

From: [Terry Shuchat](#)
To: [Lara Ekwall](#)
Cc: [Leland Wiesner](#); [Jennifer Allen](#); [Judy Ohki](#); [Lisa Robins](#); [Abraham](#); [Alex Tao](#); [Andy Nguyen](#); [Apple, Kara](#); [Brad Ehikian](#); [Brandon](#); [CHop Keenan](#); [California Barber Shop](#); [Carolina Hahn](#); [Cathy Norge](#); [Chan Nguyen](#); [Charlie King](#); [Charlie Weidanz](#); [Christopher Gaines](#); [Cindy Nguyen kim](#); [Dennis Kelly](#); [Don Lundell](#); [Don Yarkin](#); [Gillian@zombierunner.com](#); [Giuseppe Carrubba](#); [Italico Restaurant](#); [Izzy's Brooklyn Bagels](#); [Jamie S. Jarvis](#); [Jess](#); [Joanies Cafe](#); [Joe Martignetti](#); [John Felt](#); [John McNellis](#); [John Shenk](#); [Jon Goldman](#); [Juan Lorenzo](#); [Kou, Lydia](#); [Lori](#); [Lucas Grzeszczuk](#); [Maico Campilongo](#); [Margot Goldberg](#); [Maricar Horton at Esq Properties](#); [Michael Ekwall](#); [Mike Stone](#); [MyLan Biermann](#); [Peking Duck](#); [Phyllis Munsey](#); [Pilates V](#); [Port of Peri Peri](#); [Rejuvenate Dental](#); [Renata Lance](#); [Roxy Rapp](#); [Ryankhalil91@gmail.com](#); [Scott Otte](#); [Steven Dahl](#); [Summit Bicycles](#); [alecia@vinvinowine.com](#); [bistrobirchst@gmail.com](#); [brandon@legends-pizza.com](#); [cafebrioche@gmail.com](#); [cafepronorestaurant@gmail.com](#); [cv@kaligreekkitchen.com](#); [dnishimoto@molliestones.com](#); [eramos0622@gmail.com](#); [info@baumerestaurant.com](#); [ismetsylm@gmail.com](#); [jim@ellispartners.com](#); [jinsho454calave@gmail.com](#); [labohemepaloalto@gmail.com](#); [lotusthaibistro@gmail.com](#); [moods.winebar@yahoo.com](#); [paloalto@legends-pizza.com](#); [paloalto@vitalitybowls.com](#); [pastispaloalto@gmail.com](#); [savannah.pham@yahoo.com](#); [zareensrestaurant@gmail.com](#); [mike@stetsonearhart.com](#); [Council, City](#)
Subject: Re: California Avenue and Ramona Street Closure Extension
Date: Monday, May 16, 2022 12:12:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Be cautious of opening attachments and clicking on links.

Terry Shuchat here, former owner of Keeble and Shuchat Photography for 51 years and owner of 4 buildings on Calif. Ave.

I have several store fronts that are vacant and difficult to lease. City of Palo Alto has many limitations as to what kind of business can exist on Calif. Ave. First, no business with more than 10 retail locations can locate on Calif. Ave. Restaurants can not locate in my buildings due to parking requirements. My buildings are listed as retail and a retail space has fewer parking spaces allocated than a restaurant. The spaces only exist on paper. The fact that there is a new 6 story parking garage has not changed the parkings rules for each building on Calif. Ave.

The City does have away around all the rules. It is know as a CUP or conditional use permit. The CUP process is expensive and can take several months. I had a party ready to move into my building as 261 Calif. Ave. but after dealing with the CUP process for two months they gave up. Currently I have another person interested who is in the CUP permit process currently.

If the City made it easier to fill the buildings on Calif. Ave. there would be fewer empty buildings. People tend to believe that buildings are empty due to the owners wanting too much rent. That is not the case here. I would like to fill my buildings and am hoping the City will change their rules and open up vacant property to a wider range of tenants.

Terry Shuchat

On May 16, 2022, at 11:11 AM, Lara Ekwall <lara@labodeguita.com> wrote:

I know that 'Cal Ave businesses' are merging over to our FB group (thanks Zombie's)...

However, a friendly reminder to all about the City Council meeting today re: **street closures during the feasibility study.** Keep in

mind, Ramona and Cal Ave should be completely different matters.

Regarding last week's topic, I am for the permanent parklet concept (although I'm concerned about the lack of gas heater options vs required electrical conversions and upgrades... And concerned about the side panels/weatherization that would be conducive to guests dining outside).

Given what we know so far about the permanent parklets, the concept should be the same throughout the city. However, on Cal Ave, I can guess (respectfully :) that Terun and Italico will make the investment but asking around, I don't know of anyone else? Does the city know who is willing to spend the money/time/energy on Cal Ave to install permanent parklets? It seems crazy to make a 2 block, '16 foot wide red/green bike rectangle' for 2 years while the city is waiting for input about what will be best. Why not restore it back to something we know was working before?

Also, I love my bike but converting such a majority of square footage for bikes to speed through with no way to enforce safety seems like a liability and accident waiting to happen. I respect that the cyclists need a place to ride but for 2 blocks, speeding through our "promenade" concept seems bonkers. Maybe moving them to Cambridge and Sherman is safer for the cyclists AND pedestrians.

