



## **City of Palo Alto** Utilities Advisory Commission Staff Report

(ID # 11600)

### Report Type: Agenda Items

Meeting Date: 11/4/2020

## Summary Title: Electric Vehicle Charger Needs Assessment

## Title: Discussion of Electric Vehicle Charger Needs Assessment to Reach 80% Electric Vehicle Penetration by 2030

From: City Manager

### Lead Department: Utilities

### Recommendation

This report is submitted to the Utilities Advisory Commission (UAC) for informational and discussion purposes only, so no recommendation is requested.

#### **Executive Summary**

To achieve the Palo Alto community's Sustainability and Climate Action Plan (S/CAP) goal of reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emission to 80% below 1990 levels by 2030, Palo Alto needs to achieve an electric vehicle (EV) adoption rate of close to 80%, up from the currently estimated adoption rate of 9%.

During the summer an intern undertook the task of developing estimates of EV charging needs to support an 80% EV penetration level and associated costs. The analysis found the need for 24,200 to 38,300 EV charging ports, up from the estimated 4,300 charging ports currently estimated to be in place. The cost of installing these chargers at different types of sites was also evaluated on a preliminary basis.

The analysis findings are outlined in the attached report (Attachment A) and summarized in the form of a presentation (Attachment B). These estimates are preliminary in nature, to inform the community and city staff in its efforts to further accelerate the adoption of EVs in Palo Alto in the coming years. The cost estimates are highly variable depending on the site and flexibility will be important in the City's EV infrastructure planning in coming years.

### Timeline

The analysis was undertaken this summer and the broad estimates developed in this study will inform the S/CAP policy discussions in 2021, but as noted, actual costs will depend on a variety of factors.

#### **Resource Impact**

A summer intern undertook this analysis over a 10-week period this summer. No additional resources are anticipated to expended on this analysis at this time

#### **Policy Implications**

As Council considers S/CAP policy options to accelerate electric vehicle use, the study can inform the discussion. Planning ahead for the potential electrical consumption increases due to the wide-scale adoption of EVs will assist the City's electric utility to continue to provide safe, reliable and cost-effective utility services.

#### Stakeholder Engagement

The analysis was primarily an internal effort with input from industry stakeholders. The results of this analysis will be part of the S/CAP community outreach efforts.

#### **Environmental Review**

The UAC's review of this analysis does not meet the definition of a project under section 21065 of Public Resources Code, thus, environmental analysis is not required at this time.

#### Attachments:

- Attachment A: Evaluation of Palo Alto Electric Charger Needs
- Attachment B: Presentation

Attachment A

## Evaluation of Palo Alto Electric Vehicle Charger Needs to Achieve 80% Electric Vehicle Penetration by 2030

Mo Sodwatana

Stanford University

August 28, 2020

## **Table of Contents**

| I.                           | Executive Summary3  |
|------------------------------|---|
| п.                           | Introduction5   |
|                              | The Sustainability and Climate Action Plan5   |
|                              | Within the Context of California's Goals5   |
|                              | Project Objective   |
| III.                         | Framework for Analysis6   |
| IV.                          | Current EV Charging Scene6  |
|                              | Palo Alto Community Statistics  |
|                              | EV Charging Patterns  |
|                              | Existing Charging Infrastructure Estimate8  |
| v.                           | EV Charger Needs in Palo Alto by 20308  |
|                              | Residential EV Charging Ports Need  |
|                              | Multi-Family EV Charging Needs  |
|                              | Non-Residential EV Charging Ports Need9   |
| VI.                          | Cost Estimate10   |
|                              |   |
| VII                          | . Incorporating Community Feedback11  |
| VII<br>VII                   | . Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap             | Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap             | Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap             | Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap             | Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap             | Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap             | Incorporating Community Feedback  |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap<br>Ap       | Incorporating Community Feedback       11         Key Takeaways       Error! Bookmark not defined.         pendix A: EV Charging Stations and Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       12         Types of EV Charging Stations       12         Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       13         pendix B: Projection of EVs and Forecasting Charger Needs       14         Projecting EVs in Palo Alto by 2030       14         Forecast of EV Charging Ports Needed       16         pendix C: Multi-Family Property Survey and Findings       18   |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap<br>Ap<br>Ap | Incorporating Community Feedback       11         Key Takeaways       Error! Bookmark not defined.         pendix A: EV Charging Stations and Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       12         Types of EV Charging Stations       12         Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       13         pendix B: Projection of EVs and Forecasting Charger Needs       14         Projecting EVs in Palo Alto by 2030       14         Forecast of EV Charging Ports Needed       16         pendix C: Multi-Family Property Survey and Findings       18         pendix D: Cost Estimation Approach       22   |
| VII<br>VII<br>Ap<br>Ap<br>Ap | Incorporating Community Feedback       11         Key Takeaways       Error! Bookmark not defined.         pendix A: EV Charging Stations and Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       12         Types of EV Charging Stations       12         Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       13         pendix B: Projection of EVs and Forecasting Charger Needs       14         Projecting EVs in Palo Alto by 2030       14         Forecast of EV Charging Ports Needed       16         pendix C: Multi-Family Property Survey and Findings       18         pendix D: Cost Estimation Approach       22         Charging Port to Site Conversion       22   |
| VII<br>Ар<br>Ар<br>Ар        | Incorporating Community Feedback       11         Key Takeaways       Error! Bookmark not defined.         pendix A: EV Charging Stations and Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       12         Types of EV Charging Stations       12         Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto       13         pendix B: Projection of EVs and Forecasting Charger Needs       14         Projecting EVs in Palo Alto by 2030       14         Forecast of EV Charging Ports Needed       16         pendix C: Multi-Family Property Survey and Findings       18         pendix D: Cost Estimation Approach       22         Charging Port to Site Conversion       22         Utility-Side Cost Estimate       23 |

## I. Executive Summary

In order to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to 80% below 1990 level by 2030, the City of Palo Alto needs to undergo aggressive electrification of vehicles. To achieve this goal, the city needs to increase the adoption rate of electric vehicles (EVs) in Palo Alto and ensure adequate EV charging infrastructure.

This study analyzes an 80% penetration scenario of EVs in Palo Alto by 2030, from the current 9%, and determines the quantities of various types of charging infrastructure required to support that level of penetration. The assessment considers various components, such as charging patterns of residential and commuting EV drivers and current EV trends in Palo Alto. The study also gives an estimate of the costs associated with equipment, installation and upgrade. This study also explores the specific needs of charging infrastructure in multi-family properties.

