PARKS & RECREATION COMMISSION
SPECIAL MEETING
June 4, 2020
Virtual Meeting
Palo Alto, California

Commissioners Present: Anne Cribbs, Jeff Greenfield, Jeff LaMere, Ryan McCauley, David Moss, and Keith Reckdahl

Commissioners Absent: Jackie Olson

Others Present: Council Member Lydia Kou

Staff Present: Kristen O'Kane, Lam Do, Catherine Bourquin

I. ROLL CALL

II. AGENDA CHANGES, REQUESTS, DELETIONS

Chair Greenfield: Now, the next item on the agenda is changes, requests, deletions. Does anyone have anything they’d like to request regarding the agenda tonight? Okay, then we’ll move on to Oral Communications.

III. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Chair Greenfield: I do not see any attendees present at the moment. If there is anyone who would like to speak on an item that’s not on our agenda, please login and raise your hand. I don't see anyone so, Lam, can you please proceed with the Department Report?

IV. DEPARTMENT REPORT

Lam Do: Thank you, Chair Greenfield. If I could start here, what we have are updates. I would like to start in our open space area. The Baylands tide gate structure replacement project is managed by the Water District. They have a public outreach meeting on June 24th at 5:30. This is a virtual Zoom meeting. From the public outreach, they will continue managing the project. Within the City to address the heat and our recent weather, the City has established cooling centers, and the cooling centers will open depending on need. The first cooling center that opened was the one at Mitchell Park Community Center. It will be serving our community with CDC guidelines in place to maintain social distancing and
safety, and the requirement of wearing a face covering is implemented as well. We also have a secondary cooling center should it be needed. That would be the Art Center auditorium. For information on cooling center hours, when they are open, when they are closed, of course it depends on the heat, we ask people to go to the City’s website, cityofpaloalto.org/coolingcenters for operation hours, safety tips and general tips and resources on how to stay cool at home. The next item is the City of Palo Alto issued a curfew order, which started on June 2nd, and it was planned to go through the morning of Thursday, June 11th. The curfew is set from 8:30 to 5:00 a.m. However, the curfew was terminated as of 5:00 a.m. this morning, June 4th. During the time of the curfew, the curfew restricted that no person shall be on the public street, road or sidewalks, alleys and plazas, and rights-of-way. This also included the Palo Alto open space preserves. The termination of the order was established by the City Manager as mentioned this morning. The City Council's meeting this past Monday did have a community message of hope, and this message was shared by our City Manager, Ed Shikada, our Police Chief, Robert Jonsen, and Reverend Kaloma Smith and Pastor Paul Baines as well. This community of hope message was a message calling for inclusion. Anyone interested in that, we ask that you go to the City’s webpage in the news section. Currently, our Planning and Community Environment Department is working on a safe parking program with the County, and the safe parking program they’d like to implement is at the temporary fire station adjacent to the Baylands Athletic Center on Geng Road. This is a safe parking program for the unhoused. Although this area is adjacent to the Baylands Athletic Center, it is not on parkland. It is separated from the athletic center with fencing. The way the program looks to be planned out—of course it's still in the works—is that the City would lease the land to the County for the County to operate the safe parking program. The County would then hire a contractor to manage the program. The City would not be expected to be involved in the program, nor would the City be expected to fund the program. Our participation would be just leasing the land to the County. Our understanding is that this program could start within a month; however, logistically it may take a little bit longer to plan out. It may take two or three months to plan it out. How long the safe parking program will be in place is to be determined at this time. The number of vehicles that will be allowed to park there is estimated to be between 15 and 25. Vehicles are allowed to stay all day, 24/7, and with in-and-out privileges. Participants who want to enroll in the program will be screened by the County. This site, where the old fire station is, was chosen over the Los Altos Treatment Plant site due to the Los Altos Treatment Plant site having limited access to resources such as water and power. This program is through the Planning Department, and they do not at present plan on bringing this to the Parks and Rec Commission nor do they plan on conducting public outreach. Correction, they do plan on conducting public outreach through the Parks and Rec Commission. One of our art structures at the Art Center along Embarcadero Road, which is the Patrick Dougherty sculpture called Whiplash—this is the willow piece—has weakened, and part of it has come down. As a result, this structure has been determined to come to the end of its life. We previously thought it could last a little longer. However, due to part of it falling down, we are making
plans to remove it. Removal of the structure is scheduled to begin next week. Later on, we'll have an update for you in regards to the Cubberley plan, and I believe Kristen will be updating us on that. Next, I'd like to move into review of COVID-19 impacts to our programs here. We've had some …

Chair Greenfield: Excuse me, Lam. I think we're going to address the COVID-19 impacts as part of the business item discussions. If you could hold off on that and any budget impacts, that would be great.

