9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

# Planning & Transportation Commission Action Agenda: May 13, 2020

Council Chambers 250 Hamilton Avenue 6:00 PM

# Call to Order / Roll Call

8 Approximately 6:09 pm

- Chair Templeton: Excellent. So, I will start with some of the technical content about doing this online. So pursuant to the provisions of California Governor's Executive Order N-29-20, issued on March 17, 2020, to prevent the spread of Covid-19, this meeting will be held by virtual teleconference only, with no physical location. The meeting will be broadcast live on Cable TV Channel 26 and live on the Midpen Media Center at <a href="https://midpenmedia.org">https://midpenmedia.org</a>. Members of the public who wish to participate can do so by email, phone, or computer. To ensure participation in a particular item, we suggest calling in or connecting online 15-minutes before the item you wish to speak on.
- 18 For written communications please send an email to Planning Commission....
  19 planning.commission@cityofpaloalto.org.
- Spoken comments via a computer will be accepted through the Zoom teleconference meeting.

  To address the Board [note Commission], go to zoom.us/join... zoom.us/join. Meeting ID is

  925 9144 5934. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 identify yourself by name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is

2 your turn to speak. When you wish to speak on an agenda item, click on "raise hand". The

moderator will activate and unmute speakers in turn. When called please limit your remarks to

4 the time allotted. The timer will be shown on the computer to help you keep track of your time.

5

6

7

8

9

3

Spoken comments using a smartphone will be accepted through Zoom teleconference meeting.

To address the Board [note – Commission], download the Zoom application onto your phone

from the Apple store... Apple App Store or Google Play Store and enter the Meeting ID 925

9144 9534. Please follow the previous steps.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Spoken public comments using a phone call use phone number 1-669-900-6833 and enter

Meeting ID 925 9144 5934. When you wish to speak on an agenda item hit #9 on your phone so

we know that you wish to speak. You will be asked to provide your first and last name before

addressing the Board [note - Commission]. You will be advised how long you have to speak.

When called please limit your remarks to the agenda item and time limit allotted. You can also

find this information on the last page of the agenda.

17

18

19

To better facilitate public comments, at the beginning of the meeting our meeting host Vinh

will identify each person with a raised hand by name or last four digits of your phone number

and request that you state your name and the agenda item you wish to speak on. If you wish to

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 speak under any item not on the agenda, please state your intent to speak under oral 2 communications. When it is your time to speak during public comment, you will be identified 3 and provided 3-minutes to speak. Any callers with blocked numbers will wait until the end of 4 the speaker's portion. The host will unmute them one at a time and will be... and all will be 5 asked to speak. 6 7 Alright, that was a lot but that is how we are able to meet on the Zoom call. So, at this time Vinh, do you want to go through any... well we don't have any attendees dialed in at the 8 9 moment. 10 11 Mr. Vinh Nguyen, Admin Associate III: Yes, we have no public comments at this time, so you 12 may proceed with the agenda. 13 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Ok. So, at this point we should call the meeting to order and do a roll call. 14 15 Mr. Nguyen: Ok so I will begin the roll call. Chair Templeton? 16 17 18 Chair Templeton: Present. 19 20 Mr. Nguyen: Vice-Chair Roohparvar?

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

	Page 4
1	
2	<u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> Present.
3	
4	Mr. Nguyen: Commissioner Alcheck?
5	
6	Commissioner Alcheck: Present.
7	
8	Mr. Nguyen: Commissioner Hechtman?
9	
10	Commissioner Hechtman: Here, not there.
11	
12	Mr. Nguyen: Commissioner Lauing?
13	
14	Commissioner Lauing: Present.
15	
16	Mr. Nguyen: Commissioner Lauing?
17	
18	Commissioner Lauing: Present.
19	
20	Mr. Nguyen: Commissioner Riggs?

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

	Page 5
1	
2	Commissioner Riggs: Here.
3	
4	Mr. Nguyen: Commissioner Summa? Commissioner Summa?
5	
6	Commissioner Summa: Present, sorry.
7	
8	Mr. Nguyen: You've got a quorum, thank you.
9	
10	Chair Templeton: Great thank you very much.
11 12 13	Oral Communications The public may speak to any item not on the agenda. Three (3) minutes per speaker. 1,2
14	<u>Chair Templeton:</u> We still have no one as an attendee so Oral Communications are going once,
15	going twice, alright. I guess we should close that portion of the meeting and review the agenda.
16	
17 18	Agenda Changes, Additions, and Deletions  The Chair or Commission majority may modify the agenda order to improve meeting management.
19	
20	Chair Templeton: Are there any changes, additions, or deletions to the agenda?
21	

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Ms. Rachael Tanner, Assistant Director of Planning: I have no changes or deletions to suggest 2 Chair. 3 4 Chair Templeton: Alright. 5 **City Official Reports** 6 7 1. Directors Report, Meeting Schedule and Assignments 8 9 Chair Templeton: Let's move on to the City Official Report then, thank you. 10 Ms. Rachael Tanner, Assistant Director of Planning: Great and I just want to share one cool 11 12 Zoom trick that I learned while listening to the budget hearing surprisingly; which is that if 13 you're on mute and you click and hold your space bar, it will let you talk, and when you un-hold 14 your space bar you go back on mute. So, I thought that was really cool so I figured I would share it with you all. You may already know that because you may be Zoom aficionados but 15 16 something new to pass along. 17 18 Well I do want to give a little bit of an update on what we just heard today, if you were listening 19 in and if you weren't, from the City Council regarding the Planning and Transportation Budget. 20 And we do have both... and Sylvia Star-Lack and Nathan Baird on the line from the Office of

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

### Page 7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Transportation. So, they can update on transportation both budget-wise but also in regard to different initiatives that they've been working on. So, one of the things that Council did vote to actually do... so as I was mentioning before we got started, the way the Council is approaching the budget is they are both making motions to maybe add different items back into the budget or to remove them from the budget and then voting on those with the majority vote. So, four votes to carry that motion and to have that become part of the proposed budget that will be adopted. I believe they're meeting yesterday, today; they may find another third time to meet. They'll have their meeting next Monday and the goal is that by the 26th of May they're... the Staff are able to bring back all of their proposals and adjustments and everything back for consideration. And then work on those kinds of proposals through June 22<sup>nd</sup> when the budget for the next fiscal year will actually be adopted. And I'm sure many of you have heard that the deficit that we're planning for is \$39 million so a fairly significant impact to the City over the next year or two.

14

15

16

17

18

But we're very fortunate that Council did vote four to three to restore the Long-Range Planning and Housing Program to its full budget. And so that means we hopefully can move forward with some additional work in the Long-Range Planning and Housing functions in the Planning and Development Services Department.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 We also had a parking lot item which is to look a little bit closely at our Inspection Services and

2 as you may know when folks are looking to open up buildings or move back into their buildings

or start new businesses there are inspections needed. And so, we had proposed in the budget a

50 percent reduction in our Inspection Services in order to try to balance the budget. And so,

kind of taking a look at what we can do to maybe either restore some of those or monitor those

or try to ensure that there aren't disruptions as folks are opening their business. So that's a

parking lot item we'll be working on and bringing back.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

7

3

4

5

6

Coming up in the future, in just 2-weeks on May 26<sup>th</sup>, we are going to have our first virtual

NVCAP meeting. So, we're really looking forward to getting together. We're trying out a 2-hour

meeting instead of a 3-hour and hopefully being able to really share feedback from all the

working group members on the proposals. Both the proposals shared by the working group

members and designed by them as well as the proposals prepared by the professional planners.

And so, we'll kind of get a really... really a round-robin discussion and then also plan for how we

can meet in June. So, I'm really excited about that. I'm a little nervous for the Zoom meeting

but if the XCAP can do it successfully I'm very confident that NVCAP can also have a successful

virtual meeting. So, if you want more virtual meetings, Tuesday May 26<sup>th</sup> from 5:30 to 7:30 you

can tune in for a little bit or for a little bit of time... a lot of time if you choose.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

### Page 9

Also, happy to report just in the operations of our department we have released... switched on our online permitting system. And so, folks are submitting applications both for planning, for building and also other departments like fire, utilities online. I mean we're really able to serve our customers virtually so we're really excited about that. If you have friends, colleagues, who are thinking about doing home improvements or other work, we're open for business 24-hours a day really online. And in addition, construction has been allowed, so since we last met I believe the construction... the Shelter in Place has allowed construction activities of all kinds to move forward in our county and in our City. And so, we're doing inspections, we're out there in the field helping those projects move along.

Coming up to City Council next week we do have one informational item which is an ADU Quarterly Report. And just you can look at the report right now, it's already published as an informational report on the City Council agenda. Just to give you a sense, in 2015 we had 10 new applications filed for Accessory Dwelling Units and in 2019 we had 75 applications filed for Accessory Dwelling Units. And later this month you will have an item that's looking at even streamlining further our ADU Ordinance. And also, we hope to make some other changes to hopefully make it easier for folks who want to get ADUs developed and approved. That we can help them with that.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 There's also going to be an Appeal of a Director's Decision of an IR, a two-story home, that will
- 2 be on the Council Consent agenda on Monday on the 18<sup>th</sup>. And then we will be bringing forward
- 3 some more items to the City Council in June which I can update you on later.

- 5 So, with that I'm going to turn it over to Nathan Baird and to Sylvia Star-Lack from the Office of
- 6 Transportation.

7

8 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> Can I interrupt before we do that?

9

10 Ms. Tanner: Yes, if there's any questions or comments.

11

- 12 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> No, Rachael, I just wanted to say how excited... this is Commissioner Riggs.
- 13 How excited and proud of you I am and the department for doing the virtual permitting and
- 14 really scaling out fast. I think that's really important. We've talked about it in theory in
- academia for a long time and it's just amazing to see how quickly you've gone to e-permitting
- full force. So, kudos to you and the rest of the Staff. I think it's really important.

- 18 Ms. Tanner: I will take that and I will share with the Staff. There are many people who've been
- thinking about this for, as you said, a couple years even. And it's the groundwork they laid we

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 were really able to say ok, now's the time, let's do it. So, we're really happy and I will share that 2 with them. They'll be very... feel that very warmly so thank you very much. 3 4 Chair Templeton: It looks like Commissioner Lauing also has a comment. 5 6 Commissioner Lauing: Yeah, I just wanted to add that I was watching the section of the budgets 7 for Planning and Transportation today and a lot before that too. But I just wanted to comment 8 that the four-three vote doesn't mean the sentiment was four-three. It was substantially 9 procedural in the sense the three who voted just wanted to look at it later in the context of all 10 other adds. But I think there was general agreement that we needed the person there who was 11 going to help drive and think about housing policies. So, I just wanted to point that out. 12 13 Ms. Tanner: Yeah that's a great comment. There's been a little bit I think of discussion about 14 what it means to have something to go to the parking lot and what it means to have it be an 15 actual budget recommendation. So, I think that may continue unfortunately. 16 17 So, if there are no other comments (interrupted) 18 19 Chair Templeton: Alright. 20

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Ms. Tanner: Or questions do we want Chair, to hear from the Office of Transportation? 2 3 Chair Templeton: I think it would be great to go over to the transportation team. Thank you. 4 5 Ms. Sylvia Star-Lack, Transportation Manager: Nate, do you want me to go first, or do you want 6 to go? 7 8 Mr. Nathan Baird, Transportation Manager: Why don't you go first. I think you can probably 9 give the fuller update on the Council proceedings the last couple of days, as well as I, think your items were a little bit more discussed a little bit more as well. 10 11 12 Ms. Star-Lack: Ok, well I don't... I can't begin to summarize the Council... the Council meetings 13 for the budget. It's been... but it has been really emotional and intense. It's a... they have a very heavy lift. I don't envy them. What I did gather from today's meeting is that it looks like the 14 15 crosstown and possible the Embarcadero Shuttles will both be cut. And we did have a parking lot item and that is to revisit TMA funding and how much it will receive for next year. That's my 16 very short update on budget that... that's I think... did I miss something else in there? I think 17 18 (interrupted) 19 20 Mr. Baird: That about covers it. I think I'll talk about parking a little bit.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Ms. Star-Lack: Yeah, I'm going to let you handle the parking piece. Ok, great, so then I have two program updates. The first is that... and some of you may know this, hopefully, you all know this, that we did launch last Friday our new Shared Street Pilot Program. The program reserves selected streets on our bicycle network for local traffic only. And the idea is to reduce through traffic so that pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorists can maintain physical distancing while exercising or using the street. So, they can pass each other and have fewer conflicts with vehicles. We also included on that website an online survey for feedback and for folks to recommend other streets on our bike network for this kind of treatment. And I wanted to give you a couple results from the summary so far. About 50 people have responded, so it's not a lot so take it for what it's worth but 85 percent of the total responders have used these streets for one... at least one of these streets for walking or exercise, 89 percent of the responders think that residents are often or occasionally using the roadway on the shared streets to maintain physical distancing so people are actually using the space, 58 percent of the responders have noted less traffic on these segments and 63 percent of the responses shared that the Shared Streets Program made these streets feel more safe and inviting for walking and bicycling. And then 50 percent of the people who responded did suggest additional streets to be included. And then I just have a couple samples of feedback that... direct feedback people said. "Please keep on supporting alternatives modes of transportation", "we've seen with COVID how pollution and harmful emissions got reduced", "we're hoping we can keep doing that after we

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

# Page 14

- 1 go back to normal'. Someone else said "I'd love to see this be more permanent and for Cal. Ave
- 2 to become a completely car-free zone, an enjoyable public space", and someone else said,
- 3 "sidewalks are often too crowded making it nearly impossible to maintain distancing without
- 4 walking in the center of the street." So, people are using these streets and they like it so that's
- 5 my first update.