The results of the study are categorized into residential and non-residential categories. Residential includes EVs registered in Palo Alto. The charger types needed to support these EVs are 1) single-family residential (SFR) Level 1 (L1) chargers,<sup>1</sup> 2) single-family residential Level 2 (L2) chargers<sup>2</sup> and 3) multi-family L2 chargers.<sup>3</sup> Non-residential includes EVs commuting to Palo Alto and commercial and governmental EVs registered in Palo Alto. The charger types needed to support these EVs are non-residential L2 and Direct Current Fast Chargers (DCFC).

Due to the highly variable and dependent factors that influence the cost of EV infrastructure, it can be challenging to come up with an exact cost estimate. Examples of such factors include the need to upgrade the electrical service panel, the potential need for trenching across hardscape and the charging ports per site. Due to this high uncertainty, a range of costs are presented.

| Charger Type       | Number of ports | Number of sites | Cost per port        |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| SFR residential L1 | 5,000 – 8,000   | 4,200 - 6,700   | \$0 – \$300          |
| SFR residential L2 | 10,000 - 12,000 | 10,000 - 12,000 | \$3,000 - \$10,000   |
| Multi-family L2    | 3,000 – 6,000   | 450 - 890       | \$12,400 – \$49,900  |
| Non-residential L2 | 6,000 - 12,000  | 240 - 480       | \$5,400 – \$20,500   |
| DCFC               | 200 – 300       | 45 – 65         | \$62,000 – \$230,000 |
| Total              | 24,200 - 38,300 | 14,935 – 20,135 |                      |

Table 1. Breakdown of total estimated cost per port.

<sup>1</sup> A Level 1 charger is defined as a charger using a 120-Volt alternating current plug.

<sup>2</sup> A Level 2 charger is defined as a charger using 208 or 240 Volt electrical service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Multi-family and single-family L2 chargers are considered separately due to the fact that installation process and costs for L2 chargers in multi-family buildings are significantly different.

The key takeaways from this analysis are:

- If the 80% EV penetration goal is met:
  - The number of EVs will increase tenfold and charging needs are expected to increase
     6- to 8-fold with the assumption that utilization rate per port will be higher than current levels.
  - Energy consumption will increase from the current 1.5% of total load to 15% of total load. On the residential side, it is expected to increase from 6% to 69% of total residential electrical load.
  - The number of EVs per household is projected to increase from 0.18 to 1.7 EVs per household.
- The cost per port is highly variable and is dependent on the need for an electrical service panel upgrade, the potential need for trenching, and the number of ports installed per site.
- Due to economies of scale, greater ports per site is more cost effective. For nonresidential L2 ports, the installation cost per port at commercial campuses is projected to be lower than at multi-family properties.

Planning for and financing a project at this scale will be challenging. However, the variability in cost indicates that with strategic planning, it is possible to achieve the low-end cost and avoid the high-end cost. The cost estimates are preliminary in nature and are highly dependent on the site.

## II. Introduction

#### The Sustainability and Climate Action Plan

The City of Palo Alto has ambitious goals to reduce the community's carbon impacts, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and resource consumption. One such goal is the Sustainability and Climate Action Plan (S/CAP) launched 2014. In early 2020 the City updated its S/CAP to ensure the sustainability goals are being met, including their "80 x 30" goal which aims to reduce GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels by 2030.<sup>4</sup> While there are seven identified key areas, shown in Figure 1, this paper will primarily focus on EVs.

Electrifying transport is essential to Palo Alto's plans to address climate change and air quality as more than half of the city's emissions come from the transportation sector. Within the 2020 S/CAP goal, Palo Alto plans to



Figure 1. Seven proposed key priorities for S/CAP.

reduce transportation-related GHG emissions 80% by 2030, from 300,000 MT  $CO2_e$  to 60,000 MT  $CO2_e$  by increasing EVs registered in and commuting to the city 80% and ensuring adequate charging network to support the high level of penetration.

### Within the Context of California's Goals

Within the context of California's goals, Palo Alto's transport electrification goals go beyond those set by the state. As of 2019, there are 25 million cars registered in California, of which around 2% or 568,000 are EVs.<sup>5</sup> With the state aiming for 5 million EVs by 2030<sup>6</sup>, the EV penetration could reach 20% by 2030. This goal will help cut the state's GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030.<sup>7</sup>

### **Project Objective**

Expanding access to charging infrastructure is a necessary complement to EV adoption, and with the Palo Alto's goal to electrify 80% of vehicles registered in and commuting to Palo Alto by 2030, it becomes essential to assess EV charging infrastructure needs in Palo Alto.

<sup>5</sup> Statista. 2019. US Automobile Registration in 2018, by State. December. <u>https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-insights/zero-emission-vehicle-and-charger-statistics</u> and

https://www.statista.com/statistics/196010/total-number-of-registered-automobiles-in-the-us-by-state/. <sup>6</sup> https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/zev-collaboration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> City of Palo Alto. 2020. *Sustainability and Climate Action Plan.* June 6. Accessed August 21, 2020. <u>https://www.cityofpaloalto.org/services/sustainability/sustainability\_and\_climate\_action\_plan/default.asp.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> California Public Utilities Commission. n.d. Zero-Emission Vehicles. <u>https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/zev/</u>.

This study will answer the question of what charging infrastructure Palo Alto will need to support 80% EV penetration and what type of chargers will be needed. The study will also provide a high-level cost estimate associated with installation, equipment and upgrade.

## III. Framework for Analysis

The framework for analyzing EV charger needs in Palo Alto to accommodate 80% EV penetration is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. EV charging infrastructure assessment analysis process.

It is important to note that the assessment is based on current understandings of EVs and their charging needs and that improvements such as in battery technology and charging efficiencies can alter these results. In addition, the cost estimates do not include site specific detailed engineering design and are to be considered directional or order of magnitude estimates for planning purposes.

While the focus is on achieving 80% penetration of EVs by 2030, three other scenarios were also explored. These scenarios were of 25%, 30% and 50% penetration. The 25% penetration case represents the base case, which is aligned closely with the state's current goal of reaching 5 million EVs by 2030.

## IV. Current State of EV Charging in Palo Alto

## Palo Alto Community Statistics

The City of Palo Alto is located in the San Francisco Bay Area in the heart of Silicon Valley. The city has a population of 65,000 residents living in 25,000 households. Of these households, 15,000 are single family residences (SFR) and 10,000 are multi-family units.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Bay Area Census. n.d. Bay Area Census: City of Palo Alto.