Mr. Do: I will do that.

Chair Greenfield: Is there anything you would like to add or we can have Kristen give us an update on Cubberley.

Mr. Do: My updates will be COVID-19, summer camps, and CIPs and budget reductions. I can hold those as well.

Chair Greenfield: Why don't you go ahead with summer camps and CIP if that's not tied directly into the budget reductions. If you think it's better to talk about it with the budget, you can talk about that in the other item as well.

Mr. Do: I'll hold the CIPs for the budget discussion. At this time, I'll update on summer camps, if I may.

Chair Greenfield: Please.

Mr. Do: Thank you. This summer the Community Services Department will be offering a mixture of in-person camps and virtual camps. Our season will start June 15th. We will be offering onsite, in-person summer camps. They are all modified to adhere to the County guidelines. These guidelines will restrict the campers to a limit of 12 campers per camp. Those camps, however, will need to run for three weeks per the County guidelines as one stable group of participants and instructors. We are offering camps in a variety of disciplines ranging from traditional recreation camps to sports camps to science and technology camps. We also have specialty camps with the Art Center, with Children's Theatre, and Junior Museum and Zoo. Our website is up and running for registration at this time. In regards to safety guidelines, we're following the County guidelines. We will have daily wellness checks for our participants and our staff. We'll have social distancing in place. We'll have hand-washing procedures in place as well. As mentioned earlier, the camps will need to run for three weeks. At our Rinconada Pool, we will be offering Camp Rinconada as well, and this is through our service provider, Palo Alto Swim. In addition to the summer swim camp, the pool will be open to the public this Saturday, June 6th. The opening is just for lap swim. Those are the in-person programs we have. We will also be offering virtual classes and camps. Our virtual classes and camps range from art classes...
for adults, and we will also have camps for youth and teens. In particular, we do have a combined youth and teen program. It's a virtual camp where teens will collaborate with the adults' ceramics program. In addition to that, our Teen Art Council and Teen Services will resume. They have tentatively scheduled an open mic at the Art Center starting tomorrow night, June 5th. In addition to the recreation camps and the arts camps, we've expanded the use of our sports athletics fields as well. Now, we have field use that expands beyond one household. We now permit activities for up to two households both on our athletic fields and our courts, including tennis courts and pickleball courts. We will be offering summer sports camps as well. That's my update on the camp programs this summer.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you. Maybe we could see if any Commissioners have any questions for you before we move on to Kristen. Any Commissioners have any questions for Lam?

Commissioner Moss: Did you say that there's a limit to how many participants for the onsite ones so that you can maintain social distancing?

Mr. Do: Yes. The limit is not necessary for social distancing. It's all inclusive of guidelines enacted by the County. It's limited to 12 participants not including instructors.

Commissioner Moss: The June 24th meeting that you mentioned at the beginning, what was that for?

Mr. Do: The tide gate in the Baylands Preserve needs replacement. It is a multiyear project that is managed by the Valley Water District.

Commissioner Moss: If we want to get to that, Catherine will send us an update?

Mr. Do: Yes, we will do that.

Commissioner Moss: Do you want to mention that you opened the tennis courts and the pickleball courts a couple of weeks ago or a week ago?

Mr. Do: Around the first week of May, the County order was updated to allow the court use by single households. The City subsequently opened it up. Starting tomorrow, we will open the courts to up to two household use.

Chair Greenfield: Anyone else?

Vice Chair Cribbs: I would like to compliment staff on this quick pivot and response to the new regulations from the County. When Lam was going through the list of programs for kids and adults, it's amazing you have been able to do this in such a short time. I would
commend you, and I'm sure my fellow Commissioners feel that way to. Job well done. It'll be really interesting to see how it all pans out. Thank you.

Mr. Do: Thank you on behalf of our recreation program and Art Center program coordinators and our administrative staff, who have all been a part of this.

Commissioner LaMere: Lam, I appreciate the update, and I appreciate everything staff has been able to do during these times, thinking of different solutions, and especially being able to get recreation opportunities and camps going again. I know it's very important, especially for people needing to go back to work, essential workers and so forth. Thank you very much.

Chair Greenfield: As far as the number of camps and effectively seats at camps, how does the offering this year compare to last year? I know there are complexities with requiring the in-person camps to be three weeks long with 12 people. That's going to limit who's going to want to sign up for camps and limit what the offerings can be. It may be difficult to answer that considering the in-person camps with the virtual classes.