6

7 My second update is (interrupted)

8

9 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> Hey Sylvia?

10

11 Ms. Star-Lack: Yeah?

12

- 13 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> Before we move on I want to... I just... I again want to just provide
- encouragement and positive feedback. I mean this has been... most people don't know, this has
- been my primary research area for 15-years; particularly studying Dutch streets. And so, I
- 16 couldn't be more proud of Palo Alto of working forward with kind of a naked street, Hans
- 17 Monderman, type concept. So, congratulations to Staff for the record. Thank you so much for
- 18 pursuing this.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Ms. Star-Lack: Thanks. The... and this next item really enhances the Shared Streets concept and

2 really supports everybody right now as we're sheltering in place and I mentioned it last week.

3 Our Safe Routes to School team has been focusing on revamping how they're delivering

support to the community on education evaluation and they've launched four new things. One I

mentioned last week but I have an update. They launched an on-grid... online module of...for

our 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Bicycle Safety Education Program. We normally go into the schools and educate

kids face to face but we can't do that now and now it's online. We've wanted to do it for many

years. This module launched last week and its seen more than 100 students accessing the

curriculum. The 8th grade Getting to High School online module is going to launch soon so I'm

looking forward to that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

10

4

5

6

7

8

9

The third item is that our Biking from Preschool to Parenthood adult presentation has been

shared with about 50 students and they're families. So, we are really experiencing some

interest from really young families in how to adopt a biking lifestyle early when they have little

kids. So that's great and we also... our final offering is the new one-on-one virtual and

optionally bilingual if you speak Spanish, you can do this in Spanish, Bike Safety Consultations.

And these are intended to address the significant growth in family bicycling we're seeing right

now across Palo Alto. And the team can arrange phone or Zoom consultations with parents who

would like the Safe Routes to School Team to discuss rules of the road, biking safely in Palo

Alto, and setting families up for success. Sometimes helping them with equipment choices

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- while accommodating shelter in place with they're children and families. So, we're very excited
- 2 about all of those things. Thank you.

4 Mr. Baird: Ok (interrupted)

5

- 6 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you so much. If any Commissioners want to make comments, can you
- 7 raise your hand, and after we hear from Nate we can go to your comments. Thank you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Mr. Baird: Ok so this is Nate again. I think part of what I need to do is... today is just kind of introduce myself again. So again, I'm Nathan Baird, I am your new Parking Manager. It's been a very interesting couple months for me. I started on the first week of our Shelter in Place Order and have been working remotely for my entire time. So far, it's been interesting learning how to communicate with the team via entirely online channels, chat, and other sorts of Microsoft teams functions I didn't know existed. [note - They] have all been much more useful than I would have thought they would have been just 3 or 4 months ago. But it really has been helpful to have chat tools, video channel tools, and so it's been interesting to get up to speed on in a new place in a new way as well.

18

19

- I've spent 10 some years in transportation roles at local Cities. Previously I worked at the City of
- 20 Mountain View, prior to that I was in Southern California and worked at both L.A and Long

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Beach in transportation roles. This is my first venture into parking and it's been an exciting one.

2 I've been pouring over 5 to 10-years of Palo Alto documents related to parking. So, no shortage

of great ideas and great recommendations to help our systems improve. With that said we have

a lot of work on parking in terms of getting ready for when the economy does get back, for

when we do turn our parking regulations enforcement back on. And so, May 27th, your next

meeting, I'll be bringing a fuller update on progress made on our Parking Working Plan items as

well as some strategies and policies Staff have been thinking through about how to streamline

those and put those in place in a way that makes sense once the economy starts to come back

online and once parking becomes again a very important resource for the City. So that's my

introduction, I look forward to spending a little bit more time with you all next time.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

<u>Chair Templeton</u> Thank you both so much. Nate, just to reiterate how happy we are to have you

onboard and joining a wonderful team with Sylvia and Philip and the rest of the folks in

transportation. I would suggest that people in Palo Alto are very interested in parking and so

you're going to have a lot of engagement once they COVID Shelter in Place lifts. So that will be

great to speak with the community on that.

17

18

Mr. Baird: Yeah, I suspect that we will have way more attendees next time.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> More than likely and Sylvia, I wanted to say how much I appreciate that you
- 2 are adapting so quickly in rolling out the program about the protected streets. So quickly and
- 3 that you're getting wonderful feedback on it. I love that you're already measuring it, the
- 4 feedback, as close to rolling it out as possible. I think that's wonderful, that we should do more
- 5 things like that. So, I just wanted to acknowledge that as well so thank you. And it looks like
- 6 Vice-Chair Roohparvar has a comment.

- 8 <u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> Sylvia, I really have appreciated the street closures. I actually... the Ross
- 9 Road one, I walk on it every single day with my daughter. I take her down with the stroller. I've
- seen a lot more people using it. It's been really cool. I had a question for you and this is just you
- know I think I have it right but there's a sign that says closed to through traffic. So, cars can't go
- through but that means local people, Palo Alto people, can still drive through, right? That's the
- point of it.

14

15 Ms. Star-Lack: Yes. Yeah, yeah, it's (interrupted)

16

- 17 Vice-Chair Roohparvar: And we all know that but outsiders don't and that's how we
- 18 (interrupted)

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Ms. Star-Lack: Well through traffic is a term. I... we... I think that that's a... that might be a sign
- 2 that is an official sign and the sign... in traffic signage world there are certain words you can use.
- 3 We could have... I don't know if we could have put down something that said local traffic only. I
- 4 don't think you can say that. I actually don't think you can say that but all of... if you look at the
- 5 website, which is our same... our usual website www.cityofpaloalto.org/sharedstreets, there's a
- 6 listing of what is allowed and what's not allowed on the street. And we are allowing residents,
- 7 we're allowing deliveries, we're allowing mailman, we're allowing emergency services, all of
- 8 those things that still have to happen but we're really encouraging people who don't need to be
- 9 there to use a different street. And at the very least we're letting folks know you should expect
- something different happening on this street.
- 12 <u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> Yeah, it's actually been kind of fun. It activated the street and a lot of
- locals go walk through it and I don't know it just takes away some of the loneliness during this
- 14 time but thank you.

15

- 16 Ms. Star-Lack: Yeah, I... yeah, I walked on it. I live in Mid-Town, I walked it on my Monday so
- 17 yeah, I saw that.
- 19 Chair Templeton: Excellent. Any other comments, raise your hand? Alright well thank you for
- 20 the Staff reports.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Ms. Tanner: So, we're going to have a presentation this evening, a study session, regarding Objective Standards and as I was joking earlier it's really kind of a planning nerds' topic. So hopefully you are ready to think about the Planning Code and really nuts and bolts of what it means to have a Planning Code and to administer it in Palo Alto. And we have... are really fortunate to have Jean Eisberg who's the owner and principle of Lexington Planning who has been working with our Chief Planning Official Amy French and our current Planning Manager Jodie Gerhardt to really lead this effort. And Jean brings a breadth of experience working with a variety of Cities on policy projects, long-range planning projects, stakeholder projects really from California, and also even to Hawaii. She has just been really instrumental. Even you may recall if you were here when we did our Retail Preservation Ordinance, she was part of bringing that forward. So, she's familiar with Palo Alto and I'm really hopeful or really glad that she's here tonight and working on this project and you guys will get to meet her if you haven't already. And she also has her colleague Chris Sensenig and I'm sorry Chris if I didn't say your name properly. He's really been helping with the design and architect. And so, as Attachment A to the Staff Report you saw an example of how a potential code could look and he's responsible for helping to think about how to make it a little bit more visually communicative. And so that can provide some greater understanding for our applicants.

18

19

20

17

So, Jean is going to share her screen, she'll maybe introduce herself a little bit more and we're going to go through her presentation. She will pause at a certain point in the presentation and

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

# Page 22

- the Chair may entertain questions from you all and then she'll continue on into Part Two. Part
- 2 One is kind of the context setting, what are we here to talk about, and some of the bigger
- 3 background. Particularly around legislative changes that have occurred that are precipitating
- 4 this work happening.

5

- 6 And then she'll get into those five recommendations that are part of the report and so if you
- 7 need a refresh yourself on those recommendations she'll go over them, but on Page One of the
- 8 Staff Report towards the bottom they're listed in that Executive Summary. Those are really the
- 9 five things we really hope to get your feedback around and while we think we're all fans of
- 10 efficiency, this is our only agenda item tonight. So, we can really hopefully dig into some of the
- 11 nuts and bolts. And last thing I'll say is if you feel overwhelmed or confused by any of this,
- 12 you're not alone. There's a lot here. It is like spaghetti in a trench and kind of untangling it to
- see what you've got is part of why we're here in the study session format. So, with that I'm
- 14 going to hand it over to Jean Eisberg.

15

16 Mr. Vinh Nguyen, Admin Associate III: You're muted, Jean.

17

18 Ms. Tanner: You're on mute. You need to unmute yourself. There you go.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Ms. Jean Eisberg, Consultant: Ok, there we go, thank you. So, thank you Rachael for the intro

2 and good evening Chair Templeton and Members of the Commission. I guess I am a planning

nerd, I also like spaghetti so I'm excited to be here to walk through Objective Standards. I'm

going to go ahead and share my screen. There we go.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

3

4

6 So as Rachael said I'm here tonight with Chris Sensenig who will be available to answer

7 questions when we get into any more design topics. And also, as Rachael said, we're breaking

this presentation into two pieces. First to provide an overview of what this project really is and

then the context of why we're doing it. Particularly the relationship to state housing law and to

the City's policies. We'll take a break thereafter about a 10-minute presentation to ask any

clarifying questions among the Commission. And then continue on to the key issues and

recommendation section where we're really looking for your feedback on two of those

recommendations; Number One and Number Six so I'll call those out when we get there.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

And then as Rachael mentioned we do have a framework for the design standards that we

wanted to present to you and as she mentioned there's an attachment in the Staff Report that

goes through one of the sections. And we don't want to go through the nitty-gritty of design

tonight and talk about dimensions. There will be a place for that later down the line but the

idea tonight is to frame the problem for you. And so, this is not your... not the last time you'll

see this but really just this is just the beginning of your efforts here.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

4

5

6

7

8

9

2 So tonight, we're just looking to present the project to you and get your preliminary feedback.

3 We have already done a number of brainstorms with City Staff including front planning counter

Staff on how they use the ordinance and their relationship between Objective Standards and

the City's Design Criteria which tend to be more subjective. We've met with the ARB twice now

and so that's how we've developed this Objective Standards framework and I'll talk a little bit

more about the ARB's feedback. And so here today we're just checking in with you about where

we are in the process and we'll be back later in this summer or fall with an ordinance for your

consideration and your recommendations hopefully to the Council.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

So, the main problem here is that given the changes in state law, the City's Subjective Design Criteria, subjective regulations are going to be difficult to enforce for some multi-family and residential mixed-use projects. And so that's why we're looking at changes to make standards more objective. And so, the outcomes of the objective of this project, first and fore more, are to translate those context-based design criteria into Objective Standards. And in that way to really capture the City's design intent as Objective Standards because otherwise we may not be able to continue to enforce them for certain types of projects. So, while we're doing that we also want to identify new Objective Standards where concept may be missing. Chris may mention this later but one of the things that the code doesn't really get into right now are windows for

example. We're also looking to do some reorganization of the Design Standards and you'll see

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

## Page 25

this appear in the recommendations later on but to make a more logical organization of the code both for Staff, for applicants, and for decision-makers. And then the last two, adding graphics and an architectural review checklist to make sure that things don't get missed during the review process and to just clarify and help illustrate what some of these standards are which can sometimes more easily be shown in pictures. And then lastly, some of this as we'll talk more about some... this requirement for Objective Standards are coming from recent state law from SB35. And so, part of this process we've developed a draft application for SB35 to clarify what the City will require if an SB35 application comes in in terms of submittal requirements.

So, what is this project apply to? So, if you think about Objective Standards right now today in the Comp Plan, in Title 18, in the SOFA CAP Plan, other adopted policies, there's a variety of Objective Standards that apply to all projects. Whether their commercial projects or residential projects and those would continue in the future. So, our focus for this project are amendments to the Zoning Ordinance, to Title 18, and primarily for multifamily housing which we define as two or more units and for residential mixed-use projects so if you have a residential over ground floor retail. And so, we're really addressing the RM Districts and the C Districts. The Downtown CD District, CM, CS, so you can think about California Avenue, San Antonio, El Camino Real.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Now, what is an Objective Standards? The quote up top on this slide is from state law. These

2 are standards that involve no personal or subjective judgment, the uniformly verifiable, and

they're known prior to submittal. So, one simple example here is just a front setback. A front

setback is defined in the Zoning Ordinance, 10-feet, we all can understand what that means. So

front setback shall be a minimum of 10-feet, that we consider an Objective Standards.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

3

4

5

7 On the other hand, what are Subjective Criteria or Subjective Standards? Two examples here,

one from the Performance Standards in the City's Code. Parking should be broken up into

smaller groups of spaces to avoid large expanses of parking. There're different ways that we

could interrupt this. How many spaces constitute the smaller group of space? What constitutes

a larger expanse of parking? That's a debatable criterion. Likewise, many of the Context Based

Design Criteria in the code are also subjective. So here scale and massing should be compatible

with the existing pattern of building. That's not a clear measurable criterion and its subject to

interruption so we consider it subjective.

