficient trees will provide rm tree landscape for the site a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their a tion include #1-3 and 7-10. at of protected in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA. ak of protected tree status, the vided in January 2021, which for the future building and ground disturbance beneath for a floors are set a few or more s or a drill rig) and pruning cau- #10's trunk considers an additic building, scaffolding, man	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos vhat dramatic the opportur and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. Fo eir canopies e building. I provided pru a California e architectural the stipulates a parking garag he existing as e feet inside, a limit impacts onal 5 feet tow lift clearance	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a inter the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot ge, and a minimum sphalt surface. The but careful shoring s.	boo Cr Smith I equip elears branc angle In tot and tl The comp drains planta into a discu Prote Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	L. Babby, Registered Consu- ment (if applicable), ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- t; and roughly a dozen s ial, pruning needed wo he tree's form would re- 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-fi existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trun n their TPZ. Chain I: bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). tional and more definee eport. They should be atire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut
Page 1 of 16         November 19, 2021         y for preservation         ty, anticipated life         tec to construction         striking distance.         y comprises 1 tree         %).         bvious, significant         uting long-term to         intain its longevity         a high suitability;         sonably addressed         maining lifespan.         worsen regardless         ral guideline, they         which are retained         s to minimize risk	660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development         5.0         Javid L. Babby, Registered Con         5.0         Implementing the proposed being within the building a non-native tree assigned eit         I also recommend street tree overall condition and assig publicly-owned street trees 5 are relatively small prive partially uprooted London pronounced buttress root of The replacement of these improve the future, long-te         Trees anticipated for reten and consider their protectio of sidewalks and street cu project site, namely #1-; accommodate shoring ins reveals the trees would performed through limited service company approved         For #10, the neighboring o conforms to setbacks pro setback from oak's trunk setback of 20 feet for all garage and upper building placement (for driving pile         The 30-foot setback from fr pruning would occur for	sulting Arborist <sup>®</sup> <b>REVIEW OF POTENTIAL</b> I design requires removing tree and parking garage footprint. ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability ther a low or moderate suitability for prese the decision for their removants the decision for the site and the site and the tree landscape for the site and tion include #1-3 and 7-10. on zones as being from their the tres, and 10 feet in all other of 3, 7 and 8, pruning of the tallation and constructing the not be adversely impacted and highly-selective cuts by by the CPA. ak of protected tree status, the vided in January 2021, which for the future building and ground disturbance beneath the s floors are set a few or more s or a drill rig) and pruning can #10's trunk considers an additic the building, scaffolding, man	IMPACTS es #11 thru 25 Each represen ity for preserv removal due to rvation; note t al lies with the ve decline and eet, and oppos what dramatic the opportun and public. Street trees in runks and up lirections. For eir canopies e building. If provided pru a California e architectural th stipulates a parking garag he existing as e feet inside, a limit impacts onal 5 feet tow lift clearance	November 19, 2021 5 (15 in total) due to ts a relatively small, vation. 5 being in very poor hat because they are c CPA. Trees #4 and d decay. Tree #6 is a site the lean, it has a hardscape damage. hity to significantly clude #1-3 and 7-9, to the existing back or those aligning the will be needed to My site assessment ning is judiciously State licensed tree- design substantially a minimum 30-foot ge, and a minimum sphalt surface. The but careful shoring s. wards the tree where e, and any shoring <u>Page 7 of 16</u>	boo Cr Smith I equip cleara branc angle In tot and tl The comp draina planta into a discu. Prote Type within plywa moun Addit this re the er review	<u>L. Babby, Registered Consi</u> ment (if applicable). ance include a low, 17 th emerging from a 14- c; and roughly a dozen s tal, pruning needed wo he tree's form would ren 20-foot setback from vaction, grading, subex s, swales, etc. Also, th er area within the 20-for existing base material ssion, review and consi ction for retained stree III Protection (aka trur n their TPZ. Chain li bod. For #10, protect ted on driven posts). tional and more defined eport. They should be ntire demolition, gradin wing any revised or fut

ulting Arborist<sup>®</sup>

ave trunks within the public right-of-way and are defined and street trees. Tree #1 aligns Middlefield Road, #2 thru 6 align thru 9 align Byron Street. Of these, #1 thru 8 are along the ect site, whereas #9 is along the frontage of the neighboring ncluded to conform with CPA report standards).

November 19, 2021

n close proximity to the property boundary. Trees #11 thru 25 the property.

re not shown on the topo survey. I have added their locations out note those represent only roughly approximate locations and eing surveyed.

onsidered ornamentals and not native to the local region. Tree ve and represents the largest, most visible tree inventoried for

toried tree defined by the CPA as a protected tree (refer to r additional information). Its trunk diameter is 50 inches<sup>4</sup> at 54 an estimated 60 feet tall, and has a mostly balanced canopy

study, Smith Development retained me in January 2021 to well as provide development setbacks to adequately protect its ile achieving a reasonable assurance of survival, structural ary of additional observations obtained on 1/16/21 follows, and e observed in Exhibit B (page C-3). Information regarding my review of potential impacts are presented in Section 5.0.

oximation using a Biltmore stick. Page 3 of 16

November 19, 2021 ulting Arborist<sup>®</sup>

Sections of the canopy requiring pruning to achieve this 7-inch diameter limb overhanging the lot; an 8-inch diameter -inch diameter limb growing mostly upright at a slight westerly smaller branches ranging in size from 1 to 6 inches in diameter. ould favorably account for only 15-percent of the total canopy, emain intact

n #10's trunk for ground disturbance applies to any soil xcavation, overexcavation, trenching, drilling/auguring, storm he option to remove the existing asphalt surface and establish a foot buffer could benefit the oak's root zone; however, digging I might damage significant roots (and would require further ideration).

et trees #1-3, 7 and 8 should include what the CPA defines as nk wrap), plus plywood to cover unpaved ground (i.e. planters) link panels could also be utilized in lieu, or combination of, tion would consist of CPA Type I Protection (aka chain link

ed mitigation measures are presented within the next section of e incorporated into project plans, carefully followed throughout ng and construction processes, and are subject to revision upon ture project plans.

Page 8 of 16

Special Tree Protection Instruction Sheet City of Palo Alto

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist®

November 19, 2021

Overall, the oak appears viable and healthy, and exhibits no symptoms or signs of being infected or infested by harmful pathogens. Shoot growth, color and density appear typical for a coast live oak, and woundwood has favorably closed off the vast majority of prior wounds.

Existing features beneath its canopy and surrounding the trunk appear dated, and based on its generally healthy condition, I conclude the tree has adapted well to current site and growing conditions. Its base is buried by leaf debris, and is situated roughly 6 inches or less from a 2-foot tall wall. Northeast of its trunk is barren soil, surface roots, and a raised deck which nears 2 feet above grade and serves as a walkway. Towards the southwest, this walkway continues by nearly 30 feet from the trunk, steadily descending and serving as an ADA ramp leading to the neighbor's parking lot.

Beneath the section of canopy overhanging the project site is an asphalt parking lot elevated above original grade by roughly 2 feet. There are no signs of roots forming cracks or mounds of the asphalt surface; however, given the dated age of the wall and surrounding features, I suspect roots are present, but highly limited as compared to the more favorable root-growing conditions on the neighboring property. A parking lot medium, particularly as elevated as this one, is quite unsuitable for promoting root growth, and the retaining wall footing (depth unknown) also contributes towards deflecting root growth away from the parking lot.

Its structure also appears intact and stable, consisting of a main trunk dividing into five leaders at 10 feet high; the unions of these are favorably spaced apart, although visual and manual examination of the junction should occur once neighboring site access can be obtained to identify the presence of any defects, or lack thereof. The section of trunk and root collar buried by leaf debris should also be examined at that time.

The canopy is highly elevated above the parking lot and neighboring site, appears to be regularly maintained over its many years (and no immediate pruning items were found). The elevated canopy, however, does unfavorably displace limb and branch weight towards the canopy's edges, and potentially increases the possibility of limb and branch failure (although the regular maintenance provided certainly helps minimize this risk).

660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist®

November 19, 2021

Page 4 of 16

### 6.0 TREE PROTECTION MEASURES

Recommendations presented within this section are based on my review of the preliminary architectural plans, and serve as measures to help mitigate or avoid impacts to trees anticipated for retention. I (hereinafter, "project arborist") should be consulted in the event any cannot be feasibly implemented. Please note, unless otherwise stated, all referenced distances from trunks are intended to be from the closest edge, face of, their outer perimeter at soil grade.

### 6.1 Design Guidelines

- 1. Consider each Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) as those minimum distances specified within Section 5.0 of this report. The TPZ is the area where the following minimum activities should be avoided: trenching, soil scraping, compaction, mass and finishgrading, overexcavation, subexcavation, tilling, ripping, swales, bioswales, storm drains, dissipaters, equipment cleaning, removal of underground utilities and vaults, altering existing water/drainage flows, stockpiling and dumping of materials, and equipment and vehicle operation. Where an impact encroaches slightly within a setback, it can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis by the project arborist to determine appropriate mitigation measures.
- 2. The CPA requires all design changes occurring near retained trees are reviewed by the project arborist prior to resubmitting plans, for purposes of identifying potential impacts and any possible mitigation measures.
- 3. Per City requirements, incorporate this report into the project plan set, following the CPA T-1 sheet, and copying onto T-2, T-3, etc. until its entirety is shown (and in a manner which all report text can be clearly read on the plan sheets).
- 4. On all architectural, civil, landscape and electrical site-related plans, show the trunk locations, trunk diameters (as circles to scale), and assigned numbers of all inventoried trees (see map in Exhibit B). Also, add notes instructing contractors to comply with recommendations presented in this report and on Sheet T-1, and to contact the project arborist prior to permitted work being performed within a TPZ.

660 University Avenue, Palo Alte Smith Development

Page 9 of 16

T-2

![](_page_10_Picture_83.jpeg)

## SMITH DEVELOPMENT

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

> UZZARDO PARTNERSHIP Landscape Architects •Land Planners 181 Greenwich Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T 415 433 4672 F 415 433 5003

## **ISSUES AND REVISIONS**

- NO. DATE
- DESCRIPTION 1 12/01/21 PLANNING SUBMITTAL
- 2 05/13/22
- PLANNING RESUBMITTAL
- 3 08/15/22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL

PROJECT NUMBER 21003

SHEET TITLE **TREE DISPOSITION PLAN - SITE** ARBORIST REPORT

SCALE

SHEET NUMBER

43

Fenced enclosures around trees are essential to protect them by keeping the foliage canopy and branching structure clear from contact by equipment, materials and activities, preserving roots and soil conditions in an intact and non-compacted state, and identifying the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) in which no soil disturbance is permitted and activities are restricted, unless otherwise approved. An appoved tree protection report must be added to this sheet when project activity occurs within the TPZ of a regulated tree. For detailed information on Palo Alto's regulated trees and protection during development, review the City Tree Technical Manual (TTM) found at www.cityofpaloalto.org/trees/.