Mr. Do: I probably could not, other than to say the capacity restriction, there's also a restriction of staffing being in the same camp. There's also the restriction that a participant could not cross camps concurrently. Before we could offer someone to participate perhaps in a half-day camp, in the morning it could be a recreation or arts camp or a theatre camp, and then in the afternoon they could participate in another camp or a sports camp. With the guidelines, we are not able to offer that. That drastically reduces the capacity and add to that the cohorts remain together for three weeks. I don't have a better description if what you are looking for is capacity-wise overall. Unfortunately, I don't have a comparison for that.

Chair Greenfield: I certainly echo the rest of the Commission's comments that we appreciate how quickly staff has been able to turnaround on this. It know it's very difficult. Taking a step back, I want to thank staff for all of the efforts they've made over the past few months. It's been a tremendous workload on staff. You've done it in a very thoughtful manner as is always the case.

Commissioner Reckdahl: The pickleball courts, how much autonomy do we have in the decision and how many of these decisions are being made by the County and us being told what to do?

Mr. Do: Thank you for the question. The City overall has been following the guidelines of the County. As the County eases restrictions, we've been working quickly to implement them. Some of the restrictions took us a few days after the County greenlighted to prepare and implement. Some of them have taken us up to a week to implement. The overall guides that we follow to implement are the County guidelines.
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Commissioner Reckdahl: For example, the one household versus two households, that was specifically required by the County?

Mr. Do: That's correct.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Lam, you said the pool is open for lap swimming. I'm delighted to hear that. Will the residents or people coming to the pool be making a reservation in advance for the lane?

Mr. Do: I'm not aware of an advance reservation. I can follow up and respond to you separately if you'd like.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Thank you.

Kristen O'Kane: I have an answer to that, Vice Chair Cribbs. Palo Alto Swim and Sport has a very specific protocol that will include how people enter the facility. They will reserve a lane. They get so much time in that lane. When time is called, they get out of the lane and exit the pool area. The next group comes in. It's much more detailed than that, but that's the summary.

Vice Chair Cribbs: That's excellent. I'm really happy to hear that. That's a very good protocol.

Commissioner Moss: Will they do a health check too?

Ms. O'Kane: No, they won't do a health check. That's something we've talked about within the City. We do that for our essential employees when they come to work. There will be signage that says if you're feeling ill or have these symptoms, please do not use the pool. A lot of people can test positive for the virus and not have any symptoms so doing something like temperature checks isn't necessarily a valid way to determine if someone has tested positive or is carrying the virus.

Chair Greenfield: Regarding the open mic at the Art Center, is that a virtual event or an in-person event?

Mr. Do: Let me see if I can get that.

Ms. O'Kane: It's virtual.

Commissioner Moss: You should mention for the record that Foothills and Arastradero were opened again to cars, I think, a week ago, two weeks ago.

Chair Greenfield: Last weekend was the first weekend.
Commissioner Moss: How did that go?

Mr. Do: I can update now, Chair Greenfield, or would you like me to hold that for COVID-19 impact updates?

Chair Greenfield: We can wait on that. Regarding the new guidelines for two households in ball sports, whether it's field sports or tennis and pickleball, how is that being communicated? Is that going out through the daily Palo Alto update? Are we reaching out to Monica and the pickleball community? How about the soccer clubs? I guess this would officially allow a coaching clinic between a soccer coach and a solo player or siblings.

Mr. Do: The City is communicating this information via the daily update. I know for sure it was in today's announcement. I'm not sure whether it was in yesterday's announcement. Our social media channels are also being used to communicate this information.

Chair Greenfield: This change will certainly make a lot of people happy in the manners that they're trying to get out and exercise and have some outdoor recreation opportunities. It opens up a lot more possibilities. If there are no more questions, we can move on to Kristen's update on Cubberley.

Ms. O'Kane: Did Commissioner Reckdahl have a question or no?

Commissioner Reckdahl: I put my hand down.