In 2019, there were 52,000 vehicles registered in Palo Alto with EVs accounting for 9%, or 4,500, of the total registered.<sup>9</sup> Figure 3 is the distribution of these vehicles and the breakdown of EVs.



Figure 3. Distribution of vehicles registered in Palo Alto in 2019.

As seen in Figure 3, EVs registered in Palo Alto include both commercial and residential. This is an important distinction because commercial EVs and residential EVs have different charging needs, which will be discussed in the next section.

In addition to the vehicles registered in Palo Alto, it is estimated that 50,000 vehicles drive into the city daily. Looking at the current percent penetration of EVs in the Bay Area, around 6% to10% of these vehicles are assumed to be EVs.

### EV Charging Patterns

Observed charging patterns suggest that most EV drivers choose to charge at home, as seen in Figure 4.<sup>10</sup> As such, residential and non-residential EV drivers will require different quantities and types of charging. The charger type assessed for residential EVs are SFR residential L1, SFR residential L2 and multi-family L2<sup>11</sup>, while the type of chargers assessed for commuting and commercial EVs are non-residential L2 and DCFC.<sup>12</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Department of Motor Vehicles information provided to Palo Alto in 2018, extrapolated with 2019 estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Melaina, Marc, and Michael Helwig. 2014. *California Statewide Plug-in Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Assessment*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In this study multi-family properties are considered to have only L2 charging ports. L1 charging ports in MF units can replace L2 for a 2:1 or 4:1 ratio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> An explanation of the different charging stations can be found in Appendix A.

### Existing Charging Infrastructure Estimate

The current estimate for the number of charger ports in Palo Alto is summarized in Table 2.13

| Charger Type       | Charging Ports |
|--------------------|----------------|
| SFR residential L1 | 3,100          |
| SFR residential L2 | 300 – 350      |
| Multi-family L2    | 50 – 100       |
| Non-residential L2 | 800            |
| DCFC               | 30             |
| Total              | 4,280 – 4,380  |

Table 2. Estimate of charging ports in Palo Alto in 2019.

## V. EV Charger Needs in Palo Alto by 2030

### Residential EV Charging Ports Need

In 2019, there are 52,000 vehicles registered in Palo Alto, of which 9% are residential EVs. By 2030, it is projected that 56,000 vehicles will be registered in the city. An 80% penetration means 42,000 residential vehicles will be EVs. Table 5 summarizes the current EVs and charging ports in Palo Alto, as well as the projected number to reach 80% penetration by 2030.<sup>14</sup>

|                                  | EVs            |                 |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
|                                  | As of 2019     | 2030            |  |
| Residents in single family homes | 3,500          | 30,000          |  |
| Residents in multi-family units  | 600            | 12,000          |  |
| Total                            | 4,100          | 42,000          |  |
| Chargor Tupo                     | Charging Ports |                 |  |
| Charger Type                     | As of 2019     | 2030            |  |
| SFR L1                           | 3,100          | 5,000 - 8,000   |  |
| SFR L2                           | 300 – 350      | 10,000 - 12,000 |  |
| Multi-family L2                  | 50 - 100       | 3,000 - 6,000   |  |
| Total                            | 3,450 – 3,550  | 18,000 – 26,000 |  |

Table 3. Current and projected residential EVs and charging ports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The approach to estimating current charging ports in Palo Alto can be found in Appendix A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Detailed steps to EV projections and charger needs forecasting can be found in Appendix B.

### Multi-Family EV Charging Needs

Incentivizing EV charger installations in multi-family properties can be very challenging and complex as there are many obstacles to overcome. Such obstacles include the lack of electrical capacity, lack of parking space and uncertainty about equipment ownership and electricity bill. In order to help overcome these obstacles, staff conducted a survey<sup>15</sup> to get a general sense of the type of parking and the number of parking spaces available per unit at various multi-family properties. Table 6 shows the EV charging port needs by property category using results from the survey.

| Property Category |          | Characteristics of Existing MF Property: |                          |                         | EV Infrastruc                             | ture Needs:                     |
|-------------------|----------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
|                   |          | Total # of<br>Units                      | Total # of<br>Properties | Approx. # Cars in<br>MF | Charging<br>Ports<br>Needed <sup>16</sup> | Estimated<br>Ports/<br>Property |
|                   | Duplex   | 483                                      | 243                      | 725                     | 290                                       | 1.2                             |
|                   | 3 - 4    | 926                                      | 260                      | 1,389                   | 556                                       | 2.1                             |
|                   | 5 - 10   | 1,253                                    | 185                      | 1,754                   | 702                                       | 3.8                             |
| Aportmont         | 11 - 20  | 693                                      | 45                       | 970                     | 388                                       | 8.6                             |
| Apartment         | 21 - 50  | 1,082                                    | 36                       | 1,407                   | 563                                       | 15.6                            |
|                   | 51 - 100 | 1,104                                    | 21                       | 1,435                   | 574                                       | 27.3                            |
|                   | Over 100 | 2,217                                    | 15                       | 2,882                   | 1,153                                     | 76.9                            |
|                   | Other    | 375                                      | 15                       | 488                     | 195                                       | 13.0                            |
| Townhomes         |          | 336                                      |                          | 504                     | 202                                       |                                 |
| Condos            |          | 2,522                                    |                          | 3,279                   | 1,311                                     |                                 |
| Other             |          | 141                                      |                          | 183                     | 73  |                                 |
| Total             |          | 11,132                                   |                          | 15,015                  | 6,006                                     |                                 |

Table 4. Breakdown of a high need scenario for charging ports needs in multi-family property for 80% penetration.

### Non-Residential EV Charging Ports Need

On the commuting side, there are around 50,000 vehicles driving into the city daily, with 6% to 10% being EVs<sup>17</sup>. By 2030, the number of vehicles commuting to the city is expected to increase to 65,000. An 80% penetration would be 52,000 EVs. Note that the potential for an increased

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Survey methodology can be found in Appendix C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> This is a high end estimate, assuming 2 EVs share a charging port. The low end estimate is that 4 EVs share a port. These assumption gives a range of 3,000 – 6,000 ports for MF units for 80% penetration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Current vehicle count is based on best estimates by staff based on current available data. Efforts are underway to improve this estimate as part of the S/CAP update using traffic counts and modeling.

level of post-COVID telecommuting was not factored into this estimate. The extent to which expanded telecommuting will occur and its effects on commuting and the use of real estate will require several years of monitoring before it can be fully taken into account in forecasts.