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist®

- November 19, 2021
- 5. On a tree disposition plan or tree protection plan (or equivalent), include the following: the above notes, identify which trees are proposed for removal by placing an "X" across their trunks, and identify the Tree Protection Zones and protection fencing types as shown on the map in Exhibit B.
- 6. Abandon any underground portions of existing and unused lines, pipes and manholes, etc. within a TPZ (prescribe they are cut off at existing soil grade versus being dug up and causing root damage); add this provision onto the demolition plan.
- 7. Route underground utilities and services beyond TPZs, and per CPA guidelines for street trees, establish at least 10 feet from their trunks. Where this is not feasible, consider the following alternative trenching or installation methods (listed in order of least to most impactful): directionally bore by at least 3.5 to 4 feet below grade, tunnel using a pneumatic air device (e.g. an AirSpade®), or manually dig with a shovel (i.e. no jackhammer); these assume pipe bursting, an optimal method, does not apply to this project. For boring, establish access pits and above-ground infrastructure (e.g. splice boxes, meters and vaults) beyond TPZs.
- 8. Where within 30 feet from #10's trunk, ensure specifications by the geotechnical, soils and structural engineers do not require compaction, overexcavation, subexcavation or fill beyond 2 feet from the parking garage wall (towards the tree) and 5 feet beyond the building's foundation. Shoring utilized to achieve these setbacks, such as a pile driver or drill rig, shall not be used where significant damage to a tree's canopy would occur (can be determined on a case-by-case basis).
- 9. Any new walkway or sidewalk proposed on existing unpaved ground within a TPZ should be designed and built entirely above existing soil grade and surface roots (i.e. a no-dig design), including for base material, edging and forms. Also, direct compaction of soil shall be avoided (levels comparable to foot-tamping are acceptable), and soil fill used to bevel the top of walk to existing grade should not exceed 18 to 24 inches from a walk's edge, not be compacted, nor placed closer than 10 feet from a tree's trunk. Tensar<sup>®</sup> BX Geogrid (www.tensarcorp.com) is a material which can help address these limited excavation and compaction requirements.

Page 10 of 16 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist<sup>®</sup> November 19, 2021

- 30. Spoils generated during demolition, excavation and trenching must not be piled or spread over unpaved ground within a TPZ. If necessary, temporarily pile on existing concrete, plywood or a tarp.
- 31. Any authorized digging within a TPZ should retain and protect roots encountered with diameters of  $\geq 2$  inches. Once exposed, cover with wet burlap and keep continually moist until they can be assessed by the project arborist; note that roots of street trees must be evaluated by the CPA arborist prior to severing. If authorized by the project arborist and/or CPA arborist for cutting, cleanly severe at 90° to the angle of root growth against the cut line using sharp tools (e.g. loppers or handsaw), and then immediately after, the cut end shall be either buried with soil or kept continually moist by burlap until the dug area is backfilled. Roots encountered with diameters less than the 2-inch threshold can be cleanly severed at a 90° angle to the direction of root growth.
- 32. All electrical and irrigation routes shall be staked, reviewed and approved by the project arborist prior to trenching occurring within a TPZ.
- 33. Avoid using tree trunks as winch supports for moving or lifting heavy loads, or for tying rope, cables, chains, signs or other items around.
- 34. Dust accumulating on trunks and canopies during dry weather periods may need to be periodically washed away (e.g. every three to four months).
- 35. Where beneath canopies, avoid disposing harmful products (such as cement, paint, chemicals, oil and gasoline) anywhere on site that allows drainage within or near TPZs; do not wash any equipment; and avoid applying herbicides (if applied, they should be labeled for safe use near trees). Liming shall not occur within 50 feet from a trunk.

Page 15 of 16

660 University Avenue, Palo Al Smith Development

- and avoided on unpaved areas beneath or near canopies.
- the severance of surface or shallow roots.
- within 50 feet from a tree.
- applied against trunks of new trees.
- their trunks.

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist® November 19, 20 David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist<sup>®</sup> November 19, 2021 David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist® November 19, 2021 d. New street tree(s) should be designed to be at least 10 feet from any existing or 10. For any retaining or landscape wall within a TPZ, utilize a pier and above-grac 6.2 Before Demolition, Grading and Construction new utility (per CPA guidelines). beam system, establish the beam spanning between footings to be above-grade (i.e. 19. Several weeks prior to mobilizing equipment for demolition, and again (or more) e. All new trees should be installed, including necessary irrigation, by an no-dig design except for footings), and avoid fill and compaction between footings. prior to shoring, grading and utility work, conduct a site meeting between the general experienced California state-licensed landscape contractor (C-27) or tree service contractor, applicable subcontractors, and project arborist for purposes of reviewing 11. Design any new bioswales, storm drains and swales well-beyond TPZs. tree protection, demolition procedures, shoring methodology and vertical clearances company (D-49), and performed to professional industry standards. Only if needed for the pile driver or drill rig, and excavation for the underground garage, necessary to stand upright, they should be double-staked (no cross-brace) with 12. The permanent and temporary drainage design, including downspouts, should no trench routes, limits of grading, supplemental watering, mulching, pruning, routes of rubber tree ties or equivalent, and the support stakes cut below the first main require water being discharged beneath #10's canopy. access, staging, and other items and protection measures presented in this report. lateral branch. All nursery stakes shall be removed. Root crowns of new trees shall be visible and absent of encircling roots. 13. All electrical routes should be designed and represented on the electrical site plan 20. The project arborist must also regularly inspect the project site as outlined on page 2f. Irrigation and lighting features (e.g. main line, laterals, valve boxes, wiring and be beyond TPZs. 14 of the Tree Technical Manual (Section 2.30 Inspection Schedule), and verify controllers) should not require trenching inside TPZs, including header/lateral conformance to tree protection measures. Inspections shall occur at least once per lines. In the event this is not feasible, they may require being installed in a radial 14. Any new light poles should be established beyond tree canopies, or at a minimur month and continue through final inspection, and additional site visits are necessary direction to, and terminate a specific distance from a trunk (versus crossing past only where minor branch clearance is needed. The proximity of tree trunks shoul to observe/advise regarding tree care and/or services. A summary of pertinent it). In certain instances, a pneumatic air device may be needed to avoid root also be considered, and placed as far from them as possible. observations and recommendations shall coincide with each inspection. damage, and any Netafim tubing placed on grade. 21. Avoid interrupting any existing irrigation. In the event interruption does occur, 15. The future staging area and route(s) of access should be shown on the final site pla g. Irrigation for new trees should be supplied through an automatic timer, separate supplemental with potable water, and discuss the methodology, frequency and from other plant material, and supplied by one to two bubblers (minimum two for amount with the project arborist beforehand. a 48-inch box). The bubblers should be placed and staked on the rootball's 16. The erosion control design should represent silt fence and/or straw rolls at location surface (not against a trunk, in a sleeve or on mulch), at around 1/2 to 1/3 the beyond TPZs, and at a minimum, not against a tree's trunk. Where within a TPZ, th 22. Prior to mobilizing equipment to the site, install tree protection to enclose all distance between the trunk and rootball edge. Additionally, an 8-inch tall circular unpaved sections of the TPZs. For tree #10, utilize Type I Protection, which include material should not be embedded into the ground by more than 2 inches, nor requi berm formed by soil should established around a rootball's perimeter, and a 3affixing 5- to 6-foot tall chain link onto 2-inch diameter steel posts spaced apart as inch layer of mulch spread over their tops, kept 1-inch from the trunks' bases. needed to remain upright. For all street trees, utilize Modified Type III Protection, 17. Avoid specifying the use of herbicides use within a TPZ; where used on site, the h. Ground cover beneath canopies of existing trees should be comprised of a 3-inch which consists of wrapping a single straw wattle horizontally around the trunk at should be labeled for safe use near trees. Also, liming shall not occur or be prescribe roughly 10 feet high and another around its base (loosely); placing boards (2"x4") layer of coarse wood chips or other high-quality mulch (gorilla hair, rock, stone, vertically around the outside, from ground to 10 feet high; then wrapping orangegravel, black plastic or other synthetic ground cover should be avoided). Mulch plastic fencing around the boards two to three times and tying together. Additionally, should kept off the trees' trunks or visible root collars. 18. The landscape design should conform to the following additional guidelines: lay 3/4- to 1-inch thick plywood over unpaved sections of the planters within the i. Bender board or other edging material proposed beneath the canopies should be a. Tilling, ripping, surface scraping and compaction within TPZs should be avoided TPZs, or if better, chain link panels mounted on concrete blocks or metal stands. All established on top of existing soil grade (such as by using vertical stakes). b. Irrigation should not strike within 12 inches from trunks of existing trees, no protection shall remain in place until otherwise instructed by the project arborist, and j. Herbicides should be avoided within a TPZ, and where used on site, labeled for Sheet T-1 for additional information. Note that fencing for #10 will require being safe use near trees. Liming shall not occur within 50 feet from a trunk. c. Plant material installed beneath tree canopies should be >12 to 24 inches from temporarily opened for demolition of existing asphalt, and work shall be performed under supervision by the project arborist. Page 13 of 16 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development Page 12 of 16 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Page 11 of Smith Developmen Smith Development ARBOR RESOURCES David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist® November 19, 2021 David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist® November 19, 20 7.0 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS TREE INVENTORY TABLE All information presented herein covers only the inventoried trees listed in Exhibit A, a reflects their size, condition, and areas viewed from the project site and adjoining streets a sidewalks on 1/16/21 (for oak #10) and 11/9/21 (for all others). I hold no opinion towards oth trees on or surrounding the project area • The documented condition and suitability ratings of dormant trees are subject to change or they can be observed following their annual regrowth of leaves. · Observations were performed visually from the ground without probing, coring, dissecting EXHIBIT A: I cannot provide a guarantee or warranty, expressed or implied, that deficiencies or problems any trees or property in question may not arise in the future. TREE INVENTORY TABLE · No assurance can be offered that if all my recommendations and precautionary measure (four sheets) Comments: Within a 4' wide planter strip between street and sidewalk. Trunk's base abuts curb. Highly elevated (verbal or in writing) are accepted and followed, that the desired results may be achieved. canopy. Sidewalk is slightly raised, now and historically. Codominant leaders emerge at 8' high. Has • I cannot guarantee or be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others. three large, partial girdling roots, one over a buttress roots and can be pruned away. · I assume no responsibility for the means and methods used by any person or compa London plane tree 5 55 50 60% 70% 50% implementing the recommendations provided in this report. Comments: Becoming dormant, Within a narrow 3' wide by 4.5' long planter. Adjacent sidewalk is raised and has · The information provided herein represents my opinion. Accordingly, my fee is in no w been historically, and adjacent curb is cracked. Asymmetrical canopy with excessive limb weight, and contingent upon the reporting of a specified finding, conclusion or value. growth towards the building is elongated. Surface root in lawn adjoining sidewalk. Numbers shown on the site map in Exhibit B are solely intended to represent a tree's rough London plane tree approximate location and should not be construed as surveyed points This report is proprietary to me and may not be copied or reproduced in whole or part without Comments: Becoming dormant. Within a 3' wide by 15' long planter. Adjacent sidewalk is cracked at E corner of prior written consent. It has been prepared for the sole and exclusive use of the parties to w planter. Surface root in lawn adjoining sidewalk. Vertical form. submitted for the purpose of contracting services provided by David L. Babby. Glossy privet · If any part of this report or copy thereof be lost or altered, the entire evaluation shall be invali Comments: Within a 2' wide by 3.5' long planter. Leans NW. Significant decline with deadwood. Prior dominant leader cut at 6' cut, the resulting wound is decaying. Adjacent to light pole. Adjacent concrete raised 13 20 20 30% 30% 40% Poor . Comments: Within a 2' wide by 4' long planter. Adjacent curb is buckled and raised, and adjacent sidewalk has ember 19, 202 been historically raised at multiple locations. Advanced decline with large deadwood. Large decaying wounds at 6' and 9' high. Asymmetrical canopy. London plane tree Platanus × hispanica Comments: Within a 2.5' wide by 3.5' long planter. Has a 16° NW lean, and opposite the lean is a pronounced buttress root. Sidewalk and curb have been historically raised at multiple locations. Codominant top Asymmetrical canopy with an extended limb over street, as well as a low branch lying on top of #22 660 University Avenue, Palo Alte Page 16 of 60 University Avenue, Palo Alte Site: 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto Smith Development Prepared for: Smith Developme 1 of Prepared by: David L. Babby, RCA #3 November 19, 2021