If Cal Ave remains closed and only has 2 parklets and has the massive green/red super highway for 2 blocks of bike traffic, I firmly believe this would ruin the concept of a 'pedestrian friendly' & 'promenade vibe' that so many people have expressed interest in... I recognize that retail and store fronts want people walking by on the sidewalks but the concept of a promenade is for people to be able to wander around and explore a district. With the KOA looking tents gone, I believe that the pedestrians will be able to absorb much more of the business district... people walking around can then see the store fronts?

I fully support permanent parklets for those who chose but I

FIRMLY support reopening car traffic to Cal Ave during the 2 year feasibility study. **If the 2 year study determines that a closure is best, the city can proceed with all of the data they have and make it as friendly, attractive, functional and safe as possible. Also, the people who have invested in the permanent parklets can get started sooner than later with their conversions regardless of the 2 year feasibility study.**

Also, I again support the city council revisiting the regulations for leasing in our district. I ask that city officials please reach out at to local leasing agents to see what the city can do to support filling the overwhelming vacancies in our immediate district.

Best, Lara Ekwall

--

Lara Ekwall
La Bodeguita del Medio ®
463 S. California Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94306
650.326.7762

[@labo_paloalto](https://www.instagram.com/labopaloalto)
www.labodeguita.com

lara@labodeguita.com

On Fri, May 6, 2022 at 9:15 AM Leland Wiesner <lwiesner@gmail.com> wrote:
Agreed Jennifer.

City council cannot possibly know (with consultants doing studies or not) whether closing streets will help office, restaurant, or retail equally so its perplexing that are willing to take such huge risks in view of the lingering covid related damage.

What council cannot deny, but apparently refuse to admit, is that all the businesses in the area were doing excellent before COVID with the streets open.

Leland

On Wed, May 4, 2022, 9:08 AM Jennifer Allen <jennifer@pippaloalto.com> wrote:

What is imperative over any discussion of street closure, is how to draw

office workers back to the offices. They then shop in the retail stores and eat lunch in the restaurants creating a vibrant area (although then we have a parking issue - nothing is easy!). Not sure how to get people back in their offices since many companies have adopted a permanent hybrid or remote work culture.

Santa Barbara pre-covid did have a thriving downtown (State St), but visiting there three months ago, it was a ghost town with about a third of the stores vacant and boarded up with people sleeping in the empty doorways. Their previous success with a closed street had a completely different makeup of people who frequented their area (tourists) than what CalAve draws.

Our area was successful before COVID with the street open, and the closure was necessary during COVID to accommodate CDC guidelines for the restaurants. Now that restaurants can use their indoor spaces, I think it's wise to look at what is best for the whole area. I don't have the answer for that, and know it will take time to rebuild if we can keep hanging in there. I'm glad to see the discussion taking place and hope that the city council will listen to all sides.

Jennifer Allen, Owner

M-F 8-5 | Store 650 323 8388 | Cell 650 224 5276

PIP Marketing • Signs • Print | PipPaloAlto.com

2233 El Camino Real | Palo Alto, CA 94306

Promotional Products [Here](#) | Banners/Trade Show Displays [Here](#).

On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 8:14 AM Judy Ohki <judy@lpetal.com> wrote:

Hello,

Thank you Don and Gillian for the brilliant idea. A Facebook group sounds ideal and truly appreciate your efforts in moderating. I would be happy to join and participate.

As for the street closure, I was initially resistant but then realized it was a necessity for us. I believe it has helped us make it to this point because we are surrounded by businesses that have also survived thus far, although I realize some have not. Survival and profitable are not the same, but we are still in business making our way. Key to our future is for our fellow businesses to thrive.

It seems imperative to open the street to traffic to many of our neighbors. I'm torn on what is best for us. You have the support of Leaf & Petal. Hopefully "strength in number" applies to Cal Ave.

Very grateful for your commitment and hard work.

Warmly
Judy

On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 1:08 AM Lisa Robins <lisa@vinvinowine.com> wrote:

Hello All,

Don and Gillian, yes please on a Facebook group for the neighborhood. I think we have consensus that it will be a great place for collaboration on whatever we need to face going forward, and we would all appreciate you moderating. I'm happy to help if you want to add me as admin. Franco and Maico, thank you so much for your support for reopening the street. It is so great to have you on the side of all of the businesses that haven't benefitted as much from the closure as you have. I'd love to hear from people that have not spoken up yet, but it seems like there is some real interest in reopening the street to traffic while Palo Alto studies the options.

At the start of the pandemic, I know that we panicked and didn't know how we would manage. We ALL went through that. We all adapted however we could. Many of us supported the emergency street closure because we wanted our neighbors to survive even if it was not to our immediate benefit. The situation has shifted yet again. Many of the businesses on California Avenue have left. Many more might not make it through an extended closure. Maybe many would prefer the street be closed indefinitely. Whatever your individual situation, we all will benefit from a thoughtful look at what is really best for the district going forward.

The Council seems to be leaning towards closing the street indefinitely. They are hearing from their constituents that they LOVE the park-like atmosphere of California Avenue on a Saturday evening. When I talk to my customers, they are typically excited about having an outdoor park until they hear that we are doing zero business midday and mid-week. They would definitely rather have us survive than have an outdoor promenade on Saturday night. If we want to persuade the Council to keep California Ave. open while they study options they need to hear from our customers, too. You all can help by asking your customers to reach out to council directly.