Additionally, 4,500 vehicles registered in Palo Alto are EVs, with 9% being commercial or governmental. A 60% penetration of EVs is expected on the commercial side by 2030.<sup>18</sup>

Table 7 summarizes the EV projection and charger needs on the non-residential side.<sup>19</sup>

|                           | EV             |                |  |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
|                           | As of 2019     | 2030           |  |
| Commuters to Palo Alto    | 4,400          | 52,000         |  |
| Commercial and government | 400            | 2,700          |  |
| Total                     | 4,800          | 54,700         |  |
|                           | Charging Ports |                |  |
| Charger Type              | As of 2019     | 2030           |  |
| Non-residential L2        | 800            | 6,000 - 12,000 |  |
| DCFC                      | 30             | 200 - 300      |  |
| Total                     | 830            | 6,200 - 12,300 |  |

Table 5. Current and projected EVs and charging ports on the non-residential side.

## VI. Cost Estimate

The infrastructure segments assessed for cost estimate are broken up into 4 parts circled in orange in Figure 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The reason commercial vehicles are expected to experience a slower adoption rate is due to the difficulty of electrifying heavy duty vehicles, which are a part of the commercial fleet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> A detailed explanation of the steps to forecasting charger needs can be found in Appendix B.



Figure 5. EV charging infrastructure electrical segments, considered in cost estimation circled in orange.

The cost estimates of the infrastructure segments were assessed following these steps:

- 1. Determining the number of charging ports per site
- 2. Calculating the power level at each site
- 3. Assessing the probability of an upgrade
- 4. Multiplying by the low and high cost estimate if an upgrade is needed

Table 6 is a breakdown of the total incurred cost per port by charger type.<sup>22</sup>

| Charger Type       | Cost per port |           |  |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Charger Type       | Low           | High      |  |
| SFR residential L1 | -             | \$300     |  |
| SFR residential L2 | \$3,000       | \$10,000  |  |
| Non-residential L2 | \$5,400       | \$20,500  |  |
| Multi-family L2    | \$12,400      | \$49,900  |  |
| DCFC               | \$62,000      | \$230,000 |  |

Table 6. Breakdown of cost per port.

## VII. Incorporating Community Feedback

The findings of this study were presented to a group of EV advocates from the Palo Alto community and the following feedback was incorporated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Make-ready includes electrical work and permit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Per port cost is the cost of hardware.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> An in-depth explanation of the approach as well as the range of costs of equipment and upgrades used can be found in Appendix D.

- A clarification was made on the definition of "make-ready" and "per port" cost. The low and high cost estimates for the make-ready and per port were also adjusted from the CalETC report<sup>23</sup> per comment that Palo Alto permitting costs are higher than average of California.
- The possibility of adding L1 chargers in multi-family properties was also discussed in the report per comment that L1 chargers should not be neglected at these properties due to their generally lower cost and favorability to the grid.
- In order to reach 80% penetration, it is important to note the importance of education and outreach to inform potential car buyers of EV options and available rebates.
- The slower adoption rate of commercial vehicles registered to Palo Alto does not curtail the importance of electrifying that sector. A 60% penetration is for 2030 but the aim is much higher for 2045.

# Appendix A: EV Charging Stations and Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto

## Types of EV Charging Stations

### An infographic of the three types of EV charging stations is shown in Figure A-1.<sup>24</sup>



Figure A-1. Infographic of EV charging stations.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> CalETC. 2020. Infrastructure needs assessment for 5M light-duty vehicles in California by 2030.
 (<u>https://caletc.com/just-released-infrastructure-needs-assessment-for-5m-light-duty-vehicles-in-california-by-2030/</u>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Carolina County. 2017. *Find Charging Option for Your Electric Vehicle*. July. Accessed August 21, 2020. https://www.carolinacountry.com/your-energy/energytech/know-charging-options-to-keep-your-ev-rolling

### Estimating Current Charging Ports in Palo Alto

This section will explain how the current charging port estimate in Palo Alto was obtained. Table A-1 summarizes the estimated charging port in 2019.

| Charger Type       | Charging Ports |
|--------------------|----------------|
| SFR residential L1 | 3,100          |
| SFR residential L2 | 300 – 350      |
| Multi-family L2    | 50 – 100       |
| Non-residential L2 | 800            |
| DCFC               | 30             |
| Total              | 4,280 – 4,380  |

Table A-1. Estimate of charging ports in Palo Alto in 2019.

Since there are 3,500 EVs registered to residents in SFR and most drivers choose to charge their EVs at home, it is assumed that each resident has either an L1 or L2 charger at home. So, the total SFR chargers adds up to around 3,500.

The number of DCFC in Palo Alto is known to be around 30 chargers,<sup>25</sup> while the number of L2 chargers are estimated from the number of permits given by the Engineering Division of CPAU. The number of "Engineering Division defined L2 and L3"<sup>26</sup> permits combined is 833. Since around 30 are DCFC, that leaves 800 to be chargers considered L2 in this study. Of those 800 L2 chargers, 400 were permitted to unique addresses, assumed to be SFR because it is unlikely that more than one charger will be installed in a SFR. Given there are currently more EVs registered to SFR than to multi-family, the estimate for charging ports for SFR L2 is 300-350 and for multi-family L2 is 50-100. The remaining 400 L2 chargers were permitted to repeated addresses, assumed to be non-residential locations because commercial properties typically have more than one charger. Additionally, assuming 2 ports per charger at these site, the estimate for non-residential L2 chargers is 800.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> PlugShare. <u>https://www.plugshare.com/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> CPAU Engineering Division charger level definition:

Level 1 – Any charger that can plug into standard 120VAC outlet. Typically limited by a standard 15A breaker

Level 2 – Any 240VAC charger that is <40 amps (breaker size 50A).

Level 3 – Any 240VAC charger that is >40 amps.

## Appendix B: Projection of EVs and Forecasting Charger Needs

### Projecting EVs in Palo Alto by 2030

The forecast for the number of EVs is done by extrapolating the number of residential and commuting autos in Palo Alto linearly from 2019 to 2030, while the number of EVs are extrapolated exponentially to meet the 80% penetration.<sup>27</sup> The impact of telecommuting in the post-COVID period was not considered in this projection.