Ms. O'Kane: Good evening, Commissioners and Council Member Kou. Kristen O'Kane, Community Services Department. It's nice to see all of you. It's been way too long. Chair Greenfield had asked me to provide an update on the Cubberley Community Center and where we are with our—I'm assuming you are specifically referring to our lease with the School District. You probably are all aware that the lease between the School District and the City is likely changing quite significantly, and the Board of Education has already approved a revised lease agreement. This lease limits the amount of space that the City will have access to. The City will continue to lease the athletic fields, the gyms, the pavilion, and the theater. We will temporarily be leasing the auditorium because that's the space where the Junior Museum and Zoo currently resides, until they move into their new space. There's also an additional space, which is in the S building, and that is the Children's Preschool Center. The reason that's included is because half of that organization is on City property, and the other half is on School District property. The easiest thing to do in this short timeframe was just to include that into the City's lease. We are bringing this to City Council on June 15th. If it is approved—regardless if it's approved or not, we've already issued notices to all the tenants who the City had leases with on the School District property as well as all of the renters who have rental permits on the School District property. We've advised them that they will not have space as of July 1st on the School District property. This impacted initially we thought ten long-term tenants, but we've now, due to keeping
the Children's Preschool Center and another group that uses a room attached to the pavilion, we've reduced it down to seven tenants who will be impacted. I have been working with Cubberley staff to speak with those tenants. We're speaking with them nearly daily. We're meeting them out at Cubberley and showing them potential locations where they could move or trying to connect them with other community organizations that might have space for them. As of today we have been able to offer all of those tenants or to show all of those tenants an alternate space, and we're working with them to see if it is a space that they want and if that will work for them and the logistics behind that. For the renters, we're in a similar situation. We are having conversations with the School District, and they are now contemplating that it might make sense for them to allow some of those renters to continue renting on the School District property. Nothing is final, and nothing is confirmed. They're just having those conversations with those renters, which is very positive news. I am personally very grateful that they're taking that step because we just don't have enough space on the City's 8 acres to accommodate everybody. We're doing our best. I want to say how much I appreciate how all of the tenants and the renters have—this was such a short timeframe for them. It came as a shock, and they have all been amazing to work with and gracious and kind to us. I really appreciate that. We're doing our best to get everyone situated so that they can get their programs up and running real soon. Any questions for me or any additional information you'd like?

Chair Greenfield: Thank you, Kristen. I appreciate the—I know you've been working very hard on this, and I know it's been a very consuming effort. It's not a situation any of us wanted to be in. I think you've handled it with a lot of class for the City. We're all appreciative of that. On behalf of the Commission, we're here and interested to help in any way we can with this effort. Does anyone have any specific questions for Kristen?

Commissioner Moss: I'm amazed that you were able to in such a short time try to react and come up with a different situation. How many of the existing City tenants had extra space that they were willing to give up so that you could move some of these people over? They must all have been impacted, right?

Ms. O'Kane: The only organization that we—when I communicated with all of the Cubberley tenants, I said, "If you're on the City side, this doesn't really impact you. Although, if you do have additional space and you feel like you could either share it or consolidate some of your space to make room for a tenant who would otherwise be displaced, we would really appreciate that." Most organizations are feeling like they either need the space they have or they need more space because they want to try and do the same programming they had but spread people out so there isn't as much close contact. The organization that has been really working with us is the Friends of the Palo Alto Library. They have quite a bit of space at Cubberley, and they do a lot for the Palo Alto Libraries and provide a lot of funding for Palo Alto Libraries. They need that space for their
donations, but they've been working with us to hopefully consolidate some of their space and free up a little bit of it so that another tenant could relocate.

Commissioner Moss: I think they have two trailers, and they might be able to give up one, but I'm not sure. Are there any plans to bring portables onto the City side, that parking lot on the north side?

Ms. O'Kane: It's something that we've—as we were looking at every possible option, that might be the viable solution. That was definitely one that we looked at. It's something we still might want to look into in the future. For the short term, we're trying to get everyone situated. As things become available or we have other options, we can still move people to something that suits them better. For right now, that coordination we haven't moved on.

Commissioner Moss: When does the JMZ expect to be moving their animals to the new site?

Ms. O'Kane: They plan to open the new facility to the public in March. That means they need to have the animals there and quarantined and staff being trained on how to feed and interact with the animals about 6 months in advance. They will be starting to move over in the fall, but they likely will be staying to some degree at Cubberley until the end of the calendar year.

Commissioner Reckdahl: I understand the City's in a really tough position here. This seems like a draconian measure. There are such huge community impacts, I think I would search other places to save the money. I don't know how much you're saving by cutting this lease, but it doesn't seem to be worth the disruption that you're causing the community.

Ms. O'Kane: I understand where you're coming from, and thank you for raising that. This really has been collaborative discussions between the School District and the City. I don't want to speak for the School District, but they will be utilizing the space at Cubberley. My understanding is they need additional space to allow students and staff to be at the greater distance apart. This did not happen lightly by any means. There were a lot of conversations between the School District and the staff. This was really a collaborative partnership to resolve several issues related to funding but also just the School District needing space as well.