15

16

17

18

19

20

So, this is showing how the current regulatory framework is in the City. So, the City regulates in

Title 18 uses, regulates Development Standards, parking, the Retail Protection Ordinance. Many

of those sections of the code are objective. However, other sections of the code and other ways

we regulate development are so far subjective. The Conditions of Approval for example may

include both some objective criteria that emanate from the code but also criteria that are

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 applied say by the ARB during the review and approval process. Now those again, are if we

2 think back to the legislative definition, those are not knowable prior to application. On the

3 bottom three rows there the Context Based Criteria as I mentioned are mostly subjective.

4 Certainly, legislative action are discretionary actions when you're applying an overlay or

changing the zoning. Discretionary actions by the PTC and Council and then lastly ARB Findings

are likewise Subjective Criteria.

7

9

10

11

12

13

5

6

8 So, what we're looking to do here is to establish standards that implement the intent of these

existing Subjective Criteria. So, taking the ARB Findings, taking the Context Based Design

Criteria, and making them objective. That doesn't mean that those criteria... excuse me, that

the ARB Findings go away. Those would still be used and we'll talk a little bit more about which

projects those could apply too and, in a way, they can continue to apply to all projects that go

towards the ARB. It's just in the way that they're... they can be applied to a project.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

So, the next piece of this first part of this presentation is the policy context. So first the Housing

Accountability Act, this is a state law that's been on the books for almost 40-years but it's

starting to be invoked more and more by developers. You may have heard about Cities getting

sued on the Housing Accountability Act. And some of the recent state laws over the last couple

of years have continued to strengthen the Housing Accountability Act in an effort to facilitate

housing development and specifically affordable housing development. So, what the HAA says

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 is that when a project applies... excuse me, when a project complies with Objective Standards, a

2 City cannot deny it, reduce its density, or make it infeasible unless the project would have a

3 specific adverse impact which is narrowly defined in state law; things like environmental issues,

historic resources. So, this act applies to all housing development projects which the City

interrupts to mean two or more residential units. So, the HAA does not apply to single-family

residential. Additionally, a project must be at least two-thirds residential so where it applies to

mixed-use, it would need to be at least let's say ground floor retail and two-stories of

residential above.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

5

6

7

8

So, some examples of how this might apply in Palo Alto, on the left of the screen a multifamily residential building in an RM District that complies with all Objective Standards. That would be an HAA compliant project. Likewise, a mixed-use project that's two-third residential in downtown or a State Density Bonus project which gets waivers and concessions to reduce say parking or reduce... excuse me, increase the height limit would also be covered by the Housing Accountability Act. And then lastly, an SB35 streamlining project would also typically be covered by the HAA. Where it doesn't apply, on the right-hand side here, say you had one-story of residential over ground floor retail. Well that's less than two-thirds housing and so the HAA wouldn't apply. Likewise, projects seeking rezoning and concurrent architectural review would

not... the HAA would not apply. That's a discretionary and legislative action. And then the

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Housing Incentive Program, again that requires Discretionary Review. Likewise, a project
- 2 requesting a reduction in parking spaces. So just a few examples of the HAA.

- The SB35 project streamlining, some of you may be familiar with this. It went into effect last January 2019 and this allows projects that are least two-thirds residential and projects which in
- 6 the case of Palo Alto have at least 50 percent of their units allocated for low-income
- 7 households and projects that have consistent zoning and consistent... are consistent with all
- 8 Objective Standard allowed certain streamlining provisions. And I do want to point out that
- 9 right now the City of Palo Alto is subject to the 50 percent threshold. That is renewed by or
- 10 updated by HCD, the Housing Community Development Department for the state, annually
- based on the City's progress towards the RHNA. So right now, that's where Palo Alto is at. We
- don't know where Palo Alto could end up in the next couple of years but right now that means
- that there probably aren't too many projects that are going to come in as SB35 projects. But
- what those projects get to do is they're subject to administerial approval, a shortened review
- period, no CEQA review is required, and no Discretionary Review is required. And so, some
- 16 examples of what that looks like, what could happen is in Palo Alto, a non-profit housing
- developer could propose a 100 percent affordable project. They can combine that with the
- 18 State Density Bonus Law project say to get a height waiver or a parking waiver and that could
- be an SB35 project. The less likely scenario right now, the second bullet, would be that market-
- rate developer teaming with an affordable housing provider doing a 50 percent affordable, 50

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 percent market-rate project with at least two-thirds residential. But again, the point here is that

these projects have to comply with the Objective Standards so understanding what those are is

an important consideration.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

5 The next key housing law is something that went into effect in January, SB330. Two important

6 elements here, first this prohibit jurisdictions from opposing new Subjective Design Standards

established after January 1 of this year. That does mean that existing Subjective Criteria can

continue to be applied but new standards must be objective. And then second it prohibits

jurisdictions from enacting policies that would change current zoning and General Plan

designations where housing is an allowable use to lessen the intensity of housing. And so, what

that means is that one the City cannot downzone a district unless they showed that a different

area was upzoned or sort of counted for those potential losses of residential units somewhere

else. For our purposes what this is getting at is that we couldn't make the Development

Standards so strict that we were eliminating the possibility for or lessening the intensity of

housing in a certain location without accounting for increasing the intensity of housing in

another location.

17

18

19

So, we're almost done with state law and this is maybe the good side of things but this project

is funded by Senate Bill 2 which is seeking to provide local governments with assistants to both

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

streamline housing approvals, facilitate housing specifically low-income housing, and facilitate

2 housing production.

of Objective Standards overtime.

So how does this all relate to the City's effort? So, we have a number of Objective Standards and we have housing policies in the Comp Plan in the Housing Element and in the Housing Work Plan that the Council adopted a couple years ago. The Housing Workplan had specific action items to strengthen the City's Objective Standards and foresaw some of the changes that we continue to see this year in terms of SB330. But as you can tell from the state laws that I went through, the legislature relying more and more on projects compliant with these Objective Standards to facilitate review and approval. And so, we might continue to see the importance

I did want to mention the zoning update that went into effect about a year ago. It made a number of changes to help facilitate housing production. This project is really aiming to streamline the housing review and approval process. It's a little bit different but one thing that zoning update did last year was eliminate Site and Design Review. So whereas 2-years ago if a housing project with fewer than 10 units was proposed in the City, that would only require review by City Staff and the ARB. Projects with 10 or more units would go to you, would go to the PTC, and the Council regardless of whether this Housing Accountability Act is applied or not. The changes to the Zoning Ordinance last year changed that review process so the way it is now

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

## Page 32

1 City Staff is reviewing all projects. The PTC and the Council are only reviewing multi-family 2 housing projects that are requesting a rezoning or application of an Affordable Housing Overlay 3 or Work Force Housing Overlay. The ARB continues to see most projects, most multi-family 4 projects, regardless of the number of units, and then in the case of SB35 projects City Staff 5 would be reviewing those at a ministerial level. So, we think about those various project types 6 with various multi-family and two-thirds residential mixed-use project types. Objective 7 Standards apply across the board. All of those projects will be ensuring that the project meets 8 the Objective Standards but the way that the City can apply its Subjective Criteria are different 9 depending on the project type. So, for SB35 projects, those Subjective Criteria really don't apply at all. For a Housing Accountability Act project, it's a little bit more complicated and that's this 10 11 asterisk here. So, remembering what that HAA law tells us, a City cannot disapprove a project, 12 reduce its density, or otherwise make it infeasible.

13

14 Ms. Tanner: Jean can I stop you for one second?

15

16 Ms. Eisberg: Yeah, I'm blocked by feed.

17

18

19

Ms. Tanner: [unintelligible -crosstalk] I think you're maybe stuck. Maybe try turning off your video and then turning it back on and share the screen again.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Ms. Eisberg: Ok my Zoom seems to be restarting.
2	
3	Ms. Tanner: Ok.
4	
5	Ms. Eisberg: If you can give me a minute. It was such an exciting slide too.
6	
7	Ms. Tanner: I know. It's the combination of all the previous slides.
8	
9	Ms. Eisberg: I'm going to restart here.
10	
11	Ms. Tanner: Ok.
12	
13	Ms. Eisberg: Let's see. No. Ok we're reconnecting. Bear with me. Ok are you able to see my
14	screen now? Ok great.
15	
16	Ms. Tanner: Yes, we do see it.
17	
18	Ms. Eisberg: Ok so I was at the second row here so a little more complicated for the Housing
19	Accountability Act project. For example, the ARB could still talk about those Findings, they could
20	talk about the Design Criteria, but they cannot use them at this asterisk, cannot use them to

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

disapprove a project, reduce its density, or make the project infeasible. For these other types of

2 projects, the ARB would be using their Findings, would be using Design Guidelines to comment

on projects, and potentially recommend changes to projects.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3

So, a segue and before we just break here for a minute, I wanted to talk about the feedback that we got from the Architectural Review Board when we met with them in February. And for first most Board Members were concerned that Design Standards would be overly prescriptive and would restrict the influence of character, of context on enabling high-quality designs. So, there was just this overall sense of concern about being too prescriptive. They did want to regulate and address transitions between height and uses which right now is captured in the Context Based Design Criteria in terms of Compatibility guidelines. However, they recognized that it's just really challenging to create a one size fits all standard for different types of uses and locations. Third and this is one of the tensions that maybe some of you are feeling too. Some Board Member were concerned about the concept of creating strict standards. We talked about things like zero shadows being allowed to be cast or specific types of materials that were allowed to be used, but allowing exceptions if an applicant agrees to go through Architectural Review so sort of as a way out. Other Board Members were concerned that this would be conservative and restrict housing development altogether. Still, other Board Members supported more flexible options such as a menu of choices which you'll see we'll talk more

about in the second half of the presentation. And then lastly there was a desire to allow for

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- some flexibility for affordable housing. Particularly around things like regulations for materials.
- 2 If we end up regulating materials, making sure that the requirements don't get too expensive.
- 3 And then lastly there was a fair amount of agreement about basic good design principles that
- 4 could apply to all types of projects. For example, the length of a façade as one example.

6

So, I wanted to pause there and ask the Chair if you'd like to ask any questions.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

8 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you. So just to remind folks that if you need to use the raise hand

function you can access that by clicking on the participant's icon that people and the number

beside it. And then there's a raised hand button there but if you're dialing in by phone I believe

it's \*9 will cause you to raise hand. So, if you have any questions for Jean about the

presentation so far. So, let's give folks a moment to chime in if they have questions. Alright,

seeing none we will move on. Thank you very much. It's obviously a sign of a very good, clear,

well-organized presentation so thank you Jean.

15

16

17

18

19

Ms. Eisberg: Ok great. So, as we're getting into the next section I do want to say that the

legislature is not requiring that we adopt Objective Standards but that, again, for certain types

of projects they can't necessarily be enforced. And so, if we did nothing, that would be ok, it's

just that we don't have... and we don't have the opportunity to continue the intent and the

20 desire for Design Standards that right now are captured by ARB Findings.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 So, I'm going to go through the six recommendations that are mentioned in the Staff Report.

3 Some of them may sound perfunctory. I think they are a little bit more directed at Staff and

applicants but I do want to focus your attention a little bit more on the first recommendation

and the sixth recommendation.

We'll remind you when we get to the last one but the first one is really at the heart of the project here which is revising the Context Based Design Criteria as Objective Standards. As I mentioned with ARB, we had this little tension between whether to be more conservative or more flexible. And so, this is where we've landed in terms of our recommendation after discussions with ARB and Staff. So, the way it is now many of the Design Criteria are subjective. So, bullet one, where guidelines can be easily transformed into Objective Standards by adding a dimension or a measurement, and we would use that approach. Bullet number two, many guidelines just don't lend themselves to that unit of measurement. I mentioned compatibility, garage context, things like that. So instead we could develop a number of options for standards. You can see at the right-hand side of the page a menu of options for active ground floor residential or instead of meeting the standard if for some reason the developer or the architect didn't want to meet that standard. It didn't make sense for that project. They could instead meet the guideline, the intent statement and that would be as determined by the ARB, and so

in that way the applicant is choosing to go through that Discretionary Review for that certain...