The Council was presented with a pretty sexy example of State St. in Santa Barbara, and it's hard to argue with how gorgeous that transformation was. However, State Street in Santa Barbara ends in a BEACH with tourist hotels. California Avenue ends in a commuter train station. We know who we are. We are a lovely business district with everything a resident might need for a walkable or drivable life. We stand out for having a nail salon, dry cleaner, bike shop, grocery store (2!), wine shop, optometrist, hardware store, coffee shop, many restaurants and other businesses that cater to our neighbors. I'm pretty convinced that keeping the street closed forever will jeopardize this mix of businesses.

Let's keep talking to each other

.

Thanks all!

Best,
Lisa

Lisa Robins
Owner - Wine Buyer
Vin Vino Wine
main (650) 324-4903
cell (415) 609-9938
www.vinvinowine.com

On Monday, May 2, 2022, 12:58:48 PM PDT, Italico Restaurant
<info@italicorestaurant.com> wrote:

Dear friends

We remember in 2012, to be always able to park our car (even on a Friday evening) in front of the just leased location of what it would have become Terun at [448 California Ave.](#)

Since then everything started to change and year by year the Avenue became busier and busier. Rents became higher and city rules made it progressively harder to lease spaces, especially for some specific business categories and small chains (parking related most of the time). Now parking seems not to be an issue anymore.

We (Terun & italico) are among the lucky that have been thriving thanks to the capacity to adapt and the support from this amazing community.

No one of us though ever experienced a Pandemic during our lifetime.

Every business has its own needs and sometimes even the one that can adapt might fail.

We had to keep Italico closed for a few months in 2020 with a net loss of about 400k, but at the end we were able to come back .

Terun is up 30% from the best year of 2019 while iTalico is still down 10% due to the LACK OF BUSINESS from the OFFICE spaces (see VISA, CLOUDERA, VERTEX ecc.) . Also Stanford still only allows 2 days per week of in office work. There is just less people around. We also remember the City Manager saying that during Covid there were about 100k less people around due to remote work. This has changed now but by not much.

We NEVER relied on foot traffic cause we think California Ave has always been a destination place but we strongly believe that creating a well planned CAR FREE environment would be a great opportunity for the City of Palo Alto and the whole business district.

We really dislike those ugly tents but we believe that in order to improve things we always have to make sacrifices just like when we reduced the width of the Avenue in favor of a wider sidewalk area.

Unfortunately the pandemic changed the way of doing business for many. For some it will never be the same. Retail is the one hit the most.

Many (including our families) tend to shop on line. Amazon, good eggs, uber eats, doordash etc...

Whatever decision will be taken we feel blessed to be part of the California Ave business district and we will always contribute to make it better and more attractive for visitors.

For us having the street closure helped immensely but DEFINITELY NOT VITAL FOR OUR SURVIVAL at this time anymore.

PS : We like the idea of a Facebook group.
We love social media as for us is one of the most effective ways to advertise and get more people through our doors. (We spend about 8k per month between instagram/Facebook, Google, live music and Local newspapers).

Sorry for the long email and the grammar:)

Peace and Love
Franco & Maico Campilongo
Proud owners of
Terun and iTalico

On Mon, May 2, 2022 at 11:16 AM Don Lundell <dc@zombierunner.com> wrote:
Anything but an email list. Visually clunky, no way to add and remove members, etc.

If almost everyone has a Facebook account, that should be the forum (easy for non/FB people to add an account). Unless there's something as ubiquitous.

It should be something that supports topic, so I don't think a messenger or chat client is appropriate.

Also, this is a group for California Ave business owners list I'm talking about. Useful for the street closure as well as other local topics.

Don

--
ZombieRunner Coffee
<http://www.zombierunner.com>
650-325-2048

> On May 2, 2022, at 11:00 AM, Elizabeth Wong
<elizabethwong2009@gmail.com> wrote:
> Christopher

--

iTalico Restaurant
[341 South California Ave](#)
[Palo Alto Ca. 94306](#)

--

Judy
Leaf & Petal

From: [Leland Wiesner](#)
To: [Lara Ekwall](#)
Cc: [Jennifer Allen](#); [Judy Ohki](#); [Lisa Robins](#); [Abraham](#); [Alex Tao](#); [Andy Nguyen](#); [Apple, Kara](#); [Brad Ehikian](#); [Brandon](#); [CHop Keenan](#); [California Barber Shop](#); [Carolina Hahn](#); [Cathy Norge](#); [Chan Nguyen](#); [Charlie King](#); [Charlie Weidanz](#); [Christopher Gaines](#); [Cindy Nguyen kim](#); [Dennis Kelly](#); [Don Lundell](#); [Don Yarkin](#); [Gillian@zombierunner.com](#); [Giuseppe Carrubba](#); [Italico Restaurant](#); [Izzy's Brooklyn Bagels](#); [Jamie S. Jarvis](#); [Jess](#); [Joanies Cafe](#); [Joe Martignetti](#); [John Felt](#); [John McNellis](#); [John Shenk](#); [Jon Goldman](#); [Juan Lorenzo](#); [Kou, Lydia](#); [Lori](#); [Lucas Grzeszczuk](#); [Maico Campilongo](#); [Margot Goldberg](#); [Maricar Horton at Esq Properties](#); [Michael Ekwall](#); [Mike Stone](#); [MyLan Biermann](#); [Peking Duck](#); [Phyllis Munsey](#); [Pilates V](#); [Port of Peri Peri](#); [Rejuvenate Dental](#); [Renata Lance](#); [Roxxy Rapp](#); [Ryankhalil91@gmail.com](#); [Scott Otte](#); [Steven Dahl](#); [Summit Bicycles](#); [Terry Shuchat](#); [alecia@vinvinowine.com](#); [bistrobirchst@gmail.com](#); [brandon@legends-pizza.com](#); [cafebrioche@gmail.com](#); [cafepronorestaurant@gmail.com](#); [cv@kaligreekkitchen.com](#); [dnishimoto@molliestones.com](#); [eramos0622@gmail.com](#); [info@baumerestaurant.com](#); [ismetsylm@gmail.com](#); [jim@ellispartners.com](#); [jinsho454calave@gmail.com](#); [labohemepaloalto@gmail.com](#); [lotusthaibistro@gmail.com](#); [moods.winebar@yahoo.com](#); [paloalto@legends-pizza.com](#); [paloalto@vitalitybowls.com](#); [pastispaloalto@gmail.com](#); [savannah.pham@yahoo.com](#); [zareensrestaurant@gmail.com](#); [mike@stetsonnearhart.com](#); [Council, City](#)
Subject: Re: California Avenue and Ramona Street Closure Extension
Date: Monday, May 16, 2022 11:43:00 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from lwiesner@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Be cautious of opening attachments and clicking on links.