epared By:	MTL.W	Date:	Nove
	David L. Babby		
	Registered Consulting Arborist® #399		
	Board-Certified Master Arborist® #WE-4001B		-
	CA Licensed Tree Service Contractor #796763 (C61/L	049)	10

Smith Development

![](_page_11_Picture_45.jpeg)

All other tree-related reports shall be added to the space provided on this sheet (adding as needed) Include this sheet(s) on Project Sheet Index or Legend Page. A copy of T-1 can be downloaded at www.cityofpaloalto.org/arb/forms

## City of Palo Alto Tree Protection - It's Part of the Plan!

Make sure your crews and subs do the job right!

Special Tree Protection Instruction Sheet City of Palo Alto

David L. Babby, Registered Consulting Arborist®

- 23. Affix warning signs every 10± feet of #10's fencing, and one onto the trunk wrap of each street tree. The signs shall be at least 8-1/2 by 11 inches in size, and refer to Sheet T-1 for a CPA template.
- 24. Prior to utility installation and grading, review the staked locations with the project arborist where within or near a TPZ. Also, identify the precise locations of where underground utilities within TPZs will be capped (i.e. where being abandoned).
- 25. All pruning shall be performed under direction of the project arborist, conducted in accordance with the most recent ANSI A300 standards, and performed by a California licensed tree-service contractor (D-49) with an ISA certified arborist in a supervisory role.

### 6.3 During Demolition, Grading and Construction

- 26. Where within the assigned TPZs, all work must performed under the presence of and direct supervision by the project arborist; by foot-traffic only without the travel or operation of heavy equipment, including small tractors; and any approved excavation manually conducted using hand tools only (no jackhammers) and/or utilizing a pneumatic air device operated by a tree service.
- 27. Great care is needed during demolition and construction to avoid excavating into the ground and disturbing roots within TPZs, and equipment shall not travel over newly exposed ground/roots during the process. Additionally, equipment and truck operators must also be aware of existing trees (both along the street and onsite) to avoid damaging limbs, branches and trunks, as well as the scorching of foliage. Contact the project arborist well in advance of a potential conflict (wrap protection around limbs may be necessary before potential damage occurs).
- 28. The prescribed removal of any existing plant material within a TPZ must be manually performed, and the work reviewed with the project arborist beforehand.
- 29. Digging for any bollards or permanent fencing within a TPZ, such as for #10, shall be manually performed using a shovel or post-hole digger. For any root encountered during the process with a diameter  $\geq 2$  inches, shift the hole over by 12 inches and repeat the process. 660 University Avenue, Palo Alte

Page 14 of 16

November 19, 2021

### ARBOR RESOURCES

Smith Development

### TREE INVENTORY TABLE

		SIZE			CONDITION					REGU	LATED
TREE NAME	Trunk Diameter (in.)	Height (ft.)	Canopy Spread (ft.)	Health Condition (100%=Best, 0%=Worst)	Structural Integrity (100%=Best, 0%=Worst)	Form (100%=Best, 0%=Worst)	Overall Condition (Good/Fair/Poor/Dead)	Suitability for Preservation (High/Moderate/Low)	Proposed for Removal	Protected Tree	Street Tree
Southern magnolia					Vactoria de la						
Comments:	Within a buckled a deadwood	3.5' wide t t multiple 1. Canopy	by 15' lon locations has beer	g planter. , including reduced i	Root cro g historica n past.	wn encom illy. Adva	anced and	tire planter v extensive de	vidth. A ccline wi	djacent o th large	urb is
Chinese pistache	1272		1.22				1000	10000	-		
(Pistacia chinensis ) Comments:	At the NV locations.	25 V edge of Large cu	a 2' wide ts along o	by 9' long	planter.	Adjacent ow canopy	sidewalk over stre	historically r	aised at	multiple on roof.	
Southern magnolia	20	25	25	209/	200/	208/	Door	Low		199	v
Coast live oak (Quercus agrifolia)	50 Offsite or	60	90	70%	40%	50%	Fair	High		x	
Comments:	divides in site (exist	to 5 leade ing parkin	ning SE p rs at 10' h ig lot).	property. 1 high and ar	ts base is e favorab	~6" from ly spaced	a 2' tall y apart. Ca	wall and buri mopy is high	ed by lea ly eleva	of litter. ted over t	Trunk the
Olive tree	divides in site (exist	to 5 leade ing parkin	ning SE p rs at 10' h ig lot).	for the second s	ts base is e favorab	- 6" from ly spaced	a 2' tall y apart. Ca	wall and buri mopy is high Moderate	ed by lea ly eleva	of litter. ted over t	Trunk
Olive tree (Olea europaea) Comments:	divides in site (exist 8, 8 Canopy is trunk. Tr	15 s rounded.	ning SE p rs at 10' h g lot). 10 Sucker p rates at 2.	60% growth has 5' high and	ts base is e favorab 50% s creativel l forms a	6" from ly spaced 40% y been for narrow at	Poor rmed into tachment.	wall and burion wall and burion was burion with a shift of the second state of the sec	ed by lea ly eleva X ounding	of litter. ted over t - the lowe	Trunk the -
Olive tree (Olea europaea) Comments: Raywood ash Fraxinus a . 'Raywood')	divides in site (exist 8, 8 Canopy is trunk. Tr 2	15 srounded. 15 unk bifurc	ning SE p rs at 10' h g lot). 10 Sucker p ates at 2.	60% for the formation of the formation o	ts base is e favorab 50% s creativel i forms a 40%	- 6" from ly spaced 40% y been for narrow at	Poor Poor Poor antherest	wall and buri mopy is high Moderate a shrub surro Low	ed by lea ly eleval X ounding X	the lowe	Trunk the - r

- /

![](_page_11_Picture_63.jpeg)

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

> GUZZARDO PARTNERSHIP Landscape Architects •Land Planners 181 Greenwich Street San Francisco, CA 94111 T 415 433 4672 F 415 433 5003

### **ISSUES AND REVISIONS**

NO.	DATE	I
1	12/01/21	F
0	05/42/22	ſ

DESCRIPTION

PLANNING SUBMITTAL

- PLANNING RESUBMITTAL 2 05/13/22
- 3 08/15/22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL

PROJECT NUMBER 21003

SHEET TITLE **TREE DISPOSITION PLAN - SITE** ARBORIST REPORT

SCALE

SHEET NUMBER

Fenced enclosures around trees are essential to protect them by keeping the foliage canopy and branching structure clear from contact by equipment, materials and activities, preserving roots and soil conditions in an intact and non-compacted state, and identifying the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) in which no soil disturbance is permitted and activities are restricted, unless otherwise approved. An appoved tree protection report must be added to this sheet when project activity occurs within the TPZ of a regulated tree. For detailed information on Palo Alto's regulated trees and protection during development, review the City Tree Technical Manual (TTM) found at www.cityofpaloalto.org/trees/.

	REE INVE	NTOR	RY TA	BLE							_			т।	REEI	NVE		RY TA	В
TREE/ TAG NO TREE NAME	eight (ft.) anopy Spread (ft.)	ealth Condition (00%=Best, 0%=Worst)	tructural Integrity (00%=Best, 0%=Worst)	orm 100%=Best, 0%=Worst)	verall Condition 500d/Fair/Poor/Dead)	uitability for Preservation digh/Moderate/Low)	roposed for Removal	And Tree		D D	TREE/ TAG	TREEN	AMAE	runk Diameter (in.)	eight (ft.)	anopy Spread (ft.)	ealth Condition (00%=Best, 0%=Worst)	tructural Integrity 100%=Best, 0%=Worst)	ITI
Raywood ash         12           13         (Fraxinus a. 'Raywood')         12	20 15	30%	30%	30%	Poor	Low	x		L (	-	20	Crape m (Lagerstroem	yrtle ia indica )	3, 3, 2	10 2' raised i	5	60%	40%	5
Raywood ash	is cracked and form	a short m	ound.	20%/	Baar	Low	v		gacent		21	Crape m (Lagerstroem	yrtle ia indica )	6	15	10	60%	40%	5
Comments: Within slightly	a square planter. H raised.	as many lar	rge decayir	ng cuts. I	Deadwoo	d. Adjacer	nt asphalt	is crac	ked and		22	Crape m (Lagerstroem	yrtle ia indica )	6 s: within a	15	10	60%	40%	5
Raywood ash (Fraxinus a . 'Raywood') 6 Comments: Within wound	15 15 a square planter. H at 6' high where a p	20% as a large d rior leader y	10% lecay colur was cut aw	20% mn along vay. Adv	Poor entire tru anced lev	Low ink, as well cels of dieb	X as a large ack and d	e decay leadwo	/ing od.	-		Crape m	Comments	: Within a A low lin	2' raised nb of #6 i	planter. Do s on top o	ormant. N f its canop	fultiple tro	inks
Raywood ash 16 (Fraxinus a. 'Raywood') 15	25 20	20%	20%	20%	Poor	Low	x				23	(Lagerstroem	ia indica ) Comments	6 s: Within a	15 2' raised	10 planter. Do	60% ormant. N	40% fultiple tru	6 Inks
Comments: Within Signific Purple Robe locust	a square planter. H	as a pronou ut, includin	inced E lea	an. Low l unk. Dea	dwood.	rhangs adja Adjacent a:	cent park sphalt for	ing spa ms a m	ice. iound.		24	Crape m (Lagerstroem	yrtle ia indica ) Comments	4, 3, 2 s: Within a	15 2' raised	10 planter. De	60% ormant. N	40% Iultiple tru	6 Jinks
17 (Robinia 'Purple Robe') 6 Comments: Dorma Purple Robe locust	35 20 nt. Has a single sup	60% port stake w	40% which is no	60% o longer n	Fair	Moderat and should	l be remov	ved.	-	-	25	Yew p (Podocarpus mo	ne crophyllus ) Comments	8 5: Immedia	10 itely Adjao	10 cent to bui	60% Iding. Sh	40% rub form	3 and
18 (Robinia 'Purple Robe') 5 Comments: Dorma limb w	25 20 nt. Has a single sup right overhanging p	60% port stake w arking lot.	40% which is no Asymmetr	30% o longer n rical form	Poor ecessary away fro	Moderat and should om #17.	te X l be remov	ved. E:	xcessive	-									
Crape myrtle (Lagerstroemia indica) 5 Comments: Within	10 10 a 2' raised planter.	60% Dormant. M	40% fultiple tru	50% inks origii	Fair nate 8" hi	Moderat	te X y is slight	- tly asyn	nmetrica	- al.									
Site: 660 University Avenue, Palo Alto												Site: 660 Universit	y Avenue, Pal	lo Alto					
Prepared for: Smith Development Prepared by: David L. Babby, RCA #399		3 01 4					Novemb	er 19, 2	021			Prepared for: Smit Prepared by: David	h Developmei I L. Babby, Ri	nt CA #399			4 01 4		
	E)	(HIBIT	C:														-		
	PHO (fi	TOGRA	APHS ts)												**				A M Township of the
	Pł	ioto Inde	<u>ex</u>										6 S	60 University mith Develop	Avenue, Pal ment	o Alto			
Page C-1: Trees #1 t	nru 6		Pag	ge C-4:	Trees	#11 thr	u 18							David L. Babby	v, Registered	Consulting A	Arborist		-
Page C-2: Trees #7 t Page C-3: Tree #10	nru 9		Pag	ge C-5:	Trees	#19 thr	u 25											#12	
660 University Avenue, Palo Smith Development	Alto														15		94		