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 excuse me... for that certain design topic. So, the idea here is to aim to create reasonable

standards. Not conservative, not overly prescriptive.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

2

4 So, Recommendation Number Two is to streamline Design Standards. So right now, many of the

5 Design Criteria are repeat as many as four times across the code in different zoning districts. So,

we recommend removing those redundancies in part by reorganizing the Design Criteria into its

own standalone section which happens to be recommended as 18.24. The way we're thinking

about this is that most of those Design Standards apply to multi-family and mixed-use

residential projects across the board but that there may be some additional standards for

certain types of uses. Particularly where you have 100 percent residential versus ground floor

retail in certain locations. For example, El Camino Real has a standard for a 12-foot sidewalk.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

And then Recommendation Number Three, if you think about the code there are also a number

of development regulations in other sections of the code. Performance Criteria in 18.23,

General Standards in 18.40 that address landscaping, open space, refuge storage. Things that

are design-related but they're scattered in different parts of the code. And so, something that

we talked about with Staff is that there are things that sometimes easily get missed or just

don't quite... excuse me, don't quite make sense in that section. So, this is going to relate to the

next recommendation but here we're recommending that we make sure that all of those

standards are objective so that can be used in a review of an SB35 or HAA application. And then

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 potentially relocating those standards in a way that they are easier to find. For example, in the

2 Performance Standards chapter there are... there is a subsection about parking design and so

one thing that we review with Staff is that it may be more appropriate located in the Parking

4 Facility Design Section of the code. So, in this way we're recommending eliminating 18.23,

Performance Standards, and moving those regulations into different sections of the code where

they make sense. So again, this is seeking to reduce redundancies, inconsistencies, and lack of

clarity to help Staff and applicants through the application process.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

3

5

6

7

The Fifth Recommendation is fairly simple but just the idea of using more graphics to illustrate

standards. Right now, the City does use graphics outside of the code for single-family homes in

a user's guide that front line Staff use at the counter. There are graphics in the Context Based

Design Criteria. This could be... these graphics could be part of the code or they could be a

stand-alone section outside of the code but just help both applicants understand what is meant

by a standard and help Staff in the interpretation.

15

16

17

18

19

20

So, the last recommendation, Number Six, is also something that we're looking for your

feedback on. This is kind of a new idea but rethinking legislative action into Objective Criteria.

So, the way that the code is now there are a couple different overlay districts like Work Force

Housing Overlay, the Affordable Housing Overlay, that have criteria for when they can apply for

above matter... excuse me, for above moderate-income housing in the case of Work Force

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Housing, for moderate-income housing in the case of affordable housing but they require this legislative action. They require a review by the PTC and the City Council prior to Architectural Review and so one thing that we can consider is instead of making these legislative actions to essentially make them buy right. Those projects that meet these eligibility criteria could automatically be eligible for the reduction in or the more flexible Development Standards. And so, in this way it's a little bit similar to the Housing Incentive Program that was codified last year in terms of making that link clear between when a project can be eligible for certain more

flexible standards.

So last section of the presentation, I mentioned we provide an example section in the Staff Report for the Design Standards subchapter. And I don't want to get to bogged down in the details of dimensions and substandards. We are going to be working with the ARB and ARB subcommittee on those specifics but I did want to present it here so you can see the direction that we're headed and provide any feedback on that. But taking the big picture here in terms of how this chapter may be organized and this is Chris's work. Thinking about starting with the Site Design, the circulation, the access, the site planning, and then looking at the continuum of how we design the site. So, after that big picture looking at the massing and scale, the modulation of a building, and finally the façade articulation and architectural detail. And so, we go from that large urban scale to the fine-grain scale in how this chapter may be organized. Within those bigger topics you can see that the sections on the right-hand side of the table that we're

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

#### Page 40

1 proposing for how to organize this chapter. And within each topic we would have an intent

2 statement that emanates from the ARB Findings, from the existing Design Criteria, and then you

essentially have two paths for how to meet the Objective Standards or that intent statement.

So, one, you can choose to meet the Objective Standards which sometimes could meet a

Dimensioned Standards, other times may mean this menu of options where you're choosing

between one, two or three options or if for whatever reason the developer or the architect

doesn't want to meet that specific standard. You're instead choosing to go through the ARB

review where the ARB determines whether you've met the intent of that design topic.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

that these are Objective Standards.

3

4

5

6

7

8

So, the example in your Packet is a section on façade design and here you have an example of an intent statement. This again is coming from some of the language of the existing Context Based Design Criteria. And then you have one example of a standard and in this case, it's a menu of options. So, in the middle of the page here, current Context Based Design Criteria, this particular criterion is relating to a building having a base, body, roof. A base, middle, and a top. And so, this idea you have this menu of options where a developer would choose from one variation in building modulation to meet the intent of the façade design intent statement that I showed on the previous page. So, you could choose to make horizontal shift, an upper floor step back, or a ground floor step back and the idea is that these... you're only selecting one and

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

### Page 41

- 1 So next steps in the process, I'm just repeating this graphic that I showed upfront. After
- 2 tonight's meeting and based on your feedback we'll be going to work with the ARB and the ARB
- 3 subcommittee on reviewing the complete draft standards and reviewing a preliminary draft
- 4 ordinance. And then we would be coming back to you probably this fall with a draft ordinance.

5

6 So that concludes my presentation and I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

7

- 8 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you very much. So, the structure of how the rest of the conversation
- 9 will go is that Commissioners who have technical questions should raise their hands, we will
- acknowledge them in order, and then we will check and see if we have any public comment.
- 11 And then we get into the discussion and so does anyone have any technical questions or
- 12 questions around the presentation material itself? Alright I see three; Commissioner Alcheck,
- 13 Lauing, and Hechtman. Commissioner Alcheck.

14

- 15 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Yeah, actually, I'm going to save... I meant to uncheck that. I'm going to
- save my question for Staff after I guess public comment. It's not... I don't know if it qualifies as a
- 17 technical question so I'll just wait.

18

19 Chair Templeton: Ok. Alright, thanks. Commissioner Lauing.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Commissioner Lauing: My first question is could you define what technical is in the context of

2 this discussion?

3

4 Chair Templeton: So, any clarifications on the materials presented versus opining.

5

6 <u>Commissioner Lauing:</u> Ok in that case I want to start with Page One because you said something

7 about two-thirds of the way through your presentation that I was... I didn't think was squared.

8 The whole idea here is to come up with Objective Standards and you want our input on that.

And it says they're needed to fulfill requirements under Senate Bill SB2 etc. And in your

presentation, you said it's ok if we do nothing so I didn't quite understand that. So, if you could

start there and I have a couple after that.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

9

10

11

Ms. Eisberg: Sure, so to clarify let's say an SB35 project came through the door and to review

that, that project is only required to meet Objective Standards. If the City had no Objective

Standards then it wouldn't need to meet any of them. So, if that project comes in the door

today, it's required to meet all of the Objective Standards that are currently on the books. So,

you can think about setbacks, height, FAR, residential density. So those could continue to be

addressed going forward, however that project would not be required to meet the Design

Criteria, it would not need to be evaluated according to the ARB Findings. And so, the thing that

20 gets lost is if... the City's design priorities essentially could get lost if we don't adopt Objective

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

# Page 43

1 Standards that are specifically around design that are intended to translate those Objective 2 Criteria into Objective Standards. I hope that helps clarify. 3 4 Commissioner Lauing: Yes, it does. A couple other questions I think are qualified in this section. 5 Under the SB35 with 50 percent affordable is there a codified definition of what affordable is 6 there? 7 8 Ms. Eisberg: Yes, those are low-income units so that's at least low. It could be low or very low 9 but at least low income. 10 11 Commissioner Lauing: Ok but those come out to be certain numbers right, in terms of current 12 medium income? 13 14 Ms. Eisberg: That's right. I don't want to get this wrong. Low income is either 60 or 80 percent to AMI. I do not remember off the top of my head. 15 16 17 Commissioner Lauing: Ok and then the second paragraph (interrupted) 18 Ms. Eisberg: [unintelligible – interference] 19 20

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Commissioner Lauing: You're defining essentially the penalties if they come back and say we 2 haven't made a good enough progress on RHNA numbers, correct? 3 4 Ms. Eisberg: That's right so if (interrupted) 5 6 Commissioner Lauing: Packet Page 12. 7 8 Ms. Eisberg: The City either... if the City either does not submit its annual report of how it's 9 doing towards it RHNA or it doesn't meet certain aspects of its RHNA on a year to year basis. So, then it could get pushed into the 10 percent category in which case a developer would only 10 11 need to provide 10 percent low-income units in order to have an eligible SB35 project in 12 addition to all of the other SB35 eligibility criteria. 13 14 <u>Commissioner Lauing:</u> Ok. I think that's all that would qualify in this section. 15 Chair Templeton: Thank you. Commissioner Hechtman. 16 17 18 Commissioner Hechtman: Thank you. This is really a process question so attached to the Staff 19 report is Attachment A. There's a sample of objectifying some of the Objective Standards into and quantifying various things. And I think I understood you to say one of the next steps of this 20

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 process is going to be working with either ARB or a subcommittee of ARB to I think to come up
- 2 with those quantifications such as how many feet of setback for a particular situation of
- 3 massing and so my question is, is that process going to happen before this come back to the
- 4 PTC so that when it comes back to the PTC we'll see that ARB work?

6 Ms. Eisberg: Yes, that was our thinking.

7

8 <u>Commissioner Hechtman:</u> Thank you.

9

- 10 Ms. Tanner: Just to add to that Commissioner Hechtman and Jodie Gerhardt is here if you have
- other questions for the ARB. She does run our current planning and our ARB but they're going
- to have a subcommittee that will be focusing, kind of really rolling up their sleeves or sharpen
- their pencils, I'm not sure maybe getting out some rulers to help with that process.

14

- 15 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Alright Commissioner... I mean Vice-Chair Roohparvar followed by
- 16 Commissioner Summa.

- 18 Vice-Chair Roohparvar: So that slide that you had where you said a topic-based they can
- 19 choose... a developer can choose to go Objective Design Criteria or subjective. The intent
- 20 statement, so that is by topic. So, for example, I just want to make sure I understand this,

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

someone can come say ok windows. I don't want to meet fenestration. I don't want to meet

2 Objective Standards. I'm just going to go to ARB for that, but then for like setback on my front

entryway I'm going to meet Objective Standards. Does it go piece mill like that or does it come

all in one and then once you go subjective the whole project is subjective with the ARB? I'm just

5 a little bit confused.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3

4

7 Ms. Eisberg: That's a great question and Albert may want to weigh in too but my understanding

8 of... two different parts of the questions; how it relates to the Housing Accountability Act and

how it relates procedurally. So, let's say most like an SB35 project is not going to want to get

into the world of intent statements because it takes them out of ministerial approval. So, if they

went this route, in effect they wouldn't be meeting the Objective Standard and so they really

couldn't do that. They couldn't meet the intent statement, they would always have to meet the

Objective Standards. Let's say a project was otherwise compliant with the Housing

Accountability Act. If on that one topic of façade design they didn't or couldn't meet that

Objective Standard and they moved into the world of intent statement. They would already...

they'd already be at the ARB for that particular topic under... essentially the ARB on

discretionary aspects of their review would only pertain to that specific topic. However, they're

at the ARB and in practice the ARB would be reviewing the entire... it sorts of opens up the

entire project but to your point in terms of the Housing Accountability Act, it really isolates

20 them on that specific topic.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Vice-Chair Roohparvar: Decides whether to open up the whole thing or not? How does that

2 work?

3

6

7

8

9

4 Mr. Yang: So, I think we would want to write it into the code the way that we want to structure

5 our process. I was just speaking to the way that the Housing Accountability Act is written. It

prescribes a local jurisdiction's authority for these projects that meet applicable Objective

Criteria. But if a project... even if it's just one of those Objective Criteria, if it doesn't meet all of

the Objective Criteria then we don't fall under it to the realm to the Housing Accountability Act

anymore.

10

<u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> Thank you.

12

13

11

Chair Templeton: Chris did you want to weigh in on this question as well?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Mr. Chris Sensenig, Consultant: Yeah, I just wanted to reiterate, yes, it is up to the City to

decide the review process once you get into the subjective and different Cities have dealt with

this in different ways. One is that the whole project goes to Subjective Review but we have also

in a quantified, how many Objective Standards... that if you're only missing two of them then

maybe the ARB only reviews those two. But if you're missing three or more then the whole

project becomes a Subjective Review so there's different gradients that a City can work in.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you. Is there anything else Vice-Chair?

3

4 Vice-Chair Roohparvar: No, thank you. That was helpful clarification.

5

6 Chair Templeton: Alright. Commissioner Summa.

7

8 Commissioner Summa: I'll wait till later. Thanks.

9

- 10 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Ok and I've seen some interest in the questions from the Commissioners so I
- 11 just want to throw this out there as a possibility. You heard that the ARB has formed a
- subcommittee to look into this and dig in a little bit more detail than they can at a regular
- meeting. So, if you have an interest in forming a subcommittee, we should discuss that and I'm
- 14 trying to recall the rules around it. If it has to be agendize and things like that so Rachael or
- 15 Albert if you want to speak up about the process there but I also wanted to throw that out that
- 16 we might want to consider that for PTC as well.

17

- 18 Ms. Tanner: Yes, I believe Chair that we would agendize that for our next meeting if that was
- 19 something that we had interest from people actually dedicating time to that.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Chair Templeton: Excellent so thanks for clarifying. And when we come back around to our
- 2 discussion portion if you are interested please speak up. So, at this time we are going to open
- 3 the floor for public comments. Please dial in per the instructions on the agenda if you're
- 4 listening from home on cable.