Permanent parklets reduce parking and make Calif a terrible environment for anyone else but restaurants. There is much more to Palo Alto than eating.

Unless all the restaurants want to start paying my property taxes, the parklets and street closures in all of Palo Alto should be undone immediately.

On Mon, May 16, 2022, 11:11 AM Lara Ekwall <lara@labodeguita.com> wrote:

I know that 'Cal Ave businesses' are merging over to our FB group (thanks Zombie's)...

However, a friendly reminder to all about the City Council meeting today re: **street closures during the feasibility study**. Keep in mind, Ramona and Cal Ave should be completely different matters.

Regarding last week's topic, I am for the permanent parklet concept (although I'm concerned about the lack of gas heater options vs required electrical conversions and upgrades... And concerned about the side panels/weatherization that would be conducive to guests dining outside).

Given what we know so far about the permanent parklets, the concept should be the same throughout the city. However, on Cal Ave, I can guess (respectfully :) that Terun and Italico will make the investment but asking around, I don't know of anyone else? **Does the city know who is willing to spend the money/time/energy on Cal Ave to install permanent parklets? It seems crazy to make a 2 block, '16 foot wide red/green bike**

rectangle' for 2 years while the city is waiting for input about what will be best. Why not restore it back to something we know was working before?

Also, I love my bike but converting such a majority of square footage for bikes to speed through with no way to enforce safety seems like a liability and accident waiting to happen. I respect that the cyclists need a place to ride but for 2 blocks, speeding through our "promenade" concept seems bonkers. Maybe moving them to Cambridge and Sherman is safer for the cyclists AND pedestrians.

If Cal Ave remains closed and only has 2 parklets and has the massive green/red super highway for 2 blocks of bike traffic, I firmly believe this would ruin the concept of a 'pedestrian friendly' & 'promenade vibe' that so many people have expressed interest in... I recognize that retail and store fronts want people walking by on the sidewalks but the concept of a promenade is for people to be able to wander around and explore a district. With the KOA looking tents gone, I believe that the pedestrians will be able to absorb much more of the business district... people walking around can then see the store fronts?

I fully support permanent parklets for those who chose but I FIRMLY support reopening car traffic to Cal Ave during the 2 year feasibility study. **If the 2 year study determines that a closure is best, the city can proceed with all of the data they have and make it as friendly, attractive, functional and safe as possible. Also, the people who have invested in the permanent parklets can get started sooner than later with their conversions regardless of the 2 year feasibility study.**

Also, I again support the city council revisiting the regulations for leasing in our district. I ask that city officials please reach out at to local leasing agents to see what the city can do to support filling the overwhelming vacancies in our immediate district.

Best, Lara Ekwall

--

Lara Ekwall
La Bodeguita del Medio ®
463 S. California Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94306

650.326.7762

@labo_paloalto
www.labodeguita.com

lara@labodeguita.com

On Fri, May 6, 2022 at 9:15 AM Leland Wiesner <lwiesner@gmail.com> wrote:
Agreed Jennifer.

City council cannot possibly know (with consultants doing studies or not) whether closing streets will help office, restaurant, or retail equally so its perplexing that are willing to take such huge risks in view of the lingering covid related damage.

What council cannot deny, but apparently refuse to admit, is that all the businesses in the area were doing excellent before COVID with the streets open.

Leland

On Wed, May 4, 2022, 9:08 AM Jennifer Allen <jennifer@pipaloalto.com> wrote:
What is imperative over any discussion of street closure, is how to draw office workers back to the offices. They then shop in the retail stores and eat lunch in the restaurants creating a vibrant area (although then we have a parking issue - nothing is easy!). Not sure how to get people back in their offices since many companies have adopted a permanent hybrid or remote work culture.

Santa Barbara pre-covid did have a thriving downtown (State St), but visiting there three months ago, it was a ghost town with about a third of the stores vacant and boarded up with people sleeping in the empty doorways. Their previous success with a closed street had a completely different makeup of people who frequented their area (tourists) than what CalAve draws.

Our area was successful before COVID with the street open, and the closure was necessary during COVID to accommodate CDC guidelines for the restaurants. Now that restaurants can use their indoor spaces, I think it's wise to look at what is best for the whole area. I don't have the answer for that, and know it will take time to rebuild if we can keep hanging in there. I'm glad to see the discussion taking place and hope that the city council will listen to all sides.