## City of Palo Alto Tree Protection - It's Part of the Plan!

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

DRAWING NAME: K:\2021\212113\_660\_University\_Ave\ENG\SD\SD3.0-Grading\_Plan.d PLOT DATE: 08-17-22 PLOTTED BY: inou

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

DRAWING NAME: K:\2021\212113\_660\_University\_Ave\ENG\SD\SD4.0-Utility\_Plan.dwg PLOT DATE: 08-17-22 PLOTTED BY: inou

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

PROPOSED TREE CREDIT	EXISTING TREE CREDIT	CATCHMENT AREA W/TREE CREDIT	BIO– RETENTION AREA	TREATMENT AREA	TREATMENT AREA REQUIRED	MEETS REQUIREMENT?
_	_	14,485 SF	1	861 SF	583 SF	YES
_	_	2,064 SF	2	82 SF	82 SF	YES
100 SF	500 SF	0 SF	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
100 SF	720 SF	0 SF	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
100 SF	_	297 SF	N/A	186 SF**	149 SF**	YES
-	220 SF	182 SF	N/A	186 SF**	91 SF**	YES
-	790 SF	0 SF	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
_	_	651 SF	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
100 SF	_	215 SF	N/A	813 SF**	222 SF**	YES

# © BKF Engineers

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

021  $\sim$  $\leq$ 

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

CURB AND GUTTER C&G L/S S/W LANDSCAPE SIDEWALK

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

$\bigcirc$	BKF	Engineers
$\mathbf{U}$		Linghioore

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

NΩ  $\sim$  $\sim$ 

lanter trip of curb	<b>BKF</b> 255 SHORELINE DRIVE SUITE 200	REDWOOD CITY, CA 94065 (650) 482-6300 www.bkf.com	
(lip) e.			CALIFORNIA
	660 UNIVERSITY AVENUE	7-75	) SANTA CLARA COUNTY
			CITY OF PALO ALTO
	12/01/2021 WO: 12/01/2021 WO: 12/01/2021 12/01/2022 12:2022 MS 2 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL #2 08.15.2022	éd TRM	20212113
	Irawing SD	Numbe	N dol

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

-

NOT TO SCALE

## **COMBINED WATER METER**

NOT TO SCALE

-

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

		/	1. ALL TRENCHING, BACKFILLING AND INSTALLATION BY CONT
PROJECT			<ol> <li>ALL WORK MUST COMPLY WITH CITY OF PALO ALTO(CPA), MUST BE INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY RESPECTIVE INSP MINIMUM OF THREE LOCATIONS PER 1,000' OF TRENCH. 75% MUST PASS THROUGH A #4 SCREEN. ADDITIONAL S AND IS TO BE AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CPA REPRESE THAT HAVE SHARP EDGES OR THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE A 1/2" IF TO BE USED AS SHADING, BEDDING OR LEVELING 1</li> </ol>
SITE			<ul><li>APPLICABLE CPA FEDERAL, STATÉ, COUNTY OR LOCAL REC MUST NOT HINDER THOSE EFFORTS.</li><li>3. BACKFILL SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE UTILITY COMPANIE</li></ul>
			BY THE SOILS ENGINEER. 4. IF SOIL IS NOT ROCK FREE, ADD 4" DEPTH OF TRENCH 5. VERIFY SPLICE BOX EXCAVATION SIZES WITH SUPPLIER(S).
			<ol> <li>THE TRENCHING CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THE OTH</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE HIMSELF FAMILIAR WITH THE PF ACCORDINGLY.</li> <li>IT IS THE TRENCHING CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO TRENCHING CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO TRENCHING CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY.</li> </ol>
MERSTER			<ul> <li>PAYMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR CROSSING OTHER SY</li> <li>9. RADIUS DESIGN ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PI DATA SUPPLIED BY CPA, TELEPHONE, C.A.T.V., IMPROVEME IT SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PHYS</li> </ul>
		N N	10. CONTRACTOR WILL COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES O.S.H.A., INDUSTRIAL SAFETY ORDERS AND SHALL CONDUC OR "HOT" EQUIPMENT, THE UTILITY OWNER SHALL BE NOT SAFETY AND TRAFFIC CONTROL MEASURES ARE THE CONT
			<ol> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT CONSTRUCTION STAKING ENGINEER.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALER</li> </ol>
VIC	INITY MAP		227–2600. 13. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY INSPECTORS OF ANY POTENTIA
	N. T. S.		<ul> <li>14. THIS PLAN IS TO BE USED FOR SOLE PURPOSE OF DIGG FOR EXACT SIZE AND NUMBER OF CONDUITS INSTALLED I TO ENSURE THE CORRECT NUMBER, SIZE AND TYPES OF EACH UTILITY COMPANY.</li> </ul>
WORK F	<u>ESPONSIBILITY</u>	<u>ں</u>	15. NOTE PLANS ISSUED AT THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING UTILITY COMPANY WERE NOT AVAILABLE AT THE START OF
JUIN	11 IRENCH	J ELECTRI J GAS PHONE .V. RACTOR	16. WATER, SEWER, DRAINS, SANITARY WASTE, FUELS (INCLUD HEAVIER THAN AIR GASES, SPRINKLER, IRRIGATION, STEAM FOUR FEET FROM THE NEAREST OUTER SURFACE OF CPA (SOIL BARRIER) BETWEEN THE ADJACENT SIDES OF THE I
TRENCHING EXCAVATE & BACKFILL		● CON1 ● CON1 ● CON1	17. IN THE EXTRAORDINARY CASE THAT THE MINIMUM FOUR F "WET" UTILITIES AND COMPANY DRY FACILITIES, A VARIANC SUBMITTED TO SERVICE PLANNING SUPPORT PROGRAM MA
<u>GAS MATERIAL</u> SUPPLY & INSTALL		00000	18. THIS JOINT TRENCH PLAN WAS PREPARED BASED ON TOP CONTRACTOR IS CAUTIONED THAT EXPLORATORY WORK IS EXISTING UTILITY. RADIUS STRONGLY RECOMMENDS THAT A
* <u>CPAU ELECTRIC CABLE</u> SUPPLY & INSTALL		$\cdots \bullet \circ \circ \circ \circ$	ONSET OF SITE WORK. SUBSTRUCTURE LOCATIONS MAY RI EXISTING UTILITY LOCATIONS.
SUPPLY & INSTALL	••••••	$\cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bullet$	CONDUIT         NOTES           1.         DIRECT BURIED PRIMARY CONDUIT IS NOT AN APPROVED CONCRETE ENCASED, UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY CONCRETE
SUPPLY & INSTALL EXCAVATION		$\cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc$	A. SCHEDULE 40 PVC B. TYPE "DB 60" (SECONDARY) OR "DB 120" (PRIMARY) C. GALVANIZED RIGID STEEL CONDUIT
ELECTRIC PADS SUPPLY & INSTALL			2. EVERY EFFORT MUST BE MADE TO OBTAIN STRAIGHT WATE
ELECTRIC TRANSFORMERS			<ol> <li>APPROVED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER, FACTORY OFFSETS</li> <li>ALL BENDS AND SWEEPS (90 DEGREES) MUST BE ENCAS</li> </ol>
ELECTRIC INTERRUPTERS SUPPLY & INSTALL			5. IF THE ELECTRIC UNDERGROUND INSPECTOR DETERMINES BEDDING MUST BE INSTALLED BEFORE CONDUIT.
CPAU ELECTRIC SWITCHES SUPPLY & INSTALL		00000	<ol> <li>BACKFILL IN UNIMPROVED AREAS SHALL BE 12" OF CLEAN ON TOP OF THE UPPERMOST CONDUIT, 90% COMPACTION;</li> <li>BACKFILL IN IMPROVED AREAS MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE</li> </ol>
TELEPHONE CONDUIT SUPPLY & INSTALL		$\cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bullet$	BACKFILLING IN IMPROVED AREAS. (SECTION 21) 8. ALL CONDUITS MUST BE MANDRELLED (STD. DWG DT-SS-
<u>TELEPHONE CABLE</u> SUPPLY & INSTALL TELEPHONE SPLICE BOXES		$\cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	9. A 3/8" POLYPROPYLENE PULL LINE (MIN. 150 LBS. TEST
SUPPLY & INSTALL EXCAVATION	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	$\cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc$	<ol> <li>CONDUIT SPACING SHALL BE MAINTAINED BY SPACERS, AF CONDUIT MUST BE SECURELY BOUND TO THE SPACERS.</li> <li>MINIMUM COVER FOR DIRECT BURIED CONDUIT.</li> </ol>
TELEPHONE S.A.I. PADS SUPPLY & INSTALL EXCAVATION C.A.T.V. CONDUIT		00000	-SECONDARY (NOT TRAFFIC) 24" -COMMUNICATION (NOT TRAFFIC) 24" -SECONDARY (TRAFFICE) 30" -COMMUNICATION (TRAFFIC) 30" -PRIMARY 42"*
SUPPLY & INSTALL <u>C.A.T.V. SPLICE BOXES</u> SUPPLY & INSTALL		00000	COVER MAY BE REDUCED TO 18" FOR SECONDARY UNDER SID 12. HORIZONTAL SPACING BETWEEN SECONDARY, COMMUNICATI BE RANDOM UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
EXCAVATIONAC	CEPTEDDECLINED	00000	13. IN EVERY CASE, VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN ELECTRIC * DIRECT PRIMARY CONDUIT IS NO LONGER AN APPROVED CO
C.L.E.C. FIBER SPLICE BOXES SUPPLY & INSTALL	ACCEPTEDDECLINED	$\cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc$	ELECTRIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT         O           1. ALL ELECTRICAL VAULT INSTALLATIONS, REMOVALS AND RE
<ul> <li>WORK TO BE PERFORMED BY THE</li> <li>ASSUME CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBI</li> <li>NOT APPLICABLE UNLESS OTHERW</li> <li>* CPAU TO PULL CABLE INTO ENER</li> </ul>	RESPECTIVE CONTRACTOR & UTILITY LITY UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED ISE SPECIFIED GIZED ENCLOSURES	COMPANIES	<ol> <li>PRIMARY CONDUIT SHALL BE CONCRETE ENCASED PER CF</li> <li>THE FINAL JOINT TRENCH AND VAULT DETAILS MUST BE A</li> <li>APPLICANT SHALL NOTIFY THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INSPECTO SUBSTRUCTURE.</li> </ol>
NOTE: FOR A MORE DETAILED WO	RK RESPONSIBILITY BREAKDOWN, SEE	CORRESPONDING MATERIAL LIST	<ol> <li>NO STRUCTURES PERMITTED TO BE BUILT WITHIN EXISTING</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN 12" CLEAR, ABOVE ANI FACILITIES.</li> </ol>
CIVIL IMPROVEMENT PLANS/GRADING	RECEIVED APPROVED	T	7. APPLICANTS SHALL PROVIDE PROTECTION FOR UTILITY LINI SHALL BE INSPECTED BY THE ELECTRICAL UTILITY INSPEC
ARCHITECTURAL ELECTRONIC FILE APPLICANT DESIGN (GAS)	05-03-2022 PRELIMINARY	-	8. ANY EXTENSION OR RELOCATION OF EXISTING DISTRIBUTIO CUSTOMER/DEVELOPER'S EXPENSE.
TELEPHONE C.A.T.V.	06–03–2022 PRELIMINARY		
LANDSCAPE LIGHT LOCATIONS TRAFFIC SIGNAL LOCATIONS		-	
RADIUS DESIGN is not subsequent changes or	responsible for any revisions.	<u>-</u>	
OTHER UTILITIES SHOWN ARE APPROXIN UTILITY INFORMATION. IT IS THE CONTR AND EXTENT OF UTILITIES OPPOP TO T	ATE AND BASED ON FIELD SURVEY ACTORS' RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY	AND AVAILABLE THE ACTUAL LOCATION	
AND EXTENT OF UTILITIES PRIOR TO TH UTILITY LOCATIONS SHALL BE PERFORM ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 6 OF THE	ED BY CAREFUL PROBING OR HAND CAL/OSHA CONSTRUCTION SAFETY OF	DIGGING IN RDERS.	Direct Buried Conduit NO MC BETWE