Commissioner Reckdahl: My impression was this was driven by the City. Are you saying that's not necessarily the case?

Ms. O'Kane: It was developed through conversations between the City and the School District.

Chair Greenfield: Any other questions?
Commissioner Moss: Doesn't the City need to do the same thing that the School District is doing and expand their work spaces too?

Ms. O'Kane: We're in the process of developing what we call recovery plans for returning to work. Each department is in the process of preparing those. It's still something that's in progress right now. We're working with our Emergency Operations Center and facilities and the City Manager's Office to finalize those and try to understand what we need to be safe and return to work or maybe in some cases not return to the office for a while for those that can continue to successfully work remotely.

Chair Greenfield: The tennis courts, are those part of City property or are they considered part of fields on the school property? What's the status with them?

Ms. O'Kane: The tennis courts are on City property already. The outside edge of the tennis court is where the City's property ends.

Chair Greenfield: Now we'll move on to the next item, which is essentially going to be a continuation of the Department Report. Thank you for working in this perhaps awkward skewed manner. The reason I asked that the—I'm sorry. We're going to go to the approval of the draft minutes first, and then we'll continue with the staff report on COVID-19 shelter-in-place impacts and financial impacts.

V. BUSINESS

1. Approval of Draft Minutes from the February 25, 2020 Parks and Recreation Commission Meeting

Approval of the draft February 25, 2020 Minutes was moved by Commissioner Reckdahl and seconded by Commissioner Moss. Passed 5-0 McCauley, Olson absent

2. Review of COVID-19 Impacts and Financial Impacts on Community Services Department

Chair Greenfield: The next item on the agenda is the Review of the COVID-19 Impacts and the Financial Impacts on the community. Before we move onto that, Council Member Kou, I want to confirm your audio is working. Is there anything you'd like to add?

Council Member Kou: The audio is working. Thank you so much for checking in with me. I'm sorry I was a little bit tardy over there. Nothing to add. Thank you so much for the updates. Nice to see all of you.

Chair Greenfield: And you as well. We'll move on to the continuation of the Department Report. Just to let you know the reason I'd asked that this be structured in this manner is so that the agenda would be a little bit more transparent in terms of what we're discussing.
If it just said Department Report, I didn't feel like it would be as clear. I thought it was worth making it a little bit more identifiable in this recap and restart meeting that we're reviewing where we are and considering how to move forward. If you'd like to continue on this item, please Lam.