6 Commissioner Riggs: Before you do that can I...I had my hand waved. I had my hand raised.

7

8 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Oh, I see it now. I'm so sorry Commissioner Riggs, please go forward.

9

- 10 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> Yeah, you see my face too. I actually wanted... I actually think this will
- work well and I actually don't understand the mechanics of this either Rachael so I'll just be
- 12 candid. I'm throwing this out there as an idea but I actually don't... I'm not a big fan of
- subcommittees but I actually think a joint subcommittee would be really effective in this case.
- 14 And so, and having some cross-pollination between ARB and PTC would be really fertile so I'm
- going to throw that out there as an idea. And I don't know-how... I don't understand the
- mechanics of it and but I think it would be really effective from a workflow standpoint in terms
- of a responding as well as edifying the great stuff that Jean presented and kudos to you Jean.
- 18 Really good presentation.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Templeton: I completely agree Commissioner Riggs. Thank you for bringing that up.

2 Alright so I don't see any folks dialed in. Vinh, are you seeing anyone?

3

4 Mr. Nguyen: We currently don't have any public comment at this time so I believe we can

5 proceed with the agenda.

6

8

9

10

11

7 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Alright so then we will close public comment and now we can do our

discussion. So, we'll go ahead in order of hands raised as I see them so please feel free to use

that feature again. And if you have spoken and then have additional questions its fine to raise

your hand again. We can do a couple rounds as this is a bit of a meaty topic. So, who would like

to go first? Alright, Commissioner Summa.

12

14

15

16

13 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> Ok well thank you very much. This is a very informative Staff Report so

thanks everybody and I do think it's really good that we're doing this. I think that retaining

some of the values from the Subjective Review as Objective Standards is a really important

thing to do and probably kind of a hard thing to do in some cases.

17

18

19

And I was a little concerned as I was reading the Staff Report before the presentation that we

might be getting a little ahead of ourselves. There's so much unpredictability in the future and

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

what's... whether housing or office [and] whether there will be a surplus. I mean it is kind of 1 2 crazy right now so that's just one idea. 3 4 Do you want to go down Chair the recommendations one by one or just the two that Ms. 5 Eisberg is mostly (interrupted) 6 7 Chair Templeton: I'm open to either way. I was anticipating that there might be some general 8 comments first but we definitely should at some point address the points. So, if you want to 9 (interrupted) 10 11 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> Ok so I'll just keep (interrupted) 12 13 Chair Templeton: Let's do one pass on general and then we'll go through one by one. How does that sound? 14 15 Commissioner Summa: Whatever you think. So, I'm a little concerned about when we use the 16 17 Dimensioned Standards along with the set of menus. I'm a little concerned about and this is 18 really an architectural concern but it's a planner's concern that we're going to end up with a lot

of buildings that look a lot like. So, I'm worried about losing sort of a sense of individuality and

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 I'm a little bit worried at the same time about standardizing across different zones and 2 neighborhoods. That we might end up with losing a little bit of neighborhood uniqueness. 3 4 So, and I see two, three, and four of the recommendations as being more about organizing the 5 code than policy questions. Is that the right way to think about it? 6 7 Ms. Eisberg: Commissioner Summa that is (interrupted) 8 9 Ms. Eisberg: Yep. 10 11 Ms. Tanner: Accurate assessment of those recommendations. 12 13 Commissioner Summa: Ok then I think those are my concerns. Oh and I have a question and it 14 has... it's kind of a funny question but I was wondering if planners ever consider evaluating 15 buildings by volume? And very infrequently but sometimes it does come up that the FAR... because of the way FAR, in certain cases, is counted it doesn't really capture the size of the 16 17 building as people experience it from outside, not people using the building. And I was 18 wondering if that was ever a standard way in certain situations of looking at building impact? 19

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Ms. Tanner: Maybe I'll ask if Chris might address that in terms of have other Cities addressed
- 2 volume as a standard and maybe create a different standard based on the building's volume. Is
- 3 that something you've seen?

- 5 Mr. Sensenig: I mean I've spent... that is basically what is commonly known as a form code
- 6 which is really outlining the overall mass of the building from a dimensional standpoint. You get
- 7 this many feet in this direction, this many feet of depth, and you're really creating it's form and
- 8 regulating that way. I would say the standard at least across the Bay Area is similar to what Palo
- 9 Alto has done where you have a set of design guidelines that are formed based but then there's
- 10 a traditional Zoning Code underlaying it that regulates FAR and height and other useful things.

11

12 Commissioner Summa: Ok thank you. Those are my general comments. Thank you very much.

13

- 14 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you. I see Commissioner Alcheck followed by Commissioner Riggs.
- 15 Commissioner Alcheck.

16

- 17 Commissioner Alcheck: Ok first question for Mr. Sensenig. Are you... you're... as an outside
- 18 consultant are you working with any other jurisdictions on something similar to this?

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Mr. Sensenig: Yes. I am currently writing... they call it Clear & Objective up in Oregon. So, I'm

doing a Zoning Code in the City of Eugene right now. Earlier this year completed a downtown

3 Zoning Code for Beaverton, Oregon, and I... we're currently working on a specific plan in Sunny

Vale and a Master Plan in Mountain View. So, I also have an architecture background I should

say, and actually over 10-years ago I worked on the Context Based Design Criteria for the City of

Palo Alto that we're currently rewriting. So, I've worked on a lot of both Objective and Design

Guidelines throughout the Bay Area.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

4

5

6

7

Commissioner Alcheck: That's awesome. This question I guess goes to anyone that can answer it which is just that I'd be very interested to sort of hear the discussions at the ARB level. One thing that I think sets us apart from some of our neighbors is that our Planning Commission doesn't really participate in Subjective Review of projects. And to be quite frank we don't even really have that much experience crossing the line or the new line in the past because often when we have a project that's up for review, we're very limited in our discussion because of the way that Palo Alto bifurcates the decision-making process with respect to projects like this. And so, I'd just be curious if Staff could identify and maybe in the abstract what code sections that would be subject to some modification that are actually specifically relevant to our review? And not because I'm suggesting that we don't... I'm not suggesting that this changes in any way the discussion tonight but we don't participate in aesthetics and we don't really make decisions

based on whether something is cohesive with its neighboring sites. We stay far away from that

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

### Page 56

1 in our decision-making process. So just for the purposes for understanding how this will impact

2 our process, are there any sections that you image would be amended that would actually

change what we currently do as a Planning Commission when projects like this come before us

that might at the ARB level need to be addressed differently? But that's sort of... I'm curious

5 [unintelligible – audio cut out]

Ms. Tanner: So that's a great question Commissioner Alcheck and I'll ask Jodie Gerhardt if she will be ready to weigh in a little bit on that and Jean may also have some comments. I think one of the important reasons that the ARB was looking forward to the PTC discussing this item and providing some feedback is that while they, to your point, really do deal with the Subjective guidelines and our kind of... this would definitely change their work and their process to a great deal. They wanted to have the PTC to really wrestle with and kind of opine on some of these policy questions of kind of what is the direction that we should go as a City and how should the ARB really be thinking about it's work? To use the example that Jean created, do they... do we want a menu of options or do we want to use a very extreme example, say everything must be brick and glass, and if you use any other materials you've got to go through the Subjective Review? So perhaps Jodie, perhaps you can weigh in a little bit more on what the ARB was looking for. And also, if there are, whether Jodie or Jean, what the PTC's role... how their role would change if the Objective Standards are adopted.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Ms. Jodie Gerhardt, Planning Manager: Thank you Rachael. I think that was a good summary. I think the ARB are mostly made up of professional architects and they feel like it's their... excuse me... their job to implement the City's code. Whereas for the PTC you're helping to create that code that the ARB would implement. And we're definitely putting together the ARB subcommittee though because they do have that technical expertise to get down into the details to help us with that. But we do also want a sense from the PTC just generally an overview of what you're looking for. What... different neighborhoods have a different look and feel and so is there anything that you really want to retain? Commissioner Summa was talking about retailing that neighborhood uniqueness so are there things like that, that we need to be aware of and we can make sure and incorporate.

Commissioner Alcheck: So, I guess my follow up question would be that I think I would find it exceptionally helpful if Staff or outside consultants provided some materials representing the way other jurisdictions are approaching this and the reason why is I can't really take my landuse attorney hat off. Which I'm approaching this from the perspective of it's a liability that we have so many Subjective Standards in our review process because it allows Cities to potentially restrict developments for what would otherwise be considered improper reasons. That there's an element of bias involved in the process if the standards are too subjective. And so, what we're really talking about here is tying the hands of the ARB to some extent whether here are your options... here's the list of options or here are the... I mean with their feedback trying to

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

understand what criteria would in some regard tie their hands. And so, I think that's an interesting role for us because I think all of us understand the liability, the concerns, and the reasoning behind this new push. But at the same time, we... I've never... no one cares if... no one has asked us if we like the color of the buildings and we weren't put in place to make those conclusions. And I think it would be a lot easier for me to understand how to go about some of these changes, number one if I had some real-world examples and number two, actually if there was an opportunity to sit down with ARB Members or a representative of the ARB and for them to express to us where they really often feel like they're just standing on very shaky ground. They're reviewing an item for under this criteria but they never feel strongly that the case can be made either way. What are those examples where you could really go either way on these conditions and so we need to reign those in because that allows to much subjective bias in the process? So, I would... I just want to throw that out there that if there's an opportunity to review material from other jurisdictions, I think that would be very helpful because I think there's probably a really good red flag example. Like anytime they talk about X, this is just... maybe there's court... I'm sure there's some case law. Case law has really knocked out this. Whenever we talk about this, it's garbage. So that would be a good summary... a summary of those cases and then also if the ARB could tell us themselves where they often feel like they don't have... they have a very hard time making a strong case either way that would be helpful.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Commissioner Riggs: Cari, I'm wondering if I can jump in before there is any response to that? 1 2 3 Chair Templeton: Ok, it looks like Jodie also wanted to (interrupted) 4 5 Commissioner Riggs: I did... sense a question there but... if there's no... if there's not a question 6 imbedded in what Mr. Alcheck [note -Commissioner Alcheck] said can I jump in there as the 7 person with their hand up next? 8 9 Chair Templeton: Hold on just a second. Jodie, you look liked you were about ready to respond. Did you want to respond before Billy [note -Commissioner Riggs] goes or do you want to wait? 10 11 12 Ms. Gerhardt: Just quickly, on the ARB does have an annual report that they sent to the Council 13 I want to say somewhat recently but it's probably been a good couple of months. So, I can find that and send that to everyone because that did have these stumbling blocks that you were 14 15 talking about. That was discussed in their annual report. 16 Chair Templeton: Thank you Jodie. Commissioner Riggs. 17 18

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Commissioner Riggs: I double mute myself just to make sure there's no kid background noise.

2 So, I think the reason why I wanted to jump in is because Commissioner Alcheck, Mike, you

were hitting on some of the things that I think are some of the embedded assumptions here.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3

So first off, I just want to condition, this is great work and our consulting team actually has done amazing work here. My general comment... so I'm... these are general and I don't have specific comments so this is probably the last time you'll hear from me tonight. But I think some of the tension here... and so I want to break this up into two pieces. The second thing I have to say is more for Staff than for the group. But I think we're... the problem here is that we're in this middle ground between having a form-based code and having these Objective Standards. And I think that's a... that is actually what we're talking about here is the tension between the two. And I've talked about maybe moving to a form-based code on the dais before and I think that actually maybe what we should be asking whether or not we need to consider a full pallet that actually is that is responsive to the different neighborhoods. I guess I'm turning that into a question is that as a first step, this is great but are we going to consider just moving a formbased code and having responsive design guidelines and form-based guidelines that actually... for example in the downtown respond to the Historic Standards? In South Palo Alto respond to the Eichler Design Guidelines? I think these are... these are questions where even taking this a step further I think would help both Commission and the ARB be a little more objective across the board. And so, this is where I think we're in... in my opinion we're in this middle ground

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 between nudging towards a form-based code but not actually excepting that we have a form-

2 based code. And again, I think that's my reservation despite my excitement about what we're

doing now. So that's a question. Signpost that.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

3

5 The other thing is really more of a comment which is... I think this is... this is the right step. I'm

really excited by making more Objective Standards. I think we should do more. My opinion is

that we should move to a full-on form-based code. That's my opinion but I also think this is

not... that we should actually move to more objective and some standardized conditions as

well. And that's something that I brought up on the dais before as well. And this is more for

Staff if anything is that I'd like to consider revisiting... and if this is a part... can be a part of this

consultant's work or another consultant, revisiting standardizing conditions as well on projects

because I think that would make our [unintelligible] much more efficient as well. The more we

can standardize and make clear for applicants here are standard conditions, here's guidelines.

That's only going to improve our process. So those are my two comments.