## CTOR WILL COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES AND REGULATIONS. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FAMILIAR WITH INDUSTRIAL SAFETY ORDERS AND SHALL CONDUCT HIS WORK ACCORDINGLY. WHEN WORKING NEAR ENERGIZED " EQUIPMENT, THE UTILITY OWNER SHALL BE NOTIFIED TO SUPPLY THE APPROPRIATE MAN POWER. PUBLIC AND TRAFFIC CONTROL MEASURES ARE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY. VTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT CONSTRUCTION STAKING. HE SHALL COORDINATE STAKING WITH THE PROJECT'S CIVIL CTOR SHALL NOTIFY UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT (USA) TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO START OF WORK. (800) CTOR SHALL NOTIFY INSPECTORS OF ANY POTENTIAL CONFLICTS PRIOR TO START OF WORK. AN IS TO BE USED FOR SOLE PURPOSE OF DIGGING THE JOINT TRENCH. SEE CPA. AT&T. AND COMCAST PLANS CT SIZE AND NUMBER OF CONDUITS INSTALLED IN THE JOINT TRENCH. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY JRE THE CORRECT NUMBER, SIZE AND TYPES OF CONDUITS ARE INSTALLED PER THE ENGINEERED PLANS BY THITY COMPANY ANS ISSUED AT THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING MAY BE SUBJECT TO REVISIONS, IF FINAL PLANS FROM EACH COMPANY WERE NOT AVAILABLE AT THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. SEWER, DRAINS, SANITARY WASTE, FUELS (INCLUDING DIESEL AND GASOLINE), OIL, PROPANE AND OTHER VOLATILE THAN AIR GASES, SPRINKLER, IRRIGATION, STEAM AND OTHER "WET" FACILITIES SHALL MAINTAIN A MINIMUM OF ET FROM THE NEAREST OUTER SURFACE OF CPA DRY FACILITIES WITH NO LESS THAN ONE FOOT OF EARTH ARRIER) BETWEEN THE ADJACENT SIDES OF THE INDIVIDUAL TRENCHES. EXTRAORDINARY CASE THAT THE MINIMUM FOUR FOOT HORIZONTAL SEPARATION CANNOT BE ATTAINED BETWEEN ILITIES AND COMPANY DRY FACILITIES, A VARIANCE MAY APPROVED BY THE LOCAL INSPECTION SUPERVISOR AND TO SERVICE PLANNING SUPPORT PROGRAM MANAGER FOR APPROVAL. INT TRENCH PLAN WAS PREPARED BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY AS PROVIDED BY A CIVIL ENGINEER. THE CTOR IS CAUTIONED THAT EXPLORATORY WORK IS NECESSARY TO DETERMINE THE ACTUAL LOCATION OF ANY G UTILITY. RADIUS STRONGLY RECOMMENDS THAT ALL UTILITIES BE PHYSICALLY LOCATED ON THE SITE BEFORE TH OF SITE WORK. SUBSTRUCTURE LOCATIONS MAY REQUIRE FIELD ADJUSTMENT TO COMPENSATE FOR ACTUAL UTILITY LOCATIONS. <u>T NOTES</u> BURIED PRIMARY CONDUIT IS NOT AN APPROVED CONSTRUCTION METHOD. PRIMARY CONDUITS SHALL BE E ENCASED, UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY CPAU UTILITIES ENGINEER. APPROVED CONDUIT MATERIALS: EDULE 40 PVC "DB 60" (SECONDARY) OR "DB 120" (PRIMARY) PLASTIC CONDUIT 'ANIZED RIGÌD STEEL CÓNDUIT. EFFORT MUST BE MADE TO OBTAIN STRAIGHT WATER-TIGHT CONDUIT LINE. JRNS MUST BE AVOIDED, PER THE TABLE BELOW. NORMALLY, THE PRIMARY DUCT RADIUS IS SPECIFIED. UNLESS D BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER, FACTORY OFFSETS WILL NOT BE USED. NDS AND SWEEPS (90 DEGREES) MUST BE ENCASED IN CONCRETE (MINIMUM 3") ALONG THE INSIDE RADIUS. LECTRIC UNDERGROUND INSPECTOR DETERMINES THAT THE BOTTOM OF THE TRENCH IS ROCKY, THEN A 2" SAND MUST BE INSTALLED BEFORE CONDUIT. L IN UNIMPROVED AREAS SHALL BE 12" OF CLEAN NATURAL SAND PER CALTRANS STD SPECS SEC 19–3.025B P OF THE UPPERMOST CONDUIT, 90% COMPACTION; TOPPED WITH EXCAVATED NATIVE SOIL, 85% COMPACTION. . IN IMPROVED AREAS MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF PALO ALTO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR LING IN IMPROVED AREAS. (SECTION 21) NDUITS MUST BE MANDRELLED (STD. DWG DT-SS-U-1025). THIS TEST MUST BE WITNESSED BY THE ELECTRIC GROUND INSPECTOR. POLYPROPYLENE PULL LINE (MIN. 150 LBS. TEST) MUST BE INSTALLED IN EACH CONDUIT. SPACING SHALL BE MAINTAINED BY SPACERS, APPROVED BY CPA, INSTALLED NO MORE THAN 7' APART. MUST BE SECURELY BOUND TO THE SPACERS. COVER FOR DIRECT BURIED CONDUIT: NDARY (NOT TRAFFIC) MUNICATIÓN (NOT TRÁFFIC) NDARY (TRAFFICE) IUNICATIÒN (TRAFFIC) BE REDUCED TO 18" FOR SECONDARY UNDER SIDEWALKS, WITH THE PROJECT ENGINEER'S APPROVAL. ITAL SPACING BETWEEN SECONDARY, COMMUNICATION, TELEPHONE, AND STREET LIGHTING CABLES OR DUCTS MAY DOM UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. CASE, VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN ELECTRIC LINES AND UTILITY LINE CROSSINGS MUST BE AT LEAST 12". IMARY CONDUIT IS NO LONGER AN APPROVED CONSTRUCTION METHOD. IC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT COMMENTS & CONDITIONS TRICAL VAULT INSTALLATIONS, REMOVALS AND RELOCATION'S SHALL BE AT CUSTOMER/DEVELOPER'S EXPENSE. CONDUIT SHALL BE CONCRETE ENCASED PER CPA REQUIREMENTS. VAL JOINT TRENCH AND VAULT DETAILS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE CITY'S ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT NT SHALL NOTIFY THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INSPECTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF ANY ELECTRICAL UTILITY RUCTURES PERMITTED TO BE BUILT WITHIN EXISTING PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS. NTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN 12" CLEAR, ABOVE AND BELOW FROM THE EXISTING UTILITIES TO NEW UNDERGROUND NTS SHALL PROVIDE PROTECTION FOR UTILITY LINES SUBJECT TO DAMAGE. EXPOSED ELECTRIC CONDUIT OR DUCT E INSPECTED BY THE ELECTRICAL UTILITY INSPECTOR PRIOR TO BACKFILLING ENSION OR RELOCATION OF EXISTING DISTRIBUTION LINES OR EQUIPMENT SHALL BE DONE AT ER/DEVELOPER'S EXPENSE. ELECTRIC CONDUIT MINIMUM BEND RADIUS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION SERVICE BACKFILL CONDUIT DIAMETER | VERTICAL RADIUS |HORIZONTAL RADIUS HERE REQ'D

NCHING, BACKFILLING AND INSTALLATION BY CONTRACTOR MUST COMPLY WITH CITY OF PALO ALTO STANDARDS. RK MUST COMPLY WITH CITY OF PALO ALTO(CPA), TELEPHONE, C.A.T.V., STANDARDS AND PRACTICES. ALL WOR E INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY RESPECTIVE INSPECTORS. RANDOM SOIL SAMPLES SHALL BE TAKEN FROM A OF THREE LOCATIONS PER 1,000' OF TRENCH. 100% OF THE SAMPLE MUST PASS THROUGH A 1/2" SIEVE AN T PASS THROUGH A #4 SCREEN. ADDITIONAL SAMPLES MUST BE TAKEN IF EXISTING SOIL CONDITIONS CHANGE O BE AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CPA REPRESENTATIVE ON SITE. THE SOILS MUST NOT CONTAIN ANY ROCKS /E SHARP EDGES OR THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE ABRASIVE. THE SOILS MUST NOT CONTAIN CLODS LARGER THAN BE USED AS SHADING, BEDDING OR LEVELING MATERIALS. COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS MUST MEET ANY LE CPA FEDERAL, STATE, COUNTY OR LOCAL REQUIREMENTS. ANY NATIVE SOILS OR IMPORT MATERIALS USED

L SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE UTILITY COMPANIES AND THE CITY. COMPACTION WILL BE TESTED AND PASSED SOILS ENGINEER. IS NOT ROCK FREE, ADD 4" DEPTH OF TRENCH FOR SAND BEDDING.