Additionally, this forecast also distinguishes between plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEVs) and battery electric vehicles (BEVs). This is an important distinction because BEVs and PHEVs have different needs for charging infrastructure. However, while changes in trends will affect this ratio, this ratio is kept constant at 2018 Palo Alto ratio of 1 PHEV to 2.5 BEV.<sup>28</sup>

Figures B-1 and B-2 shows the exponential adoption rate of EVs to reach 80% penetration by 2030 for residential and non-residential sectors, respectively.



*Figure B-1. Forecast of residential EV adoption rate to reach 80% by 2030.* 

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The underlying assumption is that auto purchases grow at a constant rate, as a reflection of constant population growth. Whereas, EV purchases experience a higher adoption rate due to increasing incentives and industry trends.
 <sup>28</sup> Department of Motor Vehicles data provided to Palo Alto in 2018.



Figure B-2. Forecast of EV commuting and commercial & government EV adoption rate to reach 80% by 2030.

The forecasted number of residential and non-residential EVs Palo Alto by 2030 for all four cases is summarized in Table B-1. The table also shows the anticipated electrical demand expected to result from the growth of EVs.<sup>29</sup>

|                          | Current | Case 1: 25% | Case 2: 30% | Case 3: 50% | Case 4: 80% |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          | (2019)  | Penetration | Penetration | Penetration | Penetration |
| # of residential EVs     | 4,100   | 14,600      | 16,900      | 28,100      | 42,000      |
| # of non-residential EVs | 4,800   | 17,100      | 19,700      | 32,900      | 54,700      |
| Total EVs                | 8,900   | 31,700      | 36,600      | 61,000      | 96,700      |
| Res EVs energy           | 9,324   | 33,800      | 39,000      | 65,100      | 104,200     |
| % of total res energy    | 6%      | 23%         | 26%         | 43%         | 69%         |
| Comm EVs use             | 2,242   | 8,700       | 10,000      | 16,700      | 26,700      |
| % total non-res energy   | 0%      | 1%          | 1%          | 2%          | 4%          |
| Total EVs energy use     | 11,566  | 42,500      | 49,100      | 81,800      | 130,900     |
| % total energy           | 1%      | 5%          | 5%          | 9%          | 15%         |

Table B-1. Projected EVs and electrical demand for the four cases of penetration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The total electricity consumption in Palo Alto is 900,000 MWh per year, with residential using 150,000 MWh and the remaining non-residential using 750,000 MWh. The electrical consumption from PEVs is determined from the observed charging pattern of PEV owners, where 77.5% charge at home, 17.0% at work and 5.5% in public places. It also considers that the average electricity use per BEV per year is 3,399 kWh/vehicle-year while for PHEV the average electricity use is 1,924 kWh/vehicle-year.

### Forecast of EV Charging Ports Needed

The estimate number of charging ports needed for SFRs was based on DMV's data that there are on average 2.1 cars per household. In the absence of exact data, for this analysis staff assumed 2.5 cars per SFR and 1.5 cars per multi-family unit. An 80% penetration means that 2 cars per SFR would be EV while the remaining "0.5" car would not be EV. With every SFR owning 2 EVs, the assumption is that they all have either an L1 or L2 charger so the total charging ports for SFR L1 and SFR L2 would be 15,000.

The estimate for multi-family is discussed in Appendix C.

The base estimate number of EV charging ports needed for the non-residential side is from the whitepaper published on infrastructure needs and costs in California by the California Electric Transportation Coalition (CalETC).<sup>30</sup> The CalETC estimate is done by dividing the forecasted number of PEVs by 1,000 and multiplying by the values under each charger type. The detailed table from CalETC on infrastructure needs per 1,000 PEVs by scenario, vehicle type, and charging type is shown in Table B-2.<sup>31</sup>

|         | Charging Port Needs per 1,000 Vehicle |         |         |         |         |      |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Vehicle | Level 1                               | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 2 | Level 2 | DCEC |
| Туре    | Res                                   | Non-Res | Res     | Non-Res | MUD     | DCFC |
| BEV     | 300                                   | 50      | 500     | 75      | 120     | 4    |
| PHEV    | 850                                   | 100     | 25      | 25      | 25      | 0    |

| Table B- 2. CalETC infrastructure | needs per 1,000 | EVs by vehicle and | charging type |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|

The estimates were then adjusted accordingly to Palo Alto's specific charging scenario after talking to charging infrastructure experts, Palo Alto residents and EV drivers. A summary of the charging ports is shown here in Table B-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> CalETC. 2020. *Infrastructure needs assessment for 5M light-duty vehicles in California by 2030.* (<u>https://caletc.com/just-released-infrastructure-needs-assessment-for-5m-light-duty-vehicles-in-california-by-2030/</u>)</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Each vehicle type have different needs for each type of infrastructure. However, other than distinguishing between PHEVs and BEVs, the specific breakdown of the PEVs types, such as mile range and rideshareship, in Palo Alto are unknown. Therefore, the estimate using CalETC's approach assumes that all PHEVs are 30+ mi type and all BEVs are 100-200 mi type.

| Charger Type       | Charging Ports |                 |  |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| charger Type       | As of 2019     | 2030            |  |
| SFR residential L1 | 3,100          | 5,000 – 8,000   |  |
| SFR residential L2 | 300 – 350      | 10,000 - 12,000 |  |
| Multi-family L2    | 50 – 100       | 3,000 – 6,000   |  |
| Non-residential L2 | 800            | 6,000 – 12,000  |  |
| DCFC               | 30             | 200 – 300       |  |
| Total              | 4,280 - 4,380  | 24,200 – 38,300 |  |

| Table B- 3. | Summary of | of charging | ports needed | for 80% | penetration. |
|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------|--------------|

## Appendix C: Multi-Family Property Survey and Findings

Figure C-1 shows the spread of multi-family properties across Palo Alto.



Figure C-1. Locations of multi-family properties classified as apartments in Palo Alto. <sup>32</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Santa Clara County. Parcel Data. <u>https://services6.arcgis.com/evmyRZRrsopdeog7/arcgis/rest/services/AssessorsParcels/FeatureServer/0</u>

Staff did a survey of several multi-family properties of different unit categories to gain insight on parking space and EV charger installation barriers. Questions asked included:

- Parking space-related questions: How much parking is available to each unit? What type of parking (underground, covered, uncovered)? Do units have street parking?
- EV charger-related questions: How many residents currently own an EV? Does the property have any EV chargers installed? Are the property owners interested in installing chargers? Are they aware of the existing rebates the City has to offer?