15

16

17

18

19

20

14

Ms. Tanner: Thank you Commissioner Riggs. I may be thinking of a different conversation but I

do believe that Jean and Chris, we at one point did speak about I think perhaps... it comes to

mind is Chris's work in Oregon that some Cities may be started at one place where we are

currently and did extent that to having maybe more and more Objective Standards. Obviously,

again I think that is a policy choice for both the PTC maybe to recommend to Council and for

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

### Page 62

- 1 Council to really uptake if that was the desire. This could be seen as a step in that direction or
- 2 again, it can be really just preparing us to be ready in case we have SB35 or Housing
- 3 Accountability Act projects. That we have a path for those projects to retain the things that are
- 4 really important in Palo Alto. So, I don't know, Chris or Jean if you can speak to how that...
- 5 you've seen that work in other Cities maybe as going toward form based code and what that
- 6 would look like in Palo Alto. And then of course, Jodie or Amy if you do have any comments
- 7 regarding that you're free to make them also. If you don't that's fine too.

8

- 9 Mr. Sensenig: I think I can speak to some of it. I think in a certain way this creating Objective
- 10 Standards out of the Context Based Design Criteria will get you most of the way to this form
- 11 code. In a way I don't think there's... there's very very few Cities that have ever really
- implemented a strict form code because they don't find them particularly practical on an
- overall basis because people want to regulate use and other things.

14

- 15 But to speak to both Commissioners questions about where does what we're doing have to do
- with the policy questions. [unintelligible audio cut out]

17

18 Mr. Nguyen: Chris I think you're muted.

19

20 <u>Ms. Tanner:</u> Vinh, can you unmute him?

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

4

5

6

7

8

9

2 Mr. Sensenig: Space bar technique. My space bar gave out. Ok, I'm not sure where I ended but

3 for... providing input on policy, I think a big important part of that is that the Architectural

Review Board's Findings are actually very broad. And then the Context Based Design Criteria

provided much more detail and then the restructuring of this has a very... is trying to have very

clear intent statements for each topic. And these intent statements are expanding the

Architectural Review Board's Findings with more language that is probably more really

expanding policy in a way. And then it's the Objective Standard are codifying those intent

statements and I think that's I think one place where this Commission's review will be critical.

Jean, am I... I'll let Jean... see if I'm making sense here Jodie. Did we lose Jean?

11

12

10

Ms. Gerhardt: Jean is still muted and I don't know that I have much... oh, there you go. Go

13 ahead Jean.

14

15

16

17

18

19

Ms. Eisberg: There we go. Oh, I think that's right on. I mean I would describe Palo Alto as a

hybrid code. You are regulating both form and use and we see a lot of Cities doing prime to

similar... a similar thing. And so, you've got these very subjective open-ended ARB Findings

which gives them a lot of discretion where that path is available to them with certain types of

projects. And then you have these just these development regulations which are requirements

and so that's (interrupted)

\_

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> [unintelligible – crosstalk]

3

4 Ms. Eisberg: [unintelligible – crosstalk] modeling.

5

7

6 Commissioner Riggs: And I was suggesting is that some of the points of tension are really

embedded in that because we are in the intermediary space. And that's really... that's the

8 whole point of what I was saying is that a lot of the questions are because of that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Mr. Sensenig: And I think that... I mean in a way though that's a great thing about Palo Alto is

that you guys have allowed a lot of diversity in architecture and I mean I think that's one of the

hard things with these Objective Standards. And Especially when... I mean this might not be

what you're intending in your statement of portion towards a form code but as far as creating

Objective Standards, even in a form code framework, you almost need to overprescribe the

design to make it objective. And that's where the spine balance of how do you create a good

set of Objective Standards that also allows flexibility. Now there's always... the applicant can

always just choose to go the discretionary path right, but you can't deny a project if it's meeting

all the Objective Standards.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Templeton: Alright thank you. It looks like we're having a good discussion. Commissioner

2 Lauing is next.

3

5

6

7

8

9

4 <u>Commissioner Lauing:</u> Ok yeah it was a very good discussion. I really appreciated the

comments by both Commissioner Alcheck and Commissioner Riggs. I think the discussion of this

being somewhat in tension, t-e-n-s-i-o-n, is exactly the point. And I think even the ARB in what

they sent back to us in Item Number Two when they talked about a conservative versus a

flexible approach, where they couldn't agree on what's best at least in terms of giving us

guidance, is right on point for what we're discussing.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

And a number of you, both Staff and Commissioners, were talking about does one size fit all or what about look and feel in a neighborhood? So, I think we're right on the critical point of this

and we shouldn't on the one hand be having bias in decisions that turn down housing, and on

the other hand there probably should be something about neighborhood gestalt to use a term.

We all know examples of what we could probably agree where outlier problems. I think it's in

Burlingame where the Flintstone houses along 280 or now it's called the dinosaur house

because now there's dinosaurs all over the yard as well. That might not be ideal for a Palo Alto

neighborhood. There are also very very strict guidelines dictated in Woodside the last time I

checked. There seems to be only two paint colors you can use. So, showing those is just sort of

20 the outer boundaries but working with this tension I think maybe we can make some progress.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

## Page 66

1 So, the idea of an Ad Hoc Committee with ARB I think would be really helpful to just kind of drill

2 down on some of these tension areas so I appreciate the comments thereof from my

3 colleagues.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

5 In general, I think the ARB comments were very helpful. I was really impressed with the

summary. Jean was also the report writer so thanks to Jean on that, but some of the things that

are there I think absolutely, we need to incorporate in that. Including transitions, design

principles, and so on, but the toughest one of all I think was that first one of overly prescriptive.

The time, character, I mean the history of a personal area or as Commissioner Riggs said the

Eichler neighborhood. We would never want to lose that. It's just a 100-years of history,

whatever it's been, so I think we ought to figure out a middle ground here without intentionally

trying to keep housing from being built.

13

14

15

16

17

18

12

Just let me check, I think I had one question. Yes, on Number Six Jean, I just wanted to make

sure I was understanding that. The legislative action that you're talking about here are ARB or

PTC or that's the legislature that you're talking about I think. And here and in your slide are you

saying that it might be time to go beyond the overlays and just not use those; such as the

Affordable Housing Overlay?

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Ms. Eisberg: It's just an idea but yes basically. If part of the goal in the Housing Work Plan and

2 its part of this SB2 grant is to try to facilitate affordable housing. These overlays provide that

opportunity but they go require discretionary action and so the PTC and Council's review. Even

though overlays are pretty clear about what the criteria are for who is eligible for such a

5 project.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

3

4

7 Commissioner Lauing: Well that... you know that two or three of us have had some experience

8 with, for example, the Affordable Housing Overlay and all three of us wanted to make that

particular project happen really quickly. And we got pretty bogged down in the legislative

process there, I think unnecessarily, and there was also some unnecessary friction that just got

in the way of the actual outcome which we finally got to but it took a long time. So, if there's a

way that we can get around that and recognize that one size doesn't fit all. That was kind of the

mantra that I was using at the time which was now 2-years ago because we have to be flexible.

Particularly when it comes to affordable housing if we can find anybody to build it. So, I'll stop

there, thanks.

16

Chair Templeton: Alright thank you and Commissioner Hechtman.

18

19

17

Commissioner Hechtman: Thank you Chair Templeton. I think that this effort is long overdue. I

think it's unfortunate that it took state action to push Palo Alto to take steps in this direction

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

but I want to acknowledge what we all know in that Palo Alto is not alone. Virtually every City

2 and unincorporated county is having a similar discussion because to some extent we're all

guilty of the same thing. So, I think this is a terrific start and I'm really impressed with the work

by Staff and it seems to me that we clearly chose a couple of highly qualified consultants in Jean

and Chris who bring a lot of the table. And I think it... that shows in the Staff Report and the

attachments, so I think we're... it's a really good beginning.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

3

4

5

6

8 I think that Subjective Standards are the hobgoblins of fuzzy planning. I think that they cause

delays and uncertainty and inefficiency and frustration and disappointment. And I'm not just

talking about for project applicants, I'm talking about neighbors too. Neighbors of those

projects and part of the reason is that because they're subjective. There's no clear guidance and

so there's no way to set expectations. You know, things like you must be compatible with the

neighborhood, subjective things like that. So, I think that this effort to translate what are

currently Subjective Standards into Objective Standards is great.

15

16

17

18

19

And I'm really... and I guess this will prove that I'm a planning nerd. I really enjoyed reading

Attachment A and seeing an example of converting Subjective Standards into Objective Criteria.

That really... I got it reading through that and I understand that's just an example, but I really

got it. And so, I appreciate that and I'm really looking forward to the work of the ARB

20 subcommittee here. I honestly think that they have the really heavy lifting here. We get to

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 perhaps do some kind of policy oversight but those people on that Committee [note – Board]

2 are going to have to figure out the actual numbers to plug in to make these Subjective

Standards objective. And I think, and a number of my fellow Commissioners have mentioned it,

4 part of that task is going to be for the ARB Members, subcommittee members, to think through

how do we have these Objective Standards but make them flexible enough to fit differently in

different neighborhoods. And I think that's a great challenge and to the extent that we,

members of the Commission, can provide support or feedback to the ARB should they need it,

I'm not sure they would need our un-architectural feedback on those things but I'd be happy to

provide it.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

5

6

7

8

9

I think... so the other general comment I'll have is a number of these Items One through Six

have to do with streamlining our code and I want to say I'm in a general sense very supportive

of that. I think that many Municipal Zoning Codes are labyrinths that have plenty of traps for

the unwary and to the extent that we can thoughtfully organize this so that it simplifies the

process, again, that helps to set expectations and will result in, for example, fewer incomplete

letters in that first submission. So, I think that that's terrific.

17

18

19

20

And the other I guess the other general comment I'll make is that I would... personally I am not

supportive of this concept of a... I think we called it a conservative approach to developing

these Objective Standards. Where they're so tight that virtually everything falls outside of

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 them. I think that's a kind of facadism in our code that we should avoid and that there should

2 be a reasonable realistic, path to the approval following Objective Standards that most projects

can meet and still provide diverse building types appropriate for their neighborhoods.

4

5

3

So again, great start, and I appreciate being part of this process.

6

8

9

10

11

12

7 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you for your comments, Commissioner Hechtman. I see Commissioner

Alcheck has his hand up. Before we go there, last call on general comments. Please put your

hands up if you have anymore. And then after we hear from Commissioner Alcheck I'll ask Jean

Eisberg to go through starting on Recommendation One and we'll see if anybody has comments

on each of those all the way through. Does that sound good? Ok, alright guys, thanks.

Commissioner Alcheck.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

<u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> So, Commissioner Hechtman's comments made me think of something

else that I think we might want to consider; which is that there are a number of former ARB and

Planning Commissioner Members in our community who are prolific architects in our

community and who have demonstrated interest in volunteering their time since they've been

on their previous positions. I'll give you an example, Dan Garber I think sat in on the CAC at

some point or maybe it was the thing before the CAC. I can't remember, the Comprehensive

Plan Committee, and I know Randy Pop I think at one time was a Chair of the ARB. And there's a

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

part of me that feels like really, we should be asking former ARB Members and local architects to participate in this process because I didn't find the comments from the ARB that helpful and illuminating; which is why at the start I suggested either we need to have a much more frank conversation with them to understand just how they work through some of the subjective. Or really actually, if I'm being honest, I think it would be highly valuable to talk to some architects who may have... who... I mean in the case of Dan Garber and Randy Pop. They were previous members of the PTC and the ARB respectively and they also are constantly working on projects in town. And there's a part of me that thinks that they might be the most... individuals like that would offer us real insight into... they might be more willing to demonstrate where the ARB can often get stuck, get... I don't know. I don't know the right... you know where there's not a lot of strong ground to stand on and it gets wish-washy.

And I think I would... I want to just echo Commissioner Hechtman's comments which is that I think the idea of getting overly prescriptive or saying we don't... if we're going to adopt this approach then let's say you can only use these two materials unless you decide to go through our ARB process. That's basically formalizing a Subjective Review. And I would hate for the end result of this process to be that we just covered ourselves legally as opposed to actually addressed what is clearly a problem in the review process all across the Bay Area or the state if you will. Which is that we are succumbing to subjective pressure that may not... that is standing in the way of objectively approvable projects. So, there's a... I don't know if this is something

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

that Mr. Sensenig is doing as an architect or with your background I'm guessing you have that

2 sort of knowledge. I don't so it is... that's basically why I'm thinking to myself like I'd love to tap

somebody who I know isn't worried about us taking control away from them. There's almost a

little bit... I'm a little concerned about asking the individuals who are going to lose power here

how and how we would we do that? And there's a part of me that wants to ask individuals who

may have less at stake in the changes to opine. I'm not sure if that's been done anywhere in the

State of California but I know we have a lot of local architects who might be interested. And I

just want to suggest that we consider that as well.

9

10

3

4

5

6

7

8

<u>Chair Templeton:</u> Great points, thank you Commissioner Alcheck. I see Commissioner Summa

11 has her hand raised.

12

14

15

16

13 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> Just really quickly in response to some of my colleague's comments. I

am actually, to be honest, not... though I asked the question that touched on a form-based

response. I'm not a fan of form-based zoning at all and wouldn't recommend it for us. I don't

think it's appropriate at all for the kind of City that Palo Alto is.