ENCHING CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THE UTILITY COMPANIES' INSTALLATION.

UCTION NOTES

CTOR SHALL MAKE HIMSELF FAMILIAR WITH THE PROJECT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND CONDUCT HIS WORK TRENCHING CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT IN PLACE ALL EXISTING FACILITIES. NO EXTRA

WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR CROSSING OTHER SYSTEMS. DESIGN ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PROJECT CONDITIONS. THESE DRAWINGS WERE PREPARED USING JPPLIED BY CPA, TELEPHONE, C.A.T.V., IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND THE CITY'S VARIOUS "AS BUILT" INFORMATION. BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PHYSICALLY REVIEW THE PROJECT PRIOR TO SUBMITTING HIS BID.

NO MORE THAN 270 DEGREES OF BENDS ARE ALLOWED BETWEEN PULL BOXES IN A SECONDARY CONDUIT RUN.

NO MORE THAN 180 DEGREES OF BENDS ARE ALLOWED BETWEEN PULL BOXES IN A PRIMARY CONDUIT RUN.

![](_page_21_Figure_34.jpeg)

12. PLASTIC CONDUITS SHALL BE TERMINATED WITH END BELLS. GALVANIZED STEEL CONDUITS SHALL BE TERMINATED WITH GROUND BUSHINGS. ALL CONDUITS AND ENDS WILL BE TO THE FINAL GRADE OF THE PAD.

- 13. PRIMARY CONDUIT BENDS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM RADIUS OF 36".
- 14. PRIMARY CONDUITS SHALL BE LOCATED IN THE LEFT HALF OF THE CONDUIT OPENING. SECONDARY CONDUITS SHALL OCCUPY THE RIGHT HALF.

15. THE TRANSFORMER PAD SHALL BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF 3 FEET FROM ANY BUILDING OR OVFRHANG

- 6. ALL REBAR SHALL BE A-615 GRADE 40. REBAR JOINTS SHALL BE FIRMLY AND SECURELY HELD IN POSITION BY WIRING AT INTERSECTIONS WITH NO. 16 GAGE WIRE. 7. MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONDUITS ENTERING SECONDARY SLOT SHALL BE FOUR. CONTACT THE
- ELECTRIC UTILITY PROJECT ENGINEER FOR DESIGN WITH MORE THAN FOUR SECONDARY.
- 18. GROUND ROD AND CLAMP, 5/8"X8'. SEE CPA STANDARD DRAWING #DT-SS-U-1001. 19. TRANSFORMER ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS.
- EXPANSION BOLT SHALL BE "PARABOLT" BY MOLY OR APPROVED EQUAL. MINIMUM EMBEDMENT LENGTH AND EDGE DISTANCE SHALL MEET THE MANUFACTURER'S REQUIREMENTS.

20. A MINIMUM OF 8 FEET CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED FROM THE FRONT SIDE OF THE PAD. A MINIMUM OF 3 FEET CLEARANCE SHALL BY MAINTAINED ON UNOPERABLE SIDES AND BACK. ALL MEASUREMENTS ARE TAKEN FROM THE PAD.

## OTHER NOTES

FIELD CONDITIONS.

- EASEMENTS MUST BE GRANTED TO THE CITY OF PALO ALTO FOR SWITCH, TRANSFORMERS, AND CONDUIT ON-SIITE.
- 2. ELECTRIC METERS MUST BE IN AN AREA READILY ACCESSIBLE TO CAPU DURING ALL HOURS. 3. UTILITY VAULTS, TRANSFORMERS, UTILITY CABINETS, CONCRETE BASES, OR OTHER STRUCTURES CAN NOT BE PLACED OVER EXISTING WATER, GAS OR WASTEWATER MAIN/SERVICES. MAINTAIN 1 HORIZONTAL CLEAR SEPARATION FROM THE VAULT/CABINET/CONCRETE BASE TO EXISTING UTILITIES AS FOUND IN THE FIELD. IF THERE IS A CONFLICT WITH EXISTING UTILITIES,

CABINETS/VAULTS/BASES SHALL BE RELOCATED FROM THE PLAN LOCATION AS NEEDED TO MEET

JOINT TRENCH COMPOSITE

JOINT TRENCH SECTIONS

UTILITY

PG&E GAS

AT&T (PHONE)

COMCAST (CATV)

CITY ENGINEER

PG&E ELECTRIC

JOINT TRENCH MUST BE INSTALLED ENTIRELY WITHIN AN EASEMENT. EASEMENTS FOR JOINT TRENCH SERVICE LATERALS WITHIN PROJECT ON PRIVATE PROPERTY ARE AT THE DISCRETION OF THE UTILITY COMPANIES. 2. ALL DEPTHS AND RESULTING COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE MEASURED FROM FINAL GRADE.

COVER, CLEARANCES, AND SEPARATION SHALL BE AS GREAT AS PRACTICABLE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES, BUT UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL BE LESS THAN THE MINIMUM COVER, CLEARANCE, AND SEPARATION REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN GENERAL ORDER 128 AND 49CFR 192.321, 49CFR 192.325, AND 49CFR 192.327. ALL FACILITIES SHALL BE ANCHORED IN PLACE PRIOR TO COMPACTION, OR OTHER MEANS SHALL BE TAKEN TO ENSURE NO MOTION OF THE FACILITIES. DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SHADING, LEVELING, AND BACKFILLING SHALL BE DETERMINED SUBSEQUENT

TRENCH DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE TYPICAL. TRENCH SIZES AND CONFIGURATIONS MAY VARY DEPENDING UPON OCCUPANCY AND/OR FIELD CONDITIONS. TRENCH SIZE AND CONFIGURATION MUST AT ALL TIMES BE CONSTRUCTED IN A MANNER THAT ENSURES PROPER CLEARANCES AND COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE MET. ANY "CHANGE" TO THE TRENCH WIDTH AND CONFIGURATIONS AS SHOWN IN THIS EXHIBIT MUST BE DESIGNED TO ENSURE THIS REQUIREMENT.

IT IS PREFERRED TO HAVE NON-CPA OWNED STREETLIGHTS AT A LEVEL OTHER THAN THE GAS OR ELECTRIC LEVEL. NON-CPA OWNED STREETLIGHTS MAY BE AT THE ELECTRIC LEVEL OF THE TRENCH AS LONG AS MINIMUM CLEARANCES ARE PROVIDED AND COMPLY WITH ALL SPECIAL NOTES FOR A JOINT TRENCH WITH A SECOND ELECTRIC UTILITY.

NON-UTILITY FACILITIES ARE NOT ALLOWED IN ANY JOINT UTILITY TRENCH, E.G., IRRIGATION CONTROL LINES, BUILDING FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS, PRIVATE TELEPHONE SYSTEMS, OUTDOOR ELECTRICAL CABLE, ETC. PROVIDE SEPARATION FROM TRENCH WALL AND OTHER FACILITIES SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE PROPER COMPACTION.

MAINTAIN PROPER SEPARATION BETWEEN CPA FACILITIES AND "WET" UTILITY LINES AS DESCRIBED IN CITY OF PALO ALTO

SEPARATIONS SHALL BE MAINTAINED AT ABOVEGROUND TERMINATION POINTS.

10. PROCEDURES FOR APPROVING NATIVE BACKFILL FOR SHADING OF CPA GAS FACILITIES:

- RANDOM SOIL SAMPLES SHALL BE TAKEN FROM A MINIMUM OF 3 LOCATIONS PER 1,000' OF TRENCH. 100% OF THE SAMPLE MUST PASS THROUGH A 1/2" SIEVE AND 75% MUST PASS THROUGH A #4 SCREEN. ADDITIONAL SAMPLES MUST BE TAKEN IF EXISTING SOIL CONDITIONS CHANGE AND ARE TO BE TAKEN AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CPA

- THE SOILS MUST NOT CONTAIN ANY ROCKS THAT HAVE SHARP EDGES OR THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE ABRASIVE. - THE SOILS MUST NOT CONTAIN CLODS LARGER THAN 1/2" IF TO BE USED AS SHADING, BEDDING, OR LEVELING

- COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS MUST MEET ANY APPLICABLE CPA, FEDERAL, STATE, COUNTY, OR LOCAL REQUIREMENTS. - AT NO TIME SHALL THE OVER SATURATION OF NATIVE SOILS BE USED TO ACHIEVE THESE REQUIREMENTS.

- 1/2" SIEVE: 8" DIAMETER BY 2" DEEP, STAINLESS STEEL MESH SCREEN.

- #4 SCREEN: 8" DIAMETER BY 2" DEEP, STAINLESS STEEL MESH SCREEN.

PROCEDURES FOR APPROVING NATIVE BACKFILL FOR SHADING AT CPA ELECTRIC FACILITIES:

- RANDOM SOIL SAMPLES SHALL BE TAKEN FROM A MINIMUM OF 3 LOCATIONS PER 1,000' OF TRENCH. ADDITIONAL SAMPLES MUST BE TAKEN IF EXISTING SOIL CONDITIONS CHANGE AND ARE TO BE TAKEN AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CPA REPRESENTATIVE ON SITE.

- SHADING MATERIAL CONTAINING LARGE ROCK, PAVING MATERIAL, CINDERS, SHARPLY ANGULAR SUBSTANCES, OR CORROSIVE MATERIAL SHALL NOT BE PLACED IN THE TRENCH WHERE SUCH MATERIAL MAY DAMAGE THE CONDUITS AND/OR PREVENT PROPER COMPACTION OVER OR AROUND THE CONDUITS.

 $\cdot$  NATIVE SOILS CONTAINING CLODS NOT TO EXCEED 6" IN DIAMETER MAY BE INCLUDED IN THE SHADING MATERIAL PROVIDED THE CLODS ARE READILY BREAKABLE BY HAND.