Mr. Do: Thank you, Chair Greenfield. I apologize for not identifying myself earlier. Lam Do, Superintendent in Open Space, Parks and Golf. Today, I'm standing in for our Community Services Assistant Director Daren Anderson. To continue the Department Report, we have the impact of COVID-19 to our programs. Let me start with the impact in our open space areas. The additional visitation during shelter in place to all of our open space preserves, we needed to ensure that social distancing was in place. As a result, we along with multiple other open space jurisdictions throughout the region closed our parking lots. We still kept the preserves open to those that could either walk, hike, or bike in. We slowly phased reopening of the preserves as mentioned earlier. With our closures, we also closed amenities in the facilities as well. When we closed down the parking lots, we also closed down the park benches, water fountains, and we also closed our restrooms. These were all inline with County health orders or interpretations of the County health orders to ensure public safety. As we learned more and as the County advised us more, we too slowly reopened our facilities in the open space areas. We started with reopening our parking lots on weekdays, and we then moved to phasing in opening of our parking lots at various preserves on weekends. Now, all of our preserves are open, parking lots are open all days of the week. However, we still have some facilities in there that are closed. Picnic areas, benches, barbecues are closed. We did reopen our restrooms. In regards to visitation that we've experienced, our weekday visitation at the Baylands continues to be at pre-COVID levels. We're experiencing about 75-100 cars per hour on weekdays in the Baylands Preserve. On weekends, we're experiencing about 150 cars per hour during the peak times. Looking at this past May 31, we opened our parking lots for the first time on the weekends. The Byxbee parking lot, which holds about 30 vehicles, was consistently full throughout the day. We turned away at least 50 cars each hour. We redirected them to the other lots within the Baylands. We were able to facilitate for the most part parking. It was just through using other lots and redirecting visitors. For Foothills Park, we reopened all of our parking lots. Last Saturday, we had 245 vehicles and about 580 visitors. This number was achieved despite the morning being a little bit breezy and showery conditions as well. We did have to turn away about 100 visitors at the front gate. Seven of those 100 visitors were for having dogs. The others presumably were due to being nonresidents. That is a high number for a typical weekend for us. At the Pearson-Arastradero Preserve, this one in general has throughout the shelter-in-place order remained a high visitation area, whether the parking lot was closed or whether the parking lot was open. It continues to be quite popular for those that are hiking and mountain biking. Much of our operations during this time was with a full staffing level within our open space staff. In addition to that, we brought in staff from our other program areas in Community Services. Also we received quite a significant amount of assistance, and we want to
acknowledge the Police Department, in particular Captain Zac Peron and all the Community Service Officers in the Police Department who helped us weekdays and weekends managing visitors and traffic for several weeks. A lot of that would not have been possible without the assistance of the Police Department and the assistance of other staff within the Community Services Department. The impact in our parks also started out with closures. We closed courts, tennis, pickleball, basketball. We closed picnic areas. We closed park benches. Athletic fields were closed as well. Playgrounds were closed. We, however, did leave in the parks the restrooms open. Part of the reason restrooms were left open is because these are parks in our urban areas. We do have unhoused. As a result, it's difficult for them to find restrooms during the shelter in place because all the businesses are also closed. We left the restrooms in our parks open. As the County health orders went through multiple iterations, we were slowly reopening some facilities. In early to mid May, we reopened our courts, being basketball, pickleball and tennis courts, and also athletic fields. We opened under limited and restricted use per the County guidelines. At the time, they were restricted to single household usage. That will change starting tomorrow. We will go to usage by up to two households. However, playgrounds is one of the areas we have not reopened, and that has not been addressed by us nor has it been addressed by the County. As a result, playgrounds remain closed. In regards to our dog parks, initially dog parks were open, and then one of the changes to the County order was to close the dog parks. We proceeded to close them. Now, we're happy to report that our dog parks will reopen tomorrow with social distancing in place. Also, we are still requiring the use of face coverings for any visitors in our dog parks. All our dog parks will reopen starting tomorrow, the 5th. I've mentioned previously the impact on our recreation programs and our summer camps; however, there were existing spring classes. Due to the shelter-in-place order, we cancelled all of our spring classes. We did pivot and make a switch to some virtual programming. We were not able to offer a full slate, and now we're still looking towards our summer programs, continuing the virtual programming as well. We've added some in-person camps as previously mentioned. COVID-19 also had an impact to Rinconada Pool. That was another facility that we closed down. We are reopening it starting Saturday, June 6th, to lap swim, and on Monday we'll reopen it to camps as well. That's the physical impacts to our facilities and classes. The other side of that is budget reductions and the impact we have throughout the City.

Chair Greenfield: Excuse me, Lam. Before we move on to the financial impacts, do any Commissioners have any questions about that? The items that Lam has just discussed.

Commissioner Reckdahl: What's the status on the golf course?

Mr. Do: Our golf course was another facility that closed down as soon as shelter in place was put in place on March 16th or 17th. The golf course remained closed until the shelter-in-place order was modified by the County. We reopened it on May 17th or 13th, one of
those two days. I apologize for not recalling exactly. We reopened it at the time to single play per the County guidelines.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Single person or single household?

Mr. Do: It was opened to single, individual play. The County guidelines defaulted to the State guidelines to single, individual play.

Commissioner Reckdahl: With the playing fields being open to two households and pickleball and stuff, are we having two households for the golf courses?

Mr. Do: Yes, we are transitioning to that as well.

Commissioner Reckdahl: That starts tomorrow?

Mr. Do: Correct.

Commissioner Reckdahl: How's the play? Are we getting people out there or is it empty?

Mr. Do: It is not empty. Golf remains the same; it depends on the weather. We've been reopened for about three weeks. The first week and a half, actually the first three days we were ramping up. By the fourth or fifth day, we had a full tee sheet. Keep in mind, this is the full tee sheet with single, individual play, not necessarily group play. Capacity-wise, it's much more limited. About a week and a half ago we had some strong, warm days. That reduced our golf course play. It has since resumed. I don't know for the past two or three days how the tee sheets look. I haven't had a chance to get an update on that.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Just to be clear, you can have two households, and you can have a full foursome or are we limited to two people?

Mr. Do: The County guidelines allow for up to a foursome. Technically, the County guidelines do not say up to a foursome. It just says two households. Golf as a sport has been grouped up to a foursome in general.

Commissioner Reckdahl: How does the lease work? Who is taking the hit, the loss of revenue?

Mr. Do: The operator of the golf course unfortunately incurred the financial losses. The operator is OB Sports. They leased the café.

Commissioner Reckdahl: They have a fixed payment that they're giving us?

Mr. Do: That is correct.
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Commissioner Reckdahl: And there was nothing in the contract that would allow them to either cut their lease or decrease those payments for certain contingencies?