Jennifer Allen, Owner
M-F 8-5 | Store 650 323 8388 | Cell 650 224 5276
PIP Marketing • Signs • Print | PipPaloAlto.com
2233 El Camino Real | Palo Alto, CA 94306
Promotional Products [Here](#) | Banners/Trade Show Displays [Here](#).

On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 8:14 AM Judy Ohki <judy@lpetal.com> wrote:
Hello,

Thank you Don and Gillian for the brilliant idea. A Facebook group sounds ideal and truly appreciate your efforts in moderating. I would be happy to join and participate.

As for the street closure, I was initially resistant but then realized it was a necessity for us. I believe it has helped us make it to this point because we are surrounded by businesses that have also survived thus far, although I realize some have not. Survival and profitable are not the same, but we are still in business making our way. Key to our future is for our fellow businesses to thrive.

It seems imperative to open the street to traffic to many of our neighbors. I'm torn on what is best for us. You have the support of Leaf & Petal. Hopefully "strength in number" applies to Cal Ave.

Very grateful for your commitment and hard work.

Warmly
Judy

On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 1:08 AM Lisa Robins <lisa@vinvinowine.com> wrote:
Hello All,

Don and Gillian, yes please on a Facebook group for the neighborhood. I think we have consensus that it will be a great place for collaboration on whatever we need to face going forward, and we would all appreciate you moderating. I'm happy to help if you want to add me as admin. Franco and Maico, thank you so much for your support for reopening the street. It is so great to have you on the side of all of the businesses that haven't benefitted as much from the closure as you have. I'd love to hear from people that have not spoken up yet, but it seems like there is some real interest in reopening the street to traffic while Palo Alto studies the options.

At the start of the pandemic, I know that we panicked and didn't know how we would manage. We ALL went through that. We all adapted however we could. Many of us supported the emergency street closure because we wanted our neighbors to survive even if it was not to our immediate benefit. The situation has shifted yet again. Many of the businesses on California Avenue have left. Many more might not make it through an extended closure. Maybe many would prefer the street be closed indefinitely. Whatever your individual situation, we all will benefit from a thoughtful look at what is really best for the district going forward.

The Council seems to be leaning towards closing the street indefinitely. They are hearing from their constituents that they LOVE the park-like atmosphere of California Avenue on a Saturday evening. When I talk to my customers, they are typically excited about having an outdoor park until they hear that we are doing zero business midday and mid-week. They would definitely rather have us survive than have an outdoor promenade on Saturday night. If we want to persuade the Council to keep California Ave. open while they study options they need to hear from our customers, too. You all can help by asking your customers to reach out to council directly.

The Council was presented with a pretty sexy example of State St. in Santa Barbara, and it's hard to argue with how gorgeous that transformation was. However, State Street in

Santa Barbara ends in a BEACH with tourist hotels. California Avenue ends in a commuter train station. We know who we are. We are a lovely business district with everything a resident might need for a walkable or drivable life. We stand out for having a nail salon, dry cleaner, bike shop, grocery store (2!), wine shop, optometrist, hardware store, coffee shop, many restaurants and other businesses that cater to our neighbors. I'm pretty convinced that keeping the street closed forever will jeopardize this mix of businesses.

Let's keep talking to each other

.

Thanks all!

Best,
Lisa

Lisa Robins
Owner - Wine Buyer
Vin Vino Wine
main (650) 324-4903
cell (415) 609-9938
www.vinvinowine.com

On Monday, May 2, 2022, 12:58:48 PM PDT, Italico Restaurant <info@italicorestaurant.com> wrote:

Dear friends

We remember in 2012, to be always able to park our car (even on a Friday evening) in front of the just leased location of what it would have become Terun at [448 California Ave.](#) Since then everything started to change and year by year the Avenue became busier and busier. Rents became higher and city rules made it progressively harder to lease spaces, especially for some specific business categories and small chains (parking related most of the time). Now parking seems not to be an issue anymore.

We (Terun & italico) are among the lucky that have been thriving thanks to the capacity to adapt and the support from this amazing community.

No one of us though ever experienced a Pandemic during our lifetime.

Every business has its own needs and sometimes even the one that can adapt might fail.

We had to keep Italico closed for a few months in 2020 with a net loss of about 400k, but at the end we were able to come back .

Terun is up 30% from the best year of 2019 while iTalico is still down 10% due to the LACK OF BUSINESS from the OFFICE spaces (see VISA, CLOUDERA, VERTEX ecc.) . Also Stanford still only allows 2 days per week of in office work. There is just less people around. We also remember the City Manager saying that during Covid there were about 100k less people around due to remote work. This has changed now but by not much.

We NEVER relied on foot traffic cause we think California Ave has always been a destination place but we strongly believe that creating a well planned CAR FREE environment would be a great opportunity for the City of Palo Alto and the whole business district.

We really dislike those ugly tents but we believe that in order to improve things we always have to make sacrifices just like when we reduced the width of the Avenue in favor of a wider sidewalk area.

Unfortunately the pandemic changed the way of doing business for many. For some it will

never be the same. Retail is the one hit the most.

Many (including our families) tend to shop on line. Amazon, good eggs, uber eats, doordash etc...

Whatever decision will be taken we feel blessed to be part of the California Ave business district and we will always contribute to make it better and more attractive for visitors.

For us having the street closure helped immensely but DEFINITELY NOT VITAL FOR OUR SURVIVAL at this time anymore.

PS : We like the idea of a Facebook group.