17

18

19

20

And actually, Dan Garber was Co-Chair of the Comp Plan group so he didn't just drop in once in

a while because I was on that too. And I actually served with Randy Pop on the Development

Center Customer Advisory Group for 8-years. So, I know all of them and they're great guys but I

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

think... I also really trust the ARB. I don't know if everybody got a chance to read the minutes or watch the video. They had a very thoughtful discussion on it and the ARB right now especially I think is incredibly responsible about not overstepping any of their bounds and not being overly prescriptive. I didn't get that and I don't know that the... I think it's important to remember that it's not just professionals in the field that are affected by developments in the City but also the residents that just use this City. I always like to say that architecture... this makes architects really happy, architecture is the most important art form and I say that having gone to art school because you make a choice to go into the museum but you have to walk by it. So, I think it's important to remember that there's people in the community other than the development community that has a real stake in this. And I don't know if we want to... it's a great idea to ask other architects but I don't know that we need to since all the ARB are professional working architects. And I don't think there's going to be any money or any taste right now for forming another Committee and slowing down this process that is really a process to adjust in response to state laws that are opposed upon us. So... although I love the idea of a joint subcommittee because not enough of us get to work with ARB I think. So, I think that was an excellent idea. Thank you.

17

18

19

20

<u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you for those points. Alright Jean are you ready to take a closer look at Points One through Six? So, if you want to speak to Point One which is probably one of our meatiest, please raise your hand now. And everybody is going to get no more than one bite at

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 the apple for each point just to keep our meeting running. Alright, Commissioner Hechtman,

2 you get the first stab.

3

4 <u>Commissioner Hechtman:</u> Well, I'm not... I guess I'm getting to go first makes me the guinea pig

5 here because I'm not sure exactly of the feedback you're looking for but I'm supportive of this

recommendation. I mean I think it's the... I'm looking at this as really the heart of what's being

brought to us right now and so I am in favor of it.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

6

7

And actually, on this item I want to pick up... I think this is the right place to pick up on something that Commissioner Riggs brought up and at least elevate it to a discussion among the Commissioners. And that is really this question of how far should we go at this time on this issue? The Staff Report and the recommendation I think are fairly focused on bringing us... basically reacting to state law. And so, creating more Objective Standards in those areas where state law is telling us that we're going to be limited... the Cities are going to be limited to looking at just those standards for those kinds of projects. But my question is should we be stopping there now or should we be looking at... more broadly at most of our developments; whether or not they are subject to the state law Objective Standards limitation that are here today? Because there could be different ones tomorrow and so it seems like there are a couple

of possibilities there. One is we bite that off now at the same time and look more broadly at

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

objectifying our Zoning Code. The other possibility, if there's any appetite for it at all, would be

2 to look at this as Phase 1, Phase 2. Excuse me.

3

5

6

4 Let's right now I'll get through the work of addressing the state law issues and then let's come

back with another round. My fear there is we might not do that in the foreseeable future. So

that's my comment on One. Thank you.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

8 Chair Templeton: Thank you Commissioner Hechtman. Just to clarify what I'm looking for is

Jean if you could go to the one where you had each recommendation on its own slide. So, the

little bit more detail in case there was anything that people wanted to discuss, clarify, adjust, or

opine on. Yes, that's exactly it. Exactly as Commissioner Hechtman did. That's what I was

looking for in case there was something that you thought needed to be tweaked as they

approached this because as Staff mentioned it will be going back to the ARB. So, if there's

anything that we wanted to say, oh that caught my attention, what if we tweaked that? So, it's

exactly what we're looking for so thank you. I don't see any other hands up for Number One.

Go ahead and raise your hand now if you wanted to speak to this item. Commissioner Alcheck,

17 thank you.

18

19

20

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah this is just a question. Is... there isn't... one of the

recommendations doesn't include under... reviewing the steps of our process. Do you think that

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

that falls under... do you think that the steps of our process are in some sense a Context Based

2 approach? I mean I don't know what... where that... maybe that's a different recommendation.

3 Maybe that's bonus recommendation seven but to what extent does the timeline of our

process play into creating opportunities for more subjective determinations? And I don't know

if that fits into this recommendation where we're reviewing our process and criteria or is that in

your mind a different recommendation?

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

5

6

8 Ms. Gerhardt: Maybe I can help to answer those questions just as far as Mr. Hechtman [note –

Commissioner Hechtman] and Mr. Alcheck [note - Commissioner Alcheck]. As far as looking at

the code more broadly, I think Staff would love to look at the entire code. And many times,

after a City updates their Comprehensive Plan then the next thing to do would be to update the

Zoning Code. I think given the current budget situation and things like that, that may not be the

most doable right this minute. Rachael, Assistant Director, can help with that. But so maybe the

Phase 1, Phase 2, if we just sort of had that direction in the back of our minds maybe that's

good. We can follow up when... with Phase 2 as we have time and funds. I definitely have been

working with Jean and Chris though to in those Design Criteria. There are commercial standards

as well. And this SB2 funding is really directed more towards residential streamlining but if we

can bring some of the commercial with us easy enough and streamline that section in a quick

fashion. We're going to try and do that as well. So that speaks to the more broad update.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

## Page 77

1 As far as our review process I think we've done things over the years as Jean was talking about

2 with Site and Design Review. That is no longer is necessary for housing projects. We had

tweaked our processes over the years. We weren't anticipating changing those right now but

we're definitely open to hearing that discussion.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3

4

6 Commissioner Alcheck: Ok so I'll just say... I'll just add for by way of example because I think it

7 may fall into this category which is maybe one way of creating stronger Objective Standards

would be to include time... more... shorter time periods of review. So that's a process or the

process of our review takes a certain amount of time. And maybe tightening up the schedule by

saying that the onerous is on Staff to get this scheduled sooner and quicker would reduce the...

to me there's something subjective about delaying a project by... when a local municipality puts

the breaks on their review or they allow it to take longer than it might otherwise. And that to

me is one of the issues that has come up frequently in our state. And so, I'm not sure where

that fits in but in the... to the extent that we're revising our Design Criteria, maybe the schedule

of our Design Review could also be revised in such a way that it provides clarity to applicants.

Here's how quickly you should get a response and failure to respond to you in that... because

that's basically some of the state laws are doing, right? They're saying here's the timeline and

you can't mess around with the timeline because that is... wouldn't... anyways. So, to the extent

that that alters the process ok, but maybe it's in an effort to create a more objective path.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Ms. Tanner: I would just add, I think that's a point especially in terms of the state law. And
- 2 someone can correct me if I'm wrong but I believe that part of SB35 also is indicating for those
- 3 projects to which it applies. They do have a certain number of hearings that can occur and so
- 4 even though the Objective Standards may be applying. There's still a process to go through so I
- 5 believe it's five public hearings before the project is approved. And so (interrupted)

- 7 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> It's not unheard of a project to go before a Planning Commission in our
- 8 state for example, get feedback, come back with nearly every feedback point addressed only to
- 9 have the reviewers on that Commission then provide almost 180-degree turnaround. These are
- the stories you hear that are the bad ones. Then they go back and suddenly it's... but we did...
- that's what we had the first time and you said you hated that. And so, to some extent having a
- process that limits the number of back... the... limits the opportunity for someone to keep wish
- washing in... on a subjective or a design opportunity. Anyways, ok, I'll sit out.

14

- 15 Ms. Tanner: Point well taken. We can take that to the ARB and also see what the other PTC
- 16 Commissioners think.

17

- 18 Ms. Gerhardt: And I do think the Objective Standards inherently will reduce those numbers of
- 19 rounds of review type thing.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Templeton: Alright, thank you. Commissioner Summa will be the last speaker on this item.

2

4

5

3 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> Just for a point of interest, SB35 already has a review process. It can

only be 90 or 180-days and so the state... and I think the City in some of its Housing Work Plan

has moved towards that.

6

8

9

7 But back to the point because I think I spoke to this before. I think, like most things, I think this

is the hardest thing to do that you're proposing and the devil will be in the details. So, I really

look forward to reading the... to understanding how you think... how you did this and how it

worked out. So that's all.

11

12

13

14

15

10

Chair Templeton: Alright thank you. Let's take a look at Number Two. Raise your hand if you'd

like to speak to Recommendation Number Two and we might even be able to bundle these all

together. Two, Three, and Four are very similar so if you'd like to speak to Two, Three, and

Four. Commissioner Hechtman.

16

18

19

17 Commissioner Hechtman: I wasn't intending to usually go first here but nonetheless. So, these

are more again organizational, Two, Three, and Four, as I'm reading them. Reorganizing our

code to make it more user friendly and more comprehensible and so I'm supportive of these

20 efforts.

\_

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

I'm not sure this is the right place to bring it up, maybe Number One was, but I think it's here in Number Two. And that is I wanted to echo a concern that Commissioner Summa mentioned when she first spoke because I had the same curiosity or wonder as I reviewed Attachment A. And that is when we adopt this revised code and we have these menus to satisfy the Objective Standards. Will the development community naturally gravitate toward the same option in each category so that we end up with the same building? I mean maybe Option A is just going to be routinely 30 percent less expensive than B, C, and D every time and so everyone's going to go for it. I'm worried about that too. And so, what I would ask is if that... I would imagine that the ARB Members are thinking about that also and to the extent that they are not, I would just like that mentioned to them. That there are voices on the Commission that would like them to look at that as a part of the work they're doing to try to avoid that trap where everybody is drawn to the same option. So that's it for... again supportive of Two, Three, and Four

Chair Templeton: Thank you very much. Commissioner Summa.

Recommendations. Thanks.

Commissioner Summa: Alright someone else can go ahead if they want to. I'm speaking a lot. I really approve of a new code section, Title 18.24, to get all of that in one place and I'll speak to Three and Four now also. That I think those are just improvements to the organization of the

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 code and don't have as much policy. And just to reiterate my concern about buildings all

2 looking the same. Yeah so thanks.

3

4 Chair Templeton: Thank you very much. I'm also supportive of Two, Three, and Four. I think

5 anything that improves the usability will also improve efficiency and compliance. So, I think

those are all great ideas. I appreciate you pulling those together and including that in part of

this.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

6

7

Just to chime in on the risk of having multiple buildings... multiple multi-family buildings with affordable housing all looking the same. We should be lucky to have the problem of buildings

11 numerous affordable housing units. So that's... yeah just to keep it in context you know and

understand that it's unlikely that we're going to have a lot of cookie-cutter homes here. So just

keep that in mind and be realistic about that. However, we don't want it. We don't want to

have any kind of repetitive design. We do have as someone mentioned, I think it was Chris,

mentioned we do have a lot of beautify architecture here and people have felt free to get

creative. And it has made our community beautify so hopefully we can find a way to

incorporate that even if we do put some of these Objective Standards in. However, I agree with

other Commissioners have said that that is probably ARB territory and less PTC territory.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Alright I don't see any other hands up for Two, Three, and Four so let's move to Five. Please
- 2 raise your hand if you have any comments on Five and I believe this one is about incorporating
- 3 graphics to illustrate standards. Any comments on that? Commissioner Hechtman.

- 5 <u>Commissioner Hechtman:</u> Sorry, I'm using my space bar because I'm just going to be short. A
- 6 picture tells a thousand words. I think more illustrations is better.

7

8 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Excellent. Thank you. Commissioner Alcheck.

9

- 10 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Yeah, I mean I just want to echo that I... I'm not speaking on each item
- because I'm generally supportive of all of the recommendations. I think Commissioner Summa
- said it well that the devil will be in the details.

- And then I'll just say on the graphics I think for the most part the architects involved in a lot of
- this development don't need them. But I do think they go very, very far to helping the public
- understand what the standards the architects are held too. And so, I think that the money
- 17 spent on graphics is money very well spent because if you have something easily digestible for
- 18 the residents who will be interested in understanding exactly how the project complies. Having
- that will save our Staff a lot of time so I think it's like money now versus a lot more money later.
- 20 So, I think that... I think there was a reference to the single-family design guide that I think

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 already exists. And I don't know that I've ever seen anything that... in other jurisdictions that

that particular guide is really well done. So, if there was something with respect to this type of

development I think that would be very well spent money.

4

5

3

Chair Templeton: Thank you for your comments. Commissioner Summa.

6

8

9

10

11

7 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> So, I'm kind of neutral about the graphics only because the

professionals don't need them. And I think that... I don't think they are a bad thing. I'm just... I

don't... I'm kind of neutral on them because professionals don't need them and I think that

interested residents or nearby business people will be looking at the specific plans that the

architects are composing. The applicants are proposing.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Chair Templeton: Thank you for your comments. I'm a little on the fence about this as well. I do

think that potentially at the start including them as examples could be helpful to the public but

we don't want to accidentally go down the path of being too prescriptive. And if they somehow

become interrupted as suggestions rather than examples, we could run that risk. So, if we do

have the option to show here's an example of a building that passed through our screen

rather... that might be a better type of illustration because it would be more obvious that that's

not a prescription but an example. So just think about that fine line there and I think to our

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 earlier point about cookie-cutter design. That may help reduce the risk of having designs that

are too similar [unintelligible – audio interference].

3

2

4 Ok let's move onto Number Six. Raise your hand if you'd like to speak to Recommendation

5 Number Six. And then after we go through this we will have one last chance for any questions

that have not been answered and then we will probably close this item. Alright, Commissioner

Riggs followed by Commissioner Summa.