NOTE: SOILS CONSISTING PRIMARILY OF ADOBE, HARD COMPACT (DENSE) CLAY, AND BAY MUDS SHALL NOT BE USED AS

- AT NO TIME SHALL THE OVER SATURATION OF NATIVE SOILS BE USED TO ACHIEVE THESE REQUIREMENTS.

- REFER TO ENGINEERING DOCUMENT 062288, ITEM 13 ON PAGE 2. . COMPETENT NATIVE SOILS ARE PREFERRED TO BE USED FOR SHADING, BEDDING, AND BACKFILLING THROUGHOUT THE

- WHERE NATIVE SOILS EXCEED 1/2" MINUS AND/OR WHERE GAS IS TO BE PLACED AT THE BOTTOM OF A TRENCH IN AREAS THAT EXCEED 1/2" MINUS SOIL CONDITIONS, OR WHERE THE BOTTOM OF A TRENCH IS CONSIDERED TO CONSIST OF HARD PAN, CPA APPROVED 1/2" MINUS IMPORT MATERIAL SHALL BE USED FOR SHADING AND/OR

- CPA APPROVED IMPORT MATERIAL IS PER CGT ENGINEERING GUIDELINE 4123. - IF A LEVELING COURSE IS REQUIRED FOR GAS FACILITIES, THE USE OF NATIVE SOILS IS PREFERRED, BUT IF 1/2" MINUS CONDITIONS ARE NOT ATTAINABLE WITH THE NATIVE SOILS, THEN THE USE OF CPA APPROVED IMPORT MATERIALS IS REQUIRED. BEDDING UNDER GAS FACILITIES WILL BE A MINIMUM OF 2" OF COMPACTED 1/2" MINUS NATIVE SOILS OR CPA APPROVED IMPORT MATERIAL.

 FOR ELECTRIC FACILITIES, REFER TO NOTE 12. THIS APPLIES TO LEVELING COURSES AS WELL AS SHADING.
 THE MINIMUM CPA APPROVED BEDDING MATERIAL MAY BE INCREASED AT THE DISCRETION OF CPA WHEN WARRANTED BY EXISTING FIELD CONDITIONS (E.G., ROCKY SOILS, HARD PAN, ETC.) - THE USE OF ANY IMPORTED MATERIAL FOR BACKFILLING PURPOSES SHALL BE LIMITED TO THOSE SITUATIONS WHEN NATIVE SOILS DO NOT ALLOW FOR REQUIRED COMPACTION.

13. THE APPLICANT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF EXCESS SPOIL AND ASSOCIATED COSTS.

4. SERVICE SADDLES ARE THE PREFERRED SERVICE FITTINGS FOR USE THROUGHOUT THE JOINT TRENCH PROJECT. ALL PROJECTS WILL BE DESIGNED AND ESTIMATED USING SERVICE SADDLES. HOWEVER, SERVICE TEES MAY BE USED IF ALL CLEARANCES. SEPARATION. AND COVERAGE REQUIREMENTS ARE MAINTAINED.

![](_page_21_Figure_74.jpeg)

Exp. 03-31-24

OF CAL

SMITH DEVELOPMENT

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

![](_page_21_Picture_77.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_78.jpeg)

UTILITY DESIGN CONSULTANTS & ENGINEERS 1460 MARIA LANE, SUITE 420, WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 Tel (925) 269-4575

DESCRIPTION

ISSUES AND REVISIONS

NO. DATE

12.01.21 PLANNING SUBMITTAL 05.13.22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL #1 08.15.22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL #2

PROJECT NUMBER
22_1177

SHEET TITLE JOINT TRENCH TITLE SHEET

> SCALE N.T.S

![](_page_21_Picture_88.jpeg)

SHEET NUMBER

![](_page_21_Picture_91.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

1460 MARIA LANE, SUITE 420, WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

- <u>SOILS NOTES:</u> 1. RADIUS IS NOT RESPONS DETERMINE THE ABILITY T
- CONDITIONS. . RADIUS ASSUMES NO RE TO ADVERSE JOB SITE (
- 3. PG&E WILL REQUIRE SOILS ANALYSIS FOR SUBSURFACE TRANSFORMER (IF APPLICABLE).

RESPONSIBILITY CONDITIONS.	' FOR	ADDITIONAL	WORK	DUE	
			_		

SIBLE FOR ANY	SOILS ENGINEERING	10
TO CONSTRUCT	OR THE PROJECT	

SOILS ENGINEERING TO OR THE PROJECT	

LE FOR ANY CONSTRUCT	SOILS ENGINEERING TO OR THE PROJECT	

MINIMUM SEPARATION AND CLEARANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR JOINT TRENCHES

 12"
 1"
 0"
 12"
 12"
 1"
 24"; 30" IN S

 6"
 12"
 12"
 12"
 1.5"
 3"
 12"
 24"; 30" IN S

 12"
 12"
 12"
 1.5"
 3"
 12"
 24"; 30" IN S

 12"
 12"
 12"
 12"
 3"
 3"
 12"
 36"; 36" IN S

 12"
 1"
 1"
 1"
 12"
 12"
 0"
 24"; 30" IN S

DUCT

T TELEPHONE (DUCT)

C C.A.T.V. S ELECTRIC SECONDARY P ELECTRIC PRIMARY

FO FIBER OPTIC

![](_page_23_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_15.jpeg)

SMITH DEVELOPMENT

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

![](_page_23_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_19.jpeg)

UTILITY DESIGN CONSULTANTS & ENGINEERS 1460 MARIA LANE, SUITE 420, WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 Tel (925) 269-4575

DESCRIPTION

ISSUES AND REVISIONS

NO. DATE

08.15.22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL #2

PROJECT NUMBER 22-1177

SHEET TITLE JOINT TRENCH SECTIONS

> SCALE N.T.S

![](_page_23_Picture_27.jpeg)

SHEET NUMBER

![](_page_23_Picture_29.jpeg)

24" IN DIRT 30" IN STREET Ω,

JOINT TRENCH TITLE SHEET JOINT TRENCH COMPOSITE JOINT TRENCH SECTIONS

![](_page_23_Picture_32.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY AVE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 <sup>†</sup>0.0 
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0</td <sup>+</sup>3.5 <del>3</del>,4 <sup>+</sup>4.1 <sup>‡</sup>4.1 <sup>†</sup>11.3 <sup>†</sup>5.0 <sup>'A'</sup> ∳ 4.4 10.8 5.6 4.1 **60**.4 5.7 0.8 2.6 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.4 37.3 1.6 0.4 34.9 1.8 0.3 12.6 5.4 
 'B'
 7.1
 1.1
 0.1
 0.4
 1.1
 0.8
 0.5
 1.1
 0.8
 0.4
 0.9
 0.9
 <sup>'B'</sup><sup>1</sup>7.8 <sup>1</sup>.5 <sup>0</sup>.3 <sup>0</sup>.1 1.1 8.1 1.4 1.3 0.9 0.5 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.3 1.0 29.7 2.4 0.3 29.9 2.1 0.3 23.8 2.7 Max/Min Avg/Min Units Avg Max Min Fc 1.37 37.3 0.0 N.A. N.A. Tag LLF Arrangement Description Luminaire Lumens EC-40571-W27 Rev\_2 0.850 Single 664 Single EVO4 27\_10 AR MD LSS 0.850 923 SITE PHOTOMETRIC PLAN

SCALE: 1"=10'-0"

			/		_				
			_ ///	/				-	
<sup>†</sup> 0.0 <sup>†</sup> 0	).0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	ŧ0.0	*0.0		
<sup>†</sup> 0.0 <sup>†</sup> 0	0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	0.0	<b>.</b> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
Ō.O Ō	).0	<b>†</b> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	0.0	<b>.</b> 0	<b>0</b> .0	
				• 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	0.0	0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
				• 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
				<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	0.0	0.0	Ō.0	
				<b>0</b> .1	<sup>†</sup> 0.1	0.0	0.0	<b>†</b> 0.0	
				<sup>‡</sup> 3.3	<sup>†</sup> 0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
			•	A <sup>†</sup> 7.5	1.7	<sup>•</sup> 0.1	0.0	0.0	
				<sup>†</sup> 9.3 ⊿'	<sup>†</sup> 1.4	0.1	<b>0</b> .0	<b>†</b> 0.0	
				6.6 •	1.3	0.1	<b>•</b> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
				<sup>‡</sup> 4.6	1.1	0.1	<b>0</b> .0	0.0	
				• 8.0	<sup>-</sup> 3.6	<sup>•</sup> 0.2	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
			8 '	B'		<sup>†</sup> 0.1	<b>0</b> .0	0.0	
						<sup>†</sup> 0.1	• 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
						<sup>•</sup> 0.1	<sup>‡</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
'B			8'	B'		<b>0</b> .0	<b>0</b> .0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
0.3 <sup>1</sup>	7.2	<sup>•</sup> 4.0	<b>0</b> .1	<sup>•</sup> 0.7	<sup>•</sup> 0.4	0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
<sup>•</sup> 0.5 <sup>•</sup> 0	).9	<sup>†</sup> 0.8	<sup>†</sup> 0.2	<b>0</b> .1	<sup>†</sup> 0.1	<b>*</b> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
<sup>•</sup> 0.1 <sup>•</sup> 0	).1	0.1 ∣	<sup>•</sup> 0.1	<sup>•</sup> 0.1	<sup>•</sup> 0.1	0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
<sup>•</sup> 0.5 <sup>•</sup> 1	.2	<sup>†</sup> 1.0	<sup>•</sup> 0.5	<sup>•</sup> 1.4	<sup>†</sup> 1.0	0.2	<b>0</b> .0	<sup>†</sup> 0.0	
<b>0.2 1</b>	5 <b>.</b> 9 ′B⊠	<b>•4.1</b> ××	<b>0.2</b>	1 <del>9.</del> 2 × 'B'×	<b>3.3</b>	0.1	0.0	<b>†</b> 0.0	
7 7 7 1									
1			<b>–</b> – •						
Watts	C		Wa	ai tts					
Z3.1			323	0.4					

SHEET NUMBER

SCALE 1" = 10' - 0"0 10' <u> 20</u>'

SHEET TITLE SITE PHOTOMETRIC PLAN

PROJECT NUMBER 21003

NO. DATE 05.13.22 PLANNING RESUBMITTAL #1

PROJ NORTH

ISSUES AND REVISIONS DESCRIPTION

PROJECT 2021-1343 CONTACT KRISTINA SANTI 135 Main Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94105 TEL 415.489.7240 www.interfaceengineering.com

**INTERFACE** ENGINEERING

![](_page_24_Picture_14.jpeg)