Mr. Do: Not contractually.

Commissioner Reckdahl: It all looks like they're going to keep their lease and keep on operating it, and we're not going to have any interruptions?

Mr. Do: That's correct.

Commissioner Reckdahl: That would be bad to have the golf course, which we paid so much money for, sitting empty and not being used if the operator pulled out.

Mr. Do: We have two separate agreements with the operator. As you inquired, Baylands Café is lease by them and operated by them. As for the golf course and its practice facilities, those are operated by them on the City's behalf. They are a contracted management company for the course and the practice areas under a separate contract from the lease that they have for the café.

Chair Greenfield: To clarify that real quickly, Lam. The operator is absorbing the loss on the café. Is the City absorbing the loss on the golf course?

Mr. Do: That's correct.

Commissioner Moss: Because we spent so much time earlier this year talking about opening Foothills to nonresidents in a controlled fashion, this period has been a big experiment to see what kind of impact we could have if we relaxed our controls. You can see with so many cars showing up and you turned away 100 visitors, 93 of which were nonresidents, how valuable and how needed these open spaces are and will probably help to inform us how to open the park up in that controlled fashion. I don't want to lose these statistics. Every statistic that you have from this period, it would be nice to feed to the subcommittee so that we don't lose it. It's really important.

Mr. Do: Our Ranger staff at Foothills has records of this, and we will continue to track this information.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you. Questions from any other Commissioners? As far as face coverings being required, does this only apply to the dog parks? Is there any other outdoor activity where face coverings are required?

Mr. Do: I think it's dependent upon how activity is determined. They City's ordinance on face coverings is a blanket. It's both outdoors and upon entering a business. There are exceptions to recreational athletic participation. That is why the face covering is the requirement for the dog parks. It's not necessarily an athletic endeavor. In particular, the
face covering is a requirement that the County has stated as a condition of reopening dog parks. Even independent of whether or not the City has its own ordinance in place, it is a requirement of the County's update effective tomorrow for dog parks.

Chair Greenfield: That's helpful to understand. Gatherings are still not officially permitted under the shelter-in-place guidelines? The people at dog parks are kind of gathering.

Mr. Do: That's correct. The County is allowing the dog parks to reopen. We have posted signs for both face coverings, and signage of social distancing is a requirement. The signage states if you are unable to maintain social distancing of 6 feet, we ask them to not enter the dog park.

Chair Greenfield: I know you made this clear regarding the open spaces, but benches and picnic areas remain closed in the parks as well as in the open spaces?

Mr. Do: Yes, that is correct.

Chair Greenfield: Barbecues and drinking fountains as well.

Mr. Do: Yes, along the same lines.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you. If there are no other questions, if you could please proceed to the …

Commissioner Moss: I have one more. I'm also very interested in the statistics of how many staff you really needed to manage the open spaces during this incredibly busy period. You talked about needing police to back up the entire force of Rangers. I want to make sure that we know what kind of staff is really needed to open up the park to a greater audience. I take my hat off to you for being able to marshal these resources on very short notice. I don't think we anticipated how much volume we were going to get. The fact that you were able to pull all these people together and maintain some kind of order was really amazing.

Mr. Do: We have that information of staffing levels.

Chair Greenfield: That's a good suggestion. I do appreciate how staff has been able to pull this together. Part of this is the lost concept of a weekend and working a lot of hours to fill the gaps. The guidelines are ever-changing. It's a perfect example of how things will change again tomorrow. Every couple of weeks it's a new landscape. Staff has to then go to the effort of interpreting what these changes and official policies mean with respect to various recreation activities and facilities around the City.

Ms. O'Kane: Chair Greenfield, I'd just like to add a little bit to the staffing of open space areas. Some of Community Services staff are, because of the nature of the work they do,
unable to work remotely. We were able to redeploy many of those staff to help the Rangers in the open space areas, crowd control, reminding people to stay 6 feet apart, traffic control, things like that. That was a really good way to redeploy staff who otherwise may not have work that they could easily do remotely.

Chair Greenfield: That's great to hear, that we're able to find ways to redeploy the staff in areas that are certainly at a high need in these past few months.

Commissioner McCauley: I'm dialed in via my phone for the audio. Apologies for the moment earlier. I intended to vote yes for the approval of the minutes. Sorry that I got disconnected verbally.

Chair Greenfield: No further questions?

Commissioner McCauley: No, nothing further.

Chair Greenfield: Lam, can you go ahead with the financial impacts?