We love social media as for us is one of the most effective ways to advertise and get more people through our doors. (We spend about 8k per month between instagram/Facebook, Google, live music and Local newspapers).

Sorry for the long email and the grammar:)

Peace and Love

Franco & Maico Campilongo

Proud owners of

Terun and iTalico

On Mon, May 2, 2022 at 11:16 AM Don Lundell <dc@zombierunner.com> wrote:

Anything but an email list. Visually clunky, no way to add and remove members, etc.

If almost everyone has a Facebook account, that should be the forum (easy for non/FB people to add an account). Unless there's something as ubiquitous.

It should be something that supports topic, so I don't think a messenger or chat client is appropriate.

Also, this is a group for California Ave business owners list I'm talking about. Useful for the street closure as well as other local topics.

Don

--

ZombieRunner Coffee

<http://www.zombierunner.com>

650-325-2048

> On May 2, 2022, at 11:00 AM, Elizabeth Wong <elizabethwong2009@gmail.com> wrote:
> Christopher

--

iTalico Restaurant

[341 South California Ave](#)

[Palo Alto Ca. 94306](#)

--

Judy

Leaf & Petal

From: [Lara Ekwall](#)
To: [Leland Wiesner](#)
Cc: [Jennifer Allen](#); [Judy Ohki](#); [Lisa Robins](#); [Abraham](#); [Alex Tao](#); [Andy Nguyen](#); [Apple, Kara](#); [Brad Ehikian](#); [Brandon](#); [CHop Keenan](#); [California Barber Shop](#); [Carolina Hahn](#); [Cathy Norge](#); [Chan Nguyen](#); [Charlie King](#); [Charlie Weidanz](#); [Christopher Gaines](#); [Cindy Nguyen kim](#); [Dennis Kelly](#); [Don Lundell](#); [Don Yarkin](#); [Gillian@zombierunner.com](#); [Giuseppe Carrubba](#); [Italico Restaurant](#); [Izzy's Brooklyn Bagels](#); [Jamie S. Jarvis](#); [Jess](#); [Joanies Cafe](#); [Joe Martignetti](#); [John Felt](#); [John McNellis](#); [John Shenk](#); [Jon Goldman](#); [Juan Lorenzo](#); [Kou, Lydia](#); [Lori](#); [Lucas Grzeszczuk](#); [Maico Campilongo](#); [Margot Goldberg](#); [Maricar Horton at Esq Properties](#); [Michael Ekwall](#); [Mike Stone](#); [MyLan Biermann](#); [Peking Duck](#); [Phyllis Munsey](#); [Pilates V](#); [Port of Peri Peri](#); [Rejuvenate Dental](#); [Renata Lance](#); [Roxy Rapp](#); [Ryankhalil91@gmail.com](#); [Scott Otte](#); [Steven Dahl](#); [Summit Bicycles](#); [Terry Shuchat](#); [alecia@vinvinowine.com](#); [bistrobirchst@gmail.com](#); [brandon@legends-pizza.com](#); [cafebrioche@gmail.com](#); [cafepronorestaurant@gmail.com](#); [cv@kaligreekkitchen.com](#); [dnishimoto@molliestones.com](#); [eramos0622@gmail.com](#); [info@baumerestaurant.com](#); [ismetsylm@gmail.com](#); [jim@ellispartners.com](#); [jinsho454calave@gmail.com](#); [labohemepaloalto@gmail.com](#); [lotusthaibistro@gmail.com](#); [moods.winebar@yahoo.com](#); [paloalto@legends-pizza.com](#); [paloalto@vitalitybowls.com](#); [pastispaloalto@gmail.com](#); [savannah.pham@yahoo.com](#); [zareensrestaurant@gmail.com](#); [mike@stetsonearhart.com](#); [Council, City](#)
Subject: Re: California Avenue and Ramona Street Closure Extension
Date: Monday, May 16, 2022 11:11:56 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from lara@labodeguita.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Be cautious of opening attachments and clicking on links.

I know that 'Cal Ave businesses' are merging over to our FB group (thanks Zombie's)...

However, a friendly reminder to all about the City Council meeting today re: **street closures during the feasibility study**. Keep in mind, Ramona and Cal Ave should be completely different matters.

Regarding last week's topic, I am for the permanent parklet concept (although I'm concerned about the lack of gas heater options vs required electrical conversions and upgrades... And concerned about the side panels/weatherization that would be conducive to guests dining outside).

Given what we know so far about the permanent parklets, the concept should be the same throughout the city. However, on Cal Ave, I can guess (respectfully :) that Terun and Italico will make the investment but asking around, I don't know of anyone else? **Does the city know who is willing to spend the money/time/energy on Cal Ave to install permanent parklets? It seems crazy to make a 2 block, '16 foot wide red/green bike rectangle' for 2 years while the city is waiting for input about what will be best. Why not restore it back to something we know was working before?**

Also, I love my bike but **converting such a majority of square footage for bikes to speed through with no way to enforce safety seems like a liability and accident waiting to happen**. I respect that the cyclists need a place to

ride but for 2 blocks, speeding through our "promenade" concept seems bonkers. Maybe moving them to Cambridge and Sherman is safer for the cyclists AND pedestrians.