660 UNIVERSITY PALO ALTO, CA 94301

SMITH DEVELOPMENT

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 🧕 gotham 🗉 V O

### 4" General Illumination Round Downlight

хамы	- FV04 35/25 AR MWD ISS 1	120 F71									
Series	Color Temperature Nominal Lumer		alues Reflector & Flange Color		Trim Style		Distribution		Finish		Voltage
EVO4	27/ 2700 K 30/ 3000 K 35/ 3500 K 40/ 4000 K 50/ 5000 K	02         250 lumens           05         500 lumens           07         750 lumens           10         1000 lumens           15         1500 lumens           20         2000 lumens           25         2500 lumens           30         3000 lumens           35         3500 lumens           40         4000 lumens	AR PR WTR GR WR <sup>1</sup> BR <sup>1</sup> WRAMF <sup>1</sup>	Clear Pewter Wheat Gold White Black White Anti-microbial	(blank) Self-flan, FL Flangele	ged SS	MD MWD WD	Medium (0.9 s/mh) Medium wide (1.0 s/mh) Wide (1.2 s/ mh)	LSS LD LS	Semi-specular Matte-diffuse Specular	MVOLT 120 277 347 <sup>2,3</sup>
river4			Control Interface			Options					
Z10	0-10V driver dims to 10%		NLT <sup>6</sup>	nLight® dimming	pack controls	SF	S	ingle Fuse. Speci	fv 120V	or 277V	
Z1	0-10V driver dims to 1%	NLTER <sup>2,6,10</sup>	ILTER <sup>2,6,10</sup> nLight <sup>®</sup> dimming pack controls			TRW7 White painted flange					
Z10	eldoLED 0-10V ECOdrive. Lin	NI TAID012	emergency circuit			B	Black painted flange				
71	10% MIN.	NLIAIRZ <sup>13</sup>	nLight <sup>®</sup> Air enab	EL <sup>9</sup>	E	Emergency battery pack, 10W, with integral test switch					
21	1% min.	Controls. Cont		s fixtures on	ELK <sup>®</sup>	E E	Emergency battery pack, 10W, with remote test switch				
ZB	eldoLED 0-10V SOLOdrive. L		NLTAIREM2 <sup>2,13</sup> emergency circuit NLTAIREM2 <sup>2,13</sup> nLight <sup>®</sup> AIR Dimming Pack Wireless Controls. Controls fixtures on emergency circuit with battery pack			t	test switch				
DAB⁴	ming to <1%. eldoLED SOLOdrive DALI. Log	NLTAIREM2 <sup>2,13</sup>				e E	Emergency battery pack, 10W, with self-diagnostics, remotest switch			stics, remote	
NXR4	lu <1%. eldol ED POWERdrive DMX w		options.	should man succes puck		P <sup>9</sup> E	Emergency battery pack, 10W Constant Power, CA Title 20				
DAD	device management). Squar <1%. Minimum 1000 lumen	EXA1	XPoint Wireless, ECOdrive. Linear Refer to XPoint to	E10WC	PRº E c	Emergency battery pack, 10W Constant Power, CA Title 20 compliant with remote test switch					
CU222	Lutron® Hi-Lume® 2-wire forward-phase driv- er.120V only. Minimum dimming level 1%. Min: 1000LM; Max: 2500LM		EXAB	XPoint Wireless, eldoLED 0-10V		N8011	n	nLight <sup>®</sup> Lumen Compensation			
L0032			SOLOdrive. Logar		rithmic dimming	BGTD	B	Bodine generator transfer device. Specify 120V or 277V.			
			to <1%. Refer to		XPoint tech sheet.	90CRI	H	High CRI (90+)			
CODo	Lutron Ecosystem digital Hi-Lume 1% soft-on, fade to black. Min: 250LLM; Max: 4000LM.						0	Chicago Plenum. Specify 120V or 27/V for 5000lm and above			
						KKL	c b	consistent factory installed option across all ABL luminaire brands. Refer to <u>RRL</u> for complete nomenclature.			

### ACCESSORIES — order as separate catalog numbers (shipped separately)

SCA4 Sloped ceiling adapter. Degree of slope must be specified (5D, 10D, 15D, 20D, 25D, 30D). Ex: SCA4 10D. Refer to TECH-190.

CTAEVO4 4" Aperture ceiling thickness adapter (extends mounting frame to accommodate ceiling thickness up to 5"). CTA4-8 YK 4"-8" Aperture ceiling thickness adapter (extends mounting frame to accommodate ceiling thickness up to 5"). For use with CWW/DWW trims, EDXB, CP or nTune options. ISD BC 0-10V wallbox dimmer. Refer to ISD-BC.

### ORDERING NOTES 1. Not available with finishes.

- 2. Not available with emergency battery pack options. 3. Supplied with factory installed step down transformer.
- 4. Refer to <u>TECH-240</u> for compatible dimmers.
- 5. Not available with nLight<sup>®</sup> and XPoint options. 6. Must specify voltage.
- 7. For use with different reflector finish only (i.e. AR, PR, WTR, GR
- optior 8. For use with different reflector finish only (i.e. AR, PR, WTR, GR
- options). Not applicable with BR (black reflector) or FL (flangeless) option

EV04 page 2 of 8

## **UEC-40571** ECO 1 Recessed

![](_page_25_Picture_17.jpeg)

23w LED 769 Lumens P65 • Suitable For Wet Locations 07 • Impact Resistant (Vandal Resistant) eight 10.6 lbs 

![](_page_25_Figure_19.jpeg)

**Beam Angle** 

![](_page_25_Figure_21.jpeg)

## Aluminum Casting Less than 0.1% copper content – Marine Grade 6060 extruded & LM6 Aluminum High Pressure die casting provides excellent mechanical strength, clean detailed product lines and excellent heat dissipation. dexidizing and etching as well as a zinc and nickel phosphate process before product painting. Memory Retentive -Silicon Gasket Provided with special injection molded "fit for purpose" long life high temperature memory retentive silicon gaskets. Maintains the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and seal over years of use and the gaskets exact profile and the gaskets exact profil compression.

Construction

Thermal management LM6 Aluminum is used for its excellent mechanical strength and applications. LMb Aluminum is used for its excellent mechanical strength and thermal dissipation properties in low and high ambient temperatures. The superior thermal heat sink design by Ligman used in conjunction with the driver, controls thermals below critical temperature range to ensure maximum luminous flux output, as well as providing long LED service life and ensuring less than 10% lumen depreciation at 50,000 hours.

<u>BUG Rating</u> B0 - U2 - G1 Surge Suppression Standard 10kv surge suppressor provided with all fixtures.

## UV Stabilized 4.9Mil thick powder coat paint and baked at 200 Deg C. This process ensures that Ligman products can withstand harsh environments. Rated for use in natatoriums.

ne Inspired by nature Finishing is a unique system of

- decorative powder coating. Our metal decoration process ca easily transform the appearance of metal or aluminum produ a wood grain finish. d even marble or granite finish through the use of decorativ powder coating. ne wood grain finish is so realistic that it's almost indistinguishable from real wood, even from a close visual nspection. The system of coating permeates the entire hickness of the coat and as a result, the coating cannot be noved by normal rubbing, chipping, or scratching.
- Coating Process specially formulated polyurethane powder. This powder rovides protection against wear, abrasion, impact and prrosion and acts as the relief base color for the finalized metal The component is then wrapped with a sheet of non-porous ilm with the selected decoration pattern printed on it using pecial high temperature inks.
- printed film transfer is vacuum-sealed to the surface for plete thermo print and then transferred into a customized n. The oven transforms the ink into different forms within paint layer before it becomes solid. Finally, the film is noved, and a vivid timber look on aluminum remains. f any sort. There are over 300 combinations of designs urrently in use. Wood grains can be made with different olors, designs, etc.

## Dur powder coatings are certified for indoor and outdoor pplications and are backed by a comprehensive warranty. these coatings rise to the highest conceivable standard of performance excellence and design innovation. Added Benefits Resistance to salt-acid room, accelerated aging Boiling water, lime and condensed water resistant Anti-Graffiti, Anti-Slip, Anti-Microbial, Anti-Scratch

Super durable (UV resistant)

TGIC free (non-toxic)

<u>Hardware</u> Provided Hardware is Marine grade 316 Stainless steel. Anti Seize Screw Holes Tapped holes are infused with a special anti seize compound designed to prevent seizure of threaded connections, due to electrolysis from heat, corrosive atmospheres and moisture. Opal Borosilicate Glass Lens Provided with opal borosilicate impact resistant glass.

Optics & LED Precise optic design provides exceptional light control and precise distribution of light. LED CRI > 80 <u>Lumen – Maintenance Life</u> L80 /B10 at 50,000 hours (This means that at least 90% of the LED still achieve 80% of their original flux)

### 10. ER for use as UL924 Emergency Operation via power sense lead. Will require an emergency hot feed and normal hot feed. EM for use as UL924 Emergency Operation via power interrupt detection.

11. Fixture begins at 80% light level. Must be specified with NLT or NLTER. Only available with EZ10 and EZ1 drivers. 12. Not available with ELR, HAO, EXA1, or EXAB options.

9. 11" of plenum depth or top access required for battery pack maintenance.

options). Not applicable with WR (white reflector) or FL (flangeless) 13. Not available DALI or DMX drivers. Not available with CP or N80 options. Not recommended for metal ceiling installations.

> GOTHAM ARCHITECTURAL DOWNLIGHTING | 1400 Lester Road Conyers, GA 30012 | P 800-705-SERV (7378) | gothamlighting.com © 2014-2022 Acuity Brands Lighting Inc. All Rights Reserved. Rev. 03/08/22 Specifications subject to change without notice.

![](_page_25_Picture_37.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_38.jpeg)

[ gotham<sup>®</sup>

## Robust urban wall semi-recessed pathway and stair luminaire. Designed to deliver edge to edge task levels from a classic form-factor.

8 step degrease and phosphate process that includes outdoor applications for pathways and ramps. The linear

complement the recessed product. See bollard section on the Ligman website.

![](_page_25_Picture_42.jpeg)

## gotham ⊨ ∨ °

4"

General Illumination Round Downlight

SMITH DEVELOPMENT

EV04

PROJECT TYPE QUANTITY

![](_page_25_Figure_75.jpeg)

ADDITIONAL OPTIONS
DIM - 0-10v Dimming NAT - Natatorium Rated

![](_page_25_Figure_78.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_80.jpeg)

A range of square wall recessed luminaires, with a glare free cut-off reflector system. Suitable for indoor and spread lens provides a wide beam spread that evenly illuminates up to 26ft of pathway.

pressure die-cast aluminum back box and can be pre shipped to the jobsite for concrete pour or masonry

The ECO range has a matching bollard offering to Complies with ADA requirements.

All Ligman fixtures can be manufactured using a special pre-treatment and coating process that ensures the fixture can be installed in natatoriums as well as environ-<u>Finishing</u> All Ligman products go through an extensive finishing process that includes fettling to improve paint adherence. Still maintain the 5 year warranty. For this natatorium still maintain the 5 year warranty. For this natatorium rated process please specify NAT in options.

ALL DRAWINGS AND WRITTEN MATERIAL APPEARING HEREIN CONSTITUTE ORIGINAL AND UNPUBLISHED WORK OF THE ARCHITECT AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, USED OR DISCLOSED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ARCHITECT