From the survey responses, shown in Table C-1, staff concluded that the multi-family properties had at least 1 parking space per unit. If the resident in a unit has more than 1 car, he or she would be parking on the street. The type of parking is typically a mix.

| Property<br>Number | Units<br>Category | #<br>Units | # Parking<br>Spots | Parking Type (#)                         | # residents<br>driving EV | EV chargers<br>installed? (#) | Additional notes  |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1                  | Over 100          | 107        | N/A                | Street parking                           | N/A                       | No                            | Respondent reports they have been<br>told they can't have EV chargers.<br>Limited parking space.  |
| 2                  | Over 100          | 118        | 120                | Underground,<br>covered                  | 10                        | Yes (2)                       | They wanted chargers to be<br>assigned to individual tenants and<br>not at shared parking.  |
| 3                  | 51-100            | 61         | 67                 | Covered (61),<br>uncovered (6)           | 5                         | No                            | Use EV charger from property<br>across the street (first come first<br>serve)   |
| 4                  | 51-100            | 63         | 105                | Underground (63),<br>uncovered (42)      | 5                         | No                            | EV charger installation pending   |
| 5                  | 21-50             | 36         | 40                 | Covered                                  | About 1/3                 | No                            | Looked into program couple years<br>ago, not affordable   |
| 6                  | 21-50             | 45         | 47                 | Underground,<br>covered, uncovered       | N/A                       | Yes (2)                       |   |
| 7                  | 21-50             | 33         | 33                 | Covered,<br>uncovered, street<br>parking | A couple                  | No                            | A couple residents have asked<br>about EV chargers; company policy<br>is that resident pay for installation,<br>electricity billed to resident; aware<br>of rebate but show no interest |
| 8                  | 21-50             | 26         | 29                 | Underground,<br>street parking           | N/A                       |                               |   |
| 9                  | 11-20             | 17         | 17                 | Covered                                  | N/A                       |                               |   |
| 10                 | 11-20             | 19         | 20                 | Covered,<br>uncovered (5)                | A couple                  | No                            | Company policy is that residents pay for installation, electricity billed to resident   |

Table C-1. Survey response from select multi-family properties in Palo Alto.

Using the conclusions from the survey, and information on the number of existing multi-family properties and total number of units from Santa Clara County data, a breakdown of the charging ports needed for 80% penetration was determined, shown in Table C-2.

| Property ( | Category | Total #<br>of<br>Units | Total # of<br>Properties | Estimate<br># Units/<br>Property | Estimate<br># Cars/<br>Unit | Estimate<br># Parking<br>Spots <sup>33</sup> | Estimate #<br>Street<br>Parking | Total #<br>Cars in<br>MF | EV<br>Chargers | Chargers<br>per site |
|------------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
|            | Duplex   | 483                    | 243                      | 2.0                              | 1.5                         | 483  | 242                             | 725                      | 290            | 1.2                  |
|            | 3 - 4    | 926                    | 260                      | 3.6                              | 1.5                         | 926  | 463                             | 1,389                    | 556            | 2.1                  |
|            | 5 - 10   | 1,253                  | 185                      | 6.8                              | 1.4                         | 1,253  | 501                             | 1,754                    | 702            | 3.8                  |
| Anartment  | 11 - 20  | 693                    | 45                       | 15.4                             | 1.4                         | 693  | 277                             | 970                      | 388            | 8.6                  |
| Apartment  | 21 - 50  | 1,082                  | 36                       | 30.1                             | 1.3                         | 1,082  | 325                             | 1,407                    | 563            | 15.6                 |
|            | 51 - 100 | 1,104                  | 21                       | 52.6                             | 1.3                         | 1,104  | 331                             | 1,435                    | 574            | 27.3                 |
|            | Over 100 | 2,217                  | 15                       | 147.8                            | 1.3                         | 2,217  | 665                             | 2,882                    | 1,153          | 76.9                 |
|            | Other    | 375                    | 15                       | 25.0                             | 1.3                         | 375  | 113                             | 488                      | 195            | 13.0                 |
| Townhomes  |          | 336                    | -                        |                                  | 1.5                         | 336  | 168                             | 504                      | 202            |                      |
| Condos     |          | 2,522                  | -                        |                                  | 1.3                         | 2,522  | 757                             | 3,279                    | 1,311          |                      |
| Other      |          | 141                    | -                        |                                  | 1.3                         | 141  | 42                              | 183                      | 73             |                      |
| Total      |          | 11,132                 | 820                      |                                  |                             | 11,132                                       | 3,883                           | 15,015                   | 6,006          |                      |

*Table C-2. Breakdown of the high-end estimate of the charging ports needs in multi-family properties for 80% penetration.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Assuming a 1 to 1 ratio between number of units and available parking space.

## Appendix D: Cost Estimation Approach

### Charging Port to Site Conversion

Table D-1 is the conversion table from the number of ports to the number of sites. The sites vary in size, small, medium and large, and these site sizes differ in the number of ports and power level. Additionally, the share of site size varies with different site type.

|  | 1         | Share of Site | Number of Ports and Power Level (kW) |     |     |     |      |     | Site Power  |          |
|--|-----------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-------------|----------|
| Site Type  | Site Size | Type          | Low                                  |     | Mid |     | High |     | Total Ports | (kW)     |
|  | Small     | 40%           |                                      |     | 1   | 1.4 |      |     | 1           | 1.4      |
| Level 1, residential   | Medium    | 40%           |                                      |     | 1   | 1.4 |      |     | 1           | 1.4      |
|  | Large     | 20%           |                                      |     | 2   | 1.4 | 2    |     | 2           | 2.8      |
|  | Small     | 95%           | 1                                    | 7.2 |     |     | 1    |     | 1           | 7.2      |
| Level 2, residential   | Medium    | 0%            | 2                                    | 7.2 | 3   |     |      |     | 2           | 14.4     |
|  | Large     | 5%            |                                      |     | 1   |     | 1    | 19  | 1           | 19.0     |
|  | Small     | 20%           |                                      |     | 5   | 7.2 |      |     | 5           | 36.0     |
| Level 2, non-residential   | Medium    | 70%           |                                      |     | 20  | 7.2 | 1    |     | 20          | 144.0    |
|  | Large     | 10%           |                                      |     | 100 | 7.2 | I    |     | 100         | 720.0    |
| Level 2, multi-family  | Small     | 86%           |                                      |     | 4   | 7.2 | )    |     | 4           | 28.8     |
|  | Medium    | 13%           |                                      | 1   | 18  | 7.2 | 2    |     | 18          | 129.6    |
|  | Large     | 2%            |                                      |     | 70  | 7.2 | 1    |     | 70          | 504.0    |
| the second s | Small     | 20%           | 2                                    | 50  |     |     |      |     | 2           | 100.0    |
| DCFC, micro site   | Medium    | 40%           | 1                                    | 50  | 2   | 150 |      |     | 3           | 350.0    |
| 08.041 F 190   | Large     | 40%           |                                      |     | 3   | 150 |      |     | 3           | 450.0    |
| 1. State 1. State 1.   | Small     | 30%           | 10000                                |     | 4   | 150 |      |     | 4           | 600.0    |
| DCFC, station  | Medium    | 40%           | -                                    |     | 5   | 150 | 1    | 350 | 6           | 1,100.0  |
|  | Large     | 30%           |                                      |     | 8   | 150 | 2    | 350 | 10          | 1,900.0  |
| and the second second  | Small     | 40%           |                                      |     | 20  | 150 | 5    | 350 | 25          | 4,750.0  |
| DCFC, hub  | Medium    | 40%           |                                      |     | 40  | 150 | 10   | 350 | 50          | 9,500.0  |
|  | Large     | 20%           |                                      |     | 100 | 150 | 20   | 350 | 120         | 22,000.0 |