If Cal Ave remains closed and only has 2 parklets and has the massive green/red super highway for 2 blocks of bike traffic, I firmly believe this would ruin the concept of a 'pedestrian friendly' & 'promenade vibe' that so many people have expressed interest in... I recognize that retail and store fronts want people walking by on the sidewalks but the concept of a promenade is for people to be able to wander around and explore a district. With the KOA looking tents gone, I believe that the pedestrians will be able to absorb much more of the business district... people walking around can then see the store fronts?

I fully support permanent parklets for those who chose but I FIRMLY support reopening car traffic to Cal Ave during the 2 year feasibility study. **If the 2 year study determines that a closure is best, the city can proceed with all of the data they have and make it as friendly, attractive, functional and safe as possible. Also, the people who have invested in the permanent parklets can get started sooner than later with their conversions regardless of the 2 year feasibility study.**

Also, I again support the city council revisiting the regulations for leasing in our district. I ask that city officials please reach out at to local leasing agents to see what the city can do to support filling the overwhelming vacancies in our immediate district.

Best, Lara Ekwall

--

Lara Ekwall
La Bodeguita del Medio ®
463 S. California Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94306
650.326.7762

@labo_paloalto
www.labodeguita.com

lara@labodeguita.com

On Fri, May 6, 2022 at 9:15 AM Leland Wiesner <lwiesner@gmail.com> wrote:
Agreed Jennifer.

City council cannot possibly know (with consultants doing studies or not) whether closing streets will help office, restaurant, or retail equally so its perplexing that are willing to take such huge risks in view of the lingering covid related damage.

What council cannot deny, but apparently refuse to admit, is that all the businesses in the area were doing excellent before COVID with the streets open.

Leland

On Wed, May 4, 2022, 9:08 AM Jennifer Allen <jennifer@pippalalto.com> wrote:

What is imperative over any discussion of street closure, is how to draw office workers back to the offices. They then shop in the retail stores and eat lunch in the restaurants creating a vibrant area (although then we have a parking issue - nothing is easy!). Not sure how to get people back in their offices since many companies have adopted a permanent hybrid or remote work culture.

Santa Barbara pre-covid did have a thriving downtown (State St), but visiting there three months ago, it was a ghost town with about a third of the stores vacant and boarded up with people sleeping in the empty doorways. Their previous success with a closed street had a completely different makeup of people who frequented their area (tourists) than what CalAve draws.

Our area was successful before COVID with the street open, and the closure was necessary during COVID to accommodate CDC guidelines for the restaurants. Now that restaurants can use their indoor spaces, I think it's wise to look at what is best for the whole area. I don't have the answer for that, and know it will take time to rebuild if we can keep hanging in there. I'm glad to see the discussion taking place and hope that the city council will listen to all sides.

Jennifer Allen, Owner

M-F 8-5 | Store 650 323 8388 | Cell 650 224 5276

PIP Marketing • Signs • Print | PipPaloAlto.com

2233 El Camino Real | Palo Alto, CA 94306

Promotional Products [Here](#) | Banners/Trade Show Displays [Here](#).

On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 8:14 AM Judy Ohki <judy@lpetal.com> wrote:

Hello,

Thank you Don and Gillian for the brilliant idea. A Facebook group sounds ideal and truly appreciate your efforts in moderating. I would be happy to join and participate.

As for the street closure, I was initially resistant but then realized it was a necessity for us. I believe it has helped us make it to this point because we are surrounded by businesses that have also survived thus far, although I realize some have not. Survival

and profitable are not the same, but we are still in business making our way. Key to our future is for our fellow businesses to thrive.

It seems imperative to open the street to traffic to many of our neighbors. I'm torn on what is best for us. You have the support of Leaf & Petal. Hopefully "strength in number" applies to Cal Ave.

Very grateful for your commitment and hard work.

Warmly
Judy

On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 1:08 AM Lisa Robins <lisa@vinvinowine.com> wrote:

Hello All,

Don and Gillian, yes please on a Facebook group for the neighborhood. I think we have consensus that it will be a great place for collaboration on whatever we need to face going forward, and we would all appreciate you moderating. I'm happy to help if you want to add me as admin. Franco and Maico, thank you so much for your support for reopening the street. It is so great to have you on the side of all of the businesses that haven't benefitted as much from the closure as you have. I'd love to hear from people that have not spoken up yet, but it seems like there is some real interest in reopening the street to traffic while Palo Alto studies the options.

At the start of the pandemic, I know that we panicked and didn't know how we would manage. We ALL went through that. We all adapted however we could. Many of us supported the emergency street closure because we wanted our neighbors to survive even if it was not to our immediate benefit. The situation has shifted yet again. Many of the businesses on California Avenue have left. Many more might not make it through an extended closure. Maybe many would prefer the street be closed indefinitely. Whatever your individual situation, we all will benefit from a thoughtful look at what is really best for the district going forward.

The Council seems to be leaning towards closing the street indefinitely. They are hearing from their constituents that they LOVE the park-like atmosphere of California Avenue on a Saturday evening. When I talk to my customers, they are typically excited about having an outdoor park until they hear that we are doing zero business midday and mid-week. They would definitely rather have us survive than have an outdoor promenade on Saturday night. If we want to persuade the Council to keep California Ave. open while they study options they need to hear from our customers, too. You all can help by asking your customers to reach out to council directly.

The Council was presented with a pretty sexy example of State St. in Santa Barbara, and it's hard to argue with how gorgeous that transformation was. However, State Street in Santa Barbara ends in a BEACH with tourist hotels. California Avenue ends in a commuter train station. We know who we are. We are a lovely business district with everything a resident might need for a walkable or drivable life. We stand out for having a nail salon, dry cleaner, bike shop, grocery store (2!), wine shop, optometrist, hardware store, coffee shop, many restaurants and other businesses that cater to our neighbors. I'm pretty convinced that keeping the street closed forever will jeopardize this mix of

businesses.