8

10

11

12

13

14

6

7

9 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> No, no I meant I actually my question... my comment is actually more on

Number Five which is I guess I just want to... I want to distinctly disagree with you and

Commissioner Summa in that I actually think graphical standards are extremely effective at

articulating the intent of the Zoning Code. So, I actually... I actually think Recommendation Five

is extremely important and so I would be very disappointed if these were... this was omitted

from what was submitted by the consultant.

15

16

17

Chair Templeton: Thank you for chiming in on that. I think it's definitely important to hear the

different perspectives here so thank you very much. Commissioner Summa.

18

19

Commissioner Summa: Ok so this is on Six. I'm just very... also much very much in favor of

20 including the public in the process and we've been... we've kind of already been towards a path

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

of limiting that. So, I would not be so excited about this with probably the exception of the

2 affordable housing but that really... that housing overlay has a lot of fast-tracking in it already

so. And the PTOD, I don't know maybe it will be used more in the future but it's been around

for a long time. And there's only two buildings that were every... applications that ever used it

so I'm not sure how important that is.

6

3

4

5

7 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you. Any other comments on Recommendation Number Six?

8 Commissioner Hechtman.

9

10 Commissioner Hechtman: Thank you Chair. So, I think... was Number Six one of the ones that

Staff was particularly seeking PTC feedback on?

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

11

13 Ms. Tanner: Yes, Commissioner Hechtman, it is. Oh whoa, that's was weird. It is in part because

to the question of where the PTC's roll fit? This is one of the actions that the PTC would take in

terms of the legislative action rezoning to say yes, you can use the Work Force Housing Overlay.

And so perhaps Commission Lauing's earlier point, if that was not a process that projects had to

go through and get agendize here and then at Council etc. They just knew it met the criteria and

I can have Work Force Housing Overlay. That might incise more folks to use it, and perhaps

result in the types of housing that are suggested by each of these overlays.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 <u>Commissioner Hechtman:</u> Alright so since Staff is asking... specifically asking us for feedback I

want to try to provide some, but I guess I'm feeling a little dense on this topic right now. And

I'm just feeling like I'm not entirely understanding it. So, are we talking here about taking

something that today would require legislative action, which would be I guess a PTC

recommendation and a Council decision, and coming up with a set of criteria that if those

criteria are met then that project does not come to the PTC for recommendation and does not

go to the Council for a decision? Am I understanding, that right?

8

10

11

12

13

3

4

5

6

7

9 Ms. Tanner: Yes, that is correct and there may be... just to not to try to confuse it but I know

that Jean had a slide showing the different scenarios of what... when does a project go to which

review body? So, I imagine there could be still aspects of that project that may need PTC or

Council approval but it wouldn't be the Work Force Housing Overlay, for example, that is what

would need the approval.

14

15

16

17

18

19

Commissioner Hechtman: So, I'll be very curious to hear what the Council thinks about losing

projects that might otherwise come before it. I think this is something that we should explore

further. I don't think it should die here tonight. Are there... and maybe they're on the screen in

front of me and I'm just not understanding them. Are there particular examples that Staff is...

has in mind of, this would be a good kind of project that maybe if we could have Objective

20 Standards it doesn't have to go through PTC and Council?

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 Ms. Tanner: Jodie are there projects that you've reviewed with these overlays or other projects

3 that might illuminate kind of what we're describing for Commissioner Hechtman?

4

6

7

8

9

5 Ms. Gerhardt: So, I think we've... these two overlays, so the Work Force Housing was created

for the project that's at I want to say 2755 El Camino which is the old VTA lot. So that has Work

Force Housing as part of it. This overlay was created for that project, but the... we didn't have

the code in effect yet. So, it did have to go through that legislative process which did take a

little bit more time. So that's an example of the Work Force Housing.

10

11 For the Affordable Housing project, a little bit more south on El Camino, 3705 El Camino off of...

corner of Wilton Court, is our 100 percent affordable housing project that we just did. And

that's where this overlay comes into play and how it started.

14

15

12

13

Ms. Tanner: So that maybe gives you a little color... oh go ahead Amy if you have another

16 project or sample, that'd be great.

17

18

19

Ms. Amy French, Chief Planning Official: Just I was going to address the PTOD. I mean that's

very long [unintelligible]. That's way back in 2005 I think that that was born, before these other

20 ones, and it's just an example of where you had to go and rezone each site to put the PTOD.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Even though it was within an area that we said PTOD makes sense. So that was definitely an
- 2 impediment to anybody coming forward and saying well yeah, I want to go through this long
- 3 rezoning process to put more housing, and then how many housing units did we get? Four units
- 4 here, eight units there. It wasn't a lot but that PTOD, the way it's written and the way it's
- 5 processed, could be changed up and it could be applicable to University. Our biggest station so
- 6 just an example.

- 8 Ms. Tanner: I think the last thing I would say is that these actions... so let's say we did say ok
- 9 Work Force Housing, here's the criteria, your project meets it. That project could be not using
- 10 Objective Standards so it could still need Design Review and other things related to it. It may
- 11 need a Variance or other types of discretionary permissions which may bring it in front of
- various approval bodies. So, it really is just saying if we have criteria for these specific overlays,
- can a project know that I will have that overlay? I will have that... those benefits that come
- along with it versus needing to ask for it and possibly be denied even if I meet these criteria.

15

16 Commissioner Hechtman: Alright so thank you (interrupted)

- 18 Ms. Gerhardt: And we have seen that interest with the last one on the table here, the Housing
- 19 Incentive Program, where that is more of a guaranteed FAR. And so, we have one project

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 coming through the system, we have a second project proposed on San Antonio and so we'll

2 see where those go.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

4 <u>Commissioner Hechtman:</u> Alright, that was very helpful. I appreciate all of Staff's additional

explanation. So, I think I would be supportive of moving forward in this direction and I guess

where I'm coming from is that Council will ultimately get to approve the overlay which would

thereafter allow faster access. So, they will be the... in this rethinking into Objective Criteria

they would essentially be the gatekeeper and they will get to decide upfront what are the

criteria that will allow people to pass through without coming back to see us. And so, I think

that will give the Council sufficient control that hopefully, they could be comfortable with it.

And so, I would encourage Staff to move forward with Recommendation Number Six.

12

11

13 Chair Templeton: Alright thank you Commissioner Hechtman. Vice-Chair Roohparvar.

14

15

16

17

<u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> I was having the same lack of understanding as Commissioner

Hechtman so thank you for the clarification. Are the current standards fairly non-controversial?

Is that why we're thinking with these... you know the ones that you called out that would be

easy to put together Objective Standards? Does that make sense?

19

18

20 Ms. Tanner: Yeah, I would hesitate to say anything is not controversial.

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 <u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> No, I didn't (interrupted)

3

- 4 Ms. Tanner: That many items have constituencies but I think your point is taken of how easy or
- 5 simple might it be to create the standards. Are they already imbedded in the overlays?

6

7 <u>Vice-Chair Roohparvar:</u> Yeah exactly.

8

- 9 Ms. Tanner: What are you... do you want to address that? Where they are now and how that
- 10 body of work might look for becoming Objective Criteria or Jean if you'd like to address it?

11

- 12 Ms. Gerhardt: I think just these overlays... I was trying to pull up the code quickly here but most
- of these are fairly limited about where they can be applied. And so, the Council could decide if
- 14 they want to limit it further but they do allow... most of them allow more FAR and less parking
- and things of that nature. That's where some of the controversy comes in, but we were able to
- approve them the first time around because we limited the locations.

17

- 18 Ms. Tanner: And those geographical locations could be maintained if that was what was put
- 19 forward.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Vice-Chair Roohparvar: Thank you.

2

4

5

6

3 Chair Templeton: Alright. I'm also supportive of Recommendation Number Six. So alright last

call if you have any other comments. General, whatever, raise your hand. Alright and Staff are

you feeling like you've got what you needed out of this discussion or was there anything

specific that you were looking for that we may have left out?

7

8 Ms. Tanner: I'll say it's been really helpful. Jodie, I see Jodie nodding so I think she's got what

9 she needs to be able to move forward. I really thank you guys for tackling this.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

One thing I did want to comment on, Albert feel free to jump in if you need too, in regards to if

we wanted to establish a joint subcommittee on this topic. We would need to take that

recommendation to the City Council to have them establish a formal joint ARB and HRB [note -

PTC] subcommittee which would then be a Brown Act group. But we could also ensure that we

could just provide informational updates at these meetings to provide more insight. I don't

know if HRB Members [note- ARB Members?] could interview the PTC Members or something

as part of their outreach, but there may be other ways to gain participation if there is either not

will to have a subcommittee or if that just seems procedurally challenging. Albert, I don't know

if you want to put anymore color on the restrictions or the process to have a subcommittee?

20

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers. 2.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Yang: No, I think you covered it. Thanks, Rachael.
2	
3	Ms. Tanner: Ok.
4	
5	Chair Templeton: I think being able to reach out to people that have expressed interest in
6	answering questions or being available should the ARB subcommittee want to would be fine.
7	So, if there's any Commissioner who would like to make themselves available then we don't
8	have to do a subcommittee. We could be willing to chime in and if you're not ready tonight or
9	need to think about it. You could of course email Rachael and myself and let us know.
10	Commissioner Summa.
11	
12	Commissioner Summa: Oh, I was just going to say alternatively a joint subcommittee sounds
13	like a lot of work for Staff right now. Alternatively, we could have a joint study session which
14	might be a much less laborious thing for Staff.
15	
16	Ms. Tanner: I think that's a great suggestion.
17	
18	Commissioner Riggs: I think that's a great idea.
19	
20	Ms. Tanner: Yeah, yeah, I see Jodie (interrupted)

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	<u>Chair Templeton:</u> That'd be fun.
3	
4	Ms. Tanner: Yeah.
5	
6	Chair Templeton: Excellent. Thank you for suggesting that. Alright last call, any more thoughts,
7	contributions, etc.? Alright so we will end the discussion of Agenda Item Number Two.
8 9 10 11	Action Items  Public Comment is Permitted. Applicants/Appellant Teams: Fifteen (15) minutes, plus three (3) minutes rebuttal.  All others: Five (5) minutes per speaker.1,3
12	None.
13 14 15	Approval of Minutes Public Comment is Permitted. Five (5) minutes per speaker. <sup>1,3</sup>
16	<u>Chair Templeton:</u> Alright there's no minutes to approve I believe, is that right?
17	
18	Mr. Vinh Nguyen, Admin Associate III: That's correct, we have no minutes for this meeting.

20 Chair Templeton: Ok.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

## 1 Committee Items

- 2 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> So, then Committee items. Any updates? Raise your hand if you have any
- 3 updates from... ok and we heard earlier that the NVCAP will be meeting later this month on
- 4 Zoom. So, it might be an interesting opportunity to listen in if you are available.

5

## 6 Commissioner Questions, Comments or Announcements

- 7 Chair Templeton: Alright Commissioner questions, comments, announcements, and future
- 8 agenda items. Commissioner Summa.

9

- 10 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> Sorry I must be lonely. I'm so chatty tonight. I just wanted to thank Vinh
- for the great job he's doing organizing all the Zoom meetings. He also does the ARB I noticed as
- 12 I've watched ARB Thursday and it's just been going really smoothly. He's been doing a great job,
- even when idiots like me can't find my invitation at the last minute. So, thank you Vinh.

14

15 Mr. Vinh Nguyen, Admin Associate III: My pleasure.

16

17 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Yes, thank you Vinh.

18

19 Ms. Rachael Tanner, Assistant Director of Planning: Just as far as updates (interrupted)

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Templeton: Alright any comments on future agenda items from Staff?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

3 Ms. Tanner: So next week or not next week, in 2-weeks on the 27<sup>th</sup> which is our next meeting,

we're going to be having the Parking Ordinance with the electrical vehicle charging return as

well as the ADU Ordinance. So those will be two big topics and then as you heard the study

session item will be the Planning and Transportation update regarding parking. So, I know it's

three items that are pretty meaty but one, you're at least a little bit familiar with the EVSE and

ADU Ordinance. While it's perhaps new, it's not the very, very first time that we're tackling

ADUs but I think we've done a lot of good streamlining there. And so hopefully you'll find it

easy to read and that will be something that we look forward too seeing. So, it should be a

really good meeting, and thank you guys in advance for your participation in this virtual setting.

12

13 <u>Chair Templeton:</u> Thank you and just to mention in case there were any planned absences. You

can speak to those now as well for the upcoming meetings. I know we're about to head into

summer and everybody's got all those canceled vacation plans so.

16

14

15

Commissioner Alcheck: A big trip planned, I'm going to be gone a long time.

18

19

20

17

<u>Chair Templeton:</u> Sorry, I mean it's sometimes you just got to laugh or you're going to cry. So

alright then this meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much everybody for all your patience

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

## Page 96

- and flexibility on dialing in from Zoom. You've made it through another one so thank you so
- 2 much. Alright good night everybody.

3

- 4 Ms. Tanner: Bye.
- 5 Adjournment
- 6 8:40 pm

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.