Table D-1. Number of port to site size conversion from CalETC (highlighted in blue are modified numbers<sup>34</sup>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Level 2, residential share of site type was changed from 75% small and 20% medium to 95% small and 0% medium. This change due to the fact that CalETC classifies a medium site size with two Level 2 chargers in the "Low" column. Because it is unlikely for SFR to own more than one Level 2 charger, the percent share was reduced from 20% to 0%. The "Low" column of the large site size initially contained one 7.2 kW port. This was removed for the same reason that it is unlikely for SFR to own more than one Level 2 charger, in this case, given that the SFR already owns a 19 kW Level 2 charger. Level 2, multi-family percent share of site type and number of ports were altered to reflect Palo Alto specific need, determined from the survey and housing data.

### Utility-Side Cost Estimate

The power level at each site is used to determine the probability of upgrades on the utility-side, as seen in Table D-2. The higher the power level, the more likely the site triggers a primary medium voltage and secondary low voltage distribution system upgrade.

| Power Level    | Primary Distribution | Secondary Distribution |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| <7.2 kW        | 0%                   | 0%                     |
| 7.2 kW - 15 kW | 0%                   | 2-8%                   |
| 15 kW - 50 kW  | 0%                   | 70%                    |
| 50 kW - 100 kW | 0%                   | 96%                    |
| 100 kW - 5 MW  | 5-90%                | 100%                   |
| >5 MW          | 100%                 | 100%                   |

Table D-2. Probability of utility-side upgrades by infrastructure segment and power level.

Table D-3 shows the low and high estimate of the cost if a primary or secondary distribution upgrade is triggered.

| Table D- 3. | Utility-side | cost estimate | by i | infrastructure | segment. |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|------|----------------|----------|
|-------------|--------------|---------------|------|----------------|----------|

| Infrastructure Cogmont | Upgrade Cost           |                         |  |  |  |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| infrastructure segment | Palo Alto Estimate Low | Palo Alto Estimate High |  |  |  |
| Primary distribution   | \$ 1,000,000           | \$ 2,000,000            |  |  |  |
| Secondary distribution | \$ 30,000              | \$ 50,000               |  |  |  |

The primary distribution upgrade costs are recouped from all customers through rates. Certain portions of the secondary distribution upgrade costs could be directly charged to the customer triggering the upgrade.

### Customer-Side Cost Estimate

Similarly on the customer-side, each site type has a probability of requiring a "make-ready" and port summarized here in Table D-4.

| Site Type          | Make-Ready | Ports |
|--------------------|------------|-------|
| SFR residential L1 | 12.5%      | 50%   |
| SFR residential L2 | 75%        | 100%  |
| Multi-family L2    | 100%       | 100%  |
| Non-residential L2 | 100%       | 100%  |
| DCFC               | 100%       | 100%  |

Table D- 4. Probability of site requiring "make-ready" and port.

The cost of "make-ready," per site and port is shown below in Table D-5. The cost of make-ready includes the cost of electrical work and permitting. The per port cost is the cost of hardware.

| Table D- 5. Customer-side infrastructure cost re | anges by site type and infrastruct | ure segment. |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|

| Site Ture          | Make-read | dy, per site | Per port  |            |  |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Site Type          | Low       | High         | Low       | High       |  |
| SFR residential L1 | \$ O      | \$ 500       | \$ O      | \$ 500     |  |
| SFR residential L2 | \$ O      | \$ 5,000     | \$ 500    | \$ 2,500   |  |
| Multi-family L2    | \$ 50,000 | \$ 250,000   | \$ 500    | \$ 5,000   |  |
| Non-residential L2 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 250,000   | \$ 500    | \$ 5,000   |  |
| DCFC               | \$ 50,000 | \$ 320,000   | \$ 20,000 | \$ 100,000 |  |



Attachment B

# EV Charger Needs Assessment to Reach 80% EV Penetration by 2030 Mo Sodwatana

www.cityofpaloalto.org

November 4, 2020

## **Overview**

- Project Objective
- Framework for Analysis
- Results
  - Charger Needs
  - Cost Estimate
- Key Takeaways



# **Project Objective**

## The Sustainability and Climate Action Plan (S/CAP)

- Goal to reach 80% penetration of EVs registered in and commuting to Palo Alto by 2030
- Expanding access to charging infrastructure is a necessary complement to EV adoption

## Project objective

- 1. How many chargers will Palo Alto need to support 80% penetration? And what type of chargers are needed?
- 2. What is the cost estimate of installations, equipment, upgrades?



Figure 1. Seven proposed priorities for S/CAP.