Let's keep talking to each other

.

Thanks all!

Best,

Lisa

Lisa Robins

Owner - Wine Buyer

Vin Vino Wine

main (650) 324-4903

cell (415) 609-9938

www.vinvinowine.com

On Monday, May 2, 2022, 12:58:48 PM PDT, Italico Restaurant <info@italicorestaurant.com> wrote:

Dear friends

We remember in 2012, to be always able to park our car (even on a Friday evening) in front of the just leased location of what it would have become Terun at [448 California Ave.](#)

Since then everything started to change and year by year the Avenue became busier and busier. Rents became higher and city rules made it progressively harder to lease spaces, especially for some specific business categories and small chains (parking related most of the time). Now parking seems not to be an issue anymore.

We (Terun & italico) are among the lucky that have been thriving thanks to the capacity to adapt and the support from this amazing community.

No one of us though ever experienced a Pandemic during our lifetime.

Every business has its own needs and sometimes even the one that can adapt might fail.

We had to keep Italico closed for a few months in 2020 with a net loss of about 400k, but at the end we were able to come back .

Terun is up 30% from the best year of 2019 while iTalico is still down 10% due to the LACK OF BUSINESS from the OFFICE spaces (see VISA, CLOUDERA, VERTEX ecc.) . Also Stanford still only allows 2 days per week of in office work. There is just less people around. We also remember the City Manager saying that during Covid there were about 100k less people around due to remote work. This has changed now but by not much.

We NEVER relied on foot traffic cause we think California Ave has always been a destination place but we strongly believe that creating a well planned CAR FREE environment would be a great opportunity for the City of Palo Alto and the whole business district.

We really dislike those ugly tents but we believe that in order to improve things we always have to make sacrifices just like when we reduced the width of the Avenue in favor of a wider sidewalk area.

Unfortunately the pandemic changed the way of doing business for many. For some it will never be the same. Retail is the one hit the most.

Many (including our families) tend to shop on line. Amazon, good eggs, uber eats, doordash etc...

Whatever decision will be taken we feel blessed to be part of the California Ave business district and we will always contribute to make it better and more attractive for visitors.

For us having the street closure helped immensely but DEFINITELY NOT VITAL FOR OUR

SURVIVAL at this time anymore.

PS : We like the idea of a Facebook group.

We love social media as for us is one of the most effective ways to advertise and get more people through our doors. (We spend about 8k per month between instagram/Facebook, Google, live music and Local newspapers).

Sorry for the long email and the grammar:)

Peace and Love

Franco & Maico Campilongo

Proud owners of

Terun and iTalico

On Mon, May 2, 2022 at 11:16 AM Don Lundell <dc@zombierunner.com> wrote:

Anything but an email list. Visually clunky, no way to add and remove members, etc.

If almost everyone has a Facebook account, that should be the forum (easy for non/FB people to add an account). Unless there's something as ubiquitous.

It should be something that supports topic, so I don't think a messenger or chat client is appropriate.

Also, this is a group for California Ave business owners list I'm talking about. Useful for the street closure as well as other local topics.

Don

--

ZombieRunner Coffee

<http://www.zombierunner.com>

650-325-2048

> On May 2, 2022, at 11:00 AM, Elizabeth Wong <elizabethwong2009@gmail.com> wrote:

> Christopher

--

iTalico Restaurant

[341 South California Ave](#)

[Palo Alto Ca. 94306](#)

--

Judy

Leaf & Petal

From: [Arnout Boelens](#)
To: [Council, City](#)
Cc: [Zoeller-Boelens, Nicole](#)
Subject: In support of California Avenue and Ramona Streets remaining pedestrian streets
Date: Sunday, May 15, 2022 2:45:53 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from a.m.p.boelens@gmail.com.

[Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Be cautious of opening attachments and clicking on links.

Dear City Council members,

We're writing in support of California Avenue and Ramona Street remaining pedestrian streets and are happy to hear about the possible addition of bidirectional bicycle paths. California Avenue is an especially important bicycle route and part of the appeal of shopping and dining there is that it is very easy to reach it by bicycle. In its current configuration, there are a couple of locations that are difficult to navigate by bike and we are glad that this will be resolved. We're looking forward to participating in the community outreach process for the future of the California Avenue and Ramona Street closures.

Kind regards,

Nicole, Arnout, & Ava

From: [Kenneth Salisbury](#)
To: [Council, City](#)
Subject: Please keep Ramona Street closed!
Date: Thursday, May 12, 2022 7:55:28 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from kenneth.salisbury@gmail.com.
[Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Be cautious of opening attachments and clicking on links.

Please keep Ramona Street closed!

It feels like Paris with a mix of cafes, a great array of food, and nearby art.

I'm sure the closure has greatly increased customer traffic. It is a great place to socialize and has encouraged me to visit PA and shop in the neighborhoods much more often.

Besides, you will be competing with Calif. Ave for customers :)

Let me know how I could help make this happen.

Best wishes, Kenneth

Professor Kenneth Salisbury
Departments of Computer Science and Surgery
Gates Building, Room 254
353 Jane Stanford Way
Stanford, CA 94305

kenneth.salisbury@gmail.com

Admin Associate: Maria David
mdavid@cs.stanford.edu