Mr. Do: Thank you, Chair Greenfield. As I speak about the COVID-19 impacts on our programs, I'm going to ask Director O'Kane and Council Member Kou to correct me if I misstep or mispeak. The budget impacts for our open space areas are wide-ranging. Much of this is in response to the City's estimated $20 million financial impacts Citywide in the current fiscal year. The City is also looking at a projected $39 million fiscal recovery impact in next fiscal year '21. As a result, Citywide all departments were asked to take a look at our budgets and determine where we can make changes and reductions. The Community Services Department as a whole was tasked, and we have reductions in several areas. I'll start with open space. In our open space areas, we have service level reductions starting with the Baylands Nature Center. We will be reducing our staffing at the Nature Center by $10,000. The impact of that is the visitation hours at the Baylands Nature Center will be reduced to address that and backfill some of that loss. We have worked with the Environmental Volunteers, and they have generously agreed to help support us with staffing at the Baylands Nature Center to still provide some visitation hours. What will happen then is staff will make up for the rest. This offer with the Environmental Volunteers allows us to maintain field trips and programming at the Nature Center despite having to reduce the budget there. We are now looking at reduced hours and possibly reduced days. We don't know the exact details of how we're going to work this out yet, but we're confident we can offer at minimum Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday hours and perhaps even more according to the typical high visitation needs of the area. In regards to contract expenses in our open space areas and park maintenance areas, we've had reductions across the board. Our largest reduction is in park landscape maintenance. This is a large reduction, 26 percent, of approximately $274,000. The contract is over $1 million. In looking at what does that mean when we reduce a contract by over a quarter, this will be primarily a reduction in the frequency of maintenance. Where parks are maintained X number of days,
we will maintain it fewer days per week. For example, parks that were serviced three times a week, we're going to be reducing that to service one time a week. This would impact parks such as El Palo Alto, Mayfield Park, Sarah Wallis Park, Weisshaar Park. In addition to that, Lytton Plaza and Cogswell Plaza. Some City facilities, which previously were landscaped and maintained five days a week, will now be reduced to three times a week. These facilities will include City Hall, Mitchell Park Community Center, and our Library and Lucie Stern Center as well. Some small sites that were maintained three times a week will now be reduced to twice a week. These facilities are those such as Children's Theatre and the Art Center. Some sites that were maintained only once per week will be reduced to once per month. These sites include our fire stations.

Commissioner Reckdahl: What do you mean when you say maintained? Is that sweeping and leaves or is it also like garbage collection?

Mr. Do: This is primarily leaves and hedge maintenance and safety and pathway maintenance. The litter removal we are addressing to maintain an appropriate level. We may have some instances where it may overflow, but we'll address it as it comes. We will do our best to reduce the overall impact and the appearance, granted that things will look a little different. Our park maintenance contract also includes maintenance of our road medians. This has an impact in regards to loose litter at the medians. This is a little bit different from maintaining our parks and facilities. With the reduction in maintaining our road medians, weed removal will not be as often and neither will litter removal. There will be an aesthetic change to that. One other area that our parks maintenance contract includes is our tennis courts cleaning, which would have been cleaned twice a month. Now, they're going to be cleaned once a month. The cleaning of this varies from blowing to washing the courts. These examples are to help us achieve the 26 percent reduction or $274,000 in the maintenance contract. We also have some areas where we will no longer be funding the work by the contractor, and the work will transition to staff. Byxbee has an annual mowing done by the contractor. That has been completely removed from the contract. Now, our Rangers will perform the mowing. They will not be able to do the mowing in full scale. We'll do some minimal mowing to keep the trails clear. Some services were added to the contract; however, we will now need to remove them. There was additional weekend trash pickup for the Magical Bridge Playground. Unfortunately, we do need to remove the additional weekend trash pickup. It will revert back to how it originally was, where the trash pickup resumes on Mondays. To address this, we added trash cans. We'll go back to having the full set of trash cans and resuming Monday trash pickup. Some of our athletic field maintenance is also going to be impacted. We are no longer going to as frequently aerate, set seed or fertilize these playing fields. We will reduce the frequency of that. Right now, the playing fields are probably in the best shape that they have ever been because they haven't been played on. That's a good start. Perhaps that will allow us to reduce the maintenance of the playing fields as well. An area that we felt was important and we did not reduce and kept whole is playground maintenance. There is no change in
playground maintenance. Eventually playgrounds will be open, and they will be maintained on a regular basis. We did have a reduction to contracted Ranger service, which supplements our regular staff. We're contracted through California Land Management, and they provide weekend support and evening support in our open space areas and our urban parks. Unfortunately, that contract had to have