# **Types of EV Chargers**

| AC Level One                    | AC Level Two                                 | DC Fast<br>Charge                                |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| VOLTAGE<br>120v 1-Phase AC      | VOLTAGE<br>208V or 240V 1-Phase AC           | VOLTAGE<br>208V or 480V 3-Phase AC               |
| AMPS                            | AMPS<br>12–80 Amps (Typ. 32 Amps)            | AMPS<br><125 Amps (Typ. 60 Amps)                 |
| 12–16 Amps                      |  |  |
| CHARGING LOADS<br>1.4 to 1.9 KW | CHARGING LOADS<br>2.5 to 19.2 kW (Typ. 7 kW) | <pre>CHARGING LOADS &lt;90 kW (Typ. 50 kW)</pre> |



**ALTO** 

4

## Framework for Analysis

Understand current EV trends, charging pattern and existing infrastructure

Forecast EVs in Palo Alto by 2030

Estimate the number and type of charging ports needed

In-depth analysis for multi-family properties

Cost estimate on the utility and customer side



# **Palo Alto Community Statistics**



Figure 3. Distribution of vehicles registered in Palo Alto in 2019.



PALO

## Residential

- Population 65,000 residents
- 25,000 households
  - 15,000 single family residence (SFR)
  - 10,000 multi-family residence
- 52,000 vehicles registered in Palo Alto
  - Of which 9% or 4,500 are EVs

## Non-residential (commuting to Palo Alto)

- Palo Alto supports ~70,000 jobs
- Estimate 50,000 vehicles drive in daily
  - Of which, ~6-10% are EVs

# **Existing Charging Infrastructure Estimate**

- Single family residence L1 internal estimate
  - SFR have 3,500 EVs
- Multi-family L2 internal estimate
  - Multi-family have 600 EVs
- Permits for L2 Chargers = 800 chargers\*

Table 1: Estimate of charging ports in Palo Alto in 2019.

| Charger Type       | Charging Ports |
|--------------------|----------------|
| SFR residential L1 | 3,100          |
| SFR residential L2 | 300 – 350      |
| Multi-family L2    | 50 – 100       |
| Non-residential L2 | 800            |
| DCFC               | 30             |
| Total              | 4,280 - 4,380  |



\*Unique address = SFR residential L2/Multi-family L2 (1 ports per charger) Repeated address = Non-residential L2 (2 ports per charger)

# **Residential EV Charging Ports Estimate**

### Table 2: Current and projected residential EVs and charging ports.

|                                | EV             |                 |  |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
|                                | As of 2019     | 2030            |  |
| EVs in single family residence | 3,500          | 30,000          |  |
| EVs in multi-family residence  | 600            | 12,000          |  |
| Total                          | 4,100          | 42,000          |  |
|                                |                |                 |  |
| Charger Type                   | Charging Ports |                 |  |
|                                | As of 2019     | 2030            |  |
| SFR residential L1             | 3,100          | 5,000 - 8,000   |  |
| SFR residential L2             | 300 – 350      | 10,000 - 12,000 |  |
| Multi-family L2                | 50 - 100       | 3,000 - 6,000   |  |
| Total                          | 3,450 – 3,550  | 18,000 – 26,000 |  |



PALC

## Residential

- Average in Palo Alto 2.1 cars per household
  - 2.5 cars per single family residence
  - 1.5 cars per multi-family residence
- Estimated the need for more L2 chargers than L1 chargers in SFR by 2030
  - L1 chargers not applicable to longer range EVs
  - On average 1.7 EVs per household



8

# **Non-Residential EV Charging Ports Estimate**

## Non-residential (commuting to Palo Alto)

- Base estimate following CalETC whitepaper, *Infrastructure needs assessment for 5M light-duty vehicles in California by 2030*<sup>2</sup> and NREL, CEC EV Infrastructure Projection Tool <sup>3</sup>
- Currently, 4,500 vehicles registered in Palo Alto are commercial and governmental
  - ~ 9% are EVs are commercial
  - Estimate 60% penetration by 2030 = 2,700 are EVs

Table 3. Current and projected commuting EVs and charging ports.

|                         | EV             |                |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                         | As of 2019     | 2030           |  |  |  |  |
| Commuters to Palo Alto  | 4,400          | 52,000         |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial & Government | 400            | 2,700          |  |  |  |  |
| Total                   | 4,800          | 54,700         |  |  |  |  |
|                         |                |                |  |  |  |  |
| Charger Type            | Charging Ports |                |  |  |  |  |
|                         | As of 2019     | 2030           |  |  |  |  |
| Non-residential L2      | 800            | 6,000 - 12,000 |  |  |  |  |
| DCFC                    | 30 200 – 300   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Total                   | 830            | 6,200 – 12,300 |  |  |  |  |



# **Overview of Cost Estimate Approach**



Figure 4. Electrical infrastructure segments for EV chargers. [2]

\*Make-ready includes electrical work and permits \*\* Charging port is the cost of hardware

Expected cost of each infrastructure segment assessed by:

- 1. Number of charging ports per site
- 2. Power level at each site
- 3. Probability of an upgrade
- 4. Low and high cost of upgrade scenario to represent variability in site condition



# Summary of Per Port Costs by Charger Type

| Charger Type       | Number of port  | Number of site  | Port per site | Cost per port        |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| SFR residential L1 | 5,000 – 8,000   | 4,200 – 6,700   | 1.2           | \$0 – \$300          |
| SFR residential L2 | 10,000 – 12,000 | 10,000 – 12,000 | 1.0           | \$3,000 – \$10,000   |
| Multi-family L2    | 3,000 – 6,000   | 450 – 890       | 6.7           | \$12,400 – \$49,900  |
| Non-residential L2 | 6,000 – 12,000  | 240 – 480       | 25.0          | \$5,400 – \$20,500   |
| DCFC               | 200 – 300       | 45 – 65         | 4.5           | \$62,000 – \$230,000 |
| Total              | 24,200 – 38,300 | 14,935 – 20,135 |               |                      |

Table 4. Summary of per port cost by charger types.



## Key Takeaways

- If the 80% EV penetration goal is met:
  - The number of EVs is likely to increase tenfold and charging needs are estimated to increase 6- to 8-fold – with the assumption that utilization rate per port will be higher than current levels.
  - At these penetration levels, energy consumption is estimated increase from 1.5% of total load to 15% of total load. On the residential side, it is estimated to increase from 6% to 69% of total residential electrical load.
  - In this scenario, the number of EVs per household would increase from 0.18 to 1.7 EVs per household.



## Key Takeaways

- The cost per port is highly variable and is dependent on the need for electrical service panel upgrade, the potential need for trenching, and number of ports installed per site.
- Due to economies of scale, greater ports per site is more cost effective. For non-residential L2 ports, the installation cost per port at commercial campuses is projected to be lower than at multi-family properties.





## Mo Sodwatana

Stanford Fellow Jarupa.Sodwatana@CityOfPaloAlto.org (678) 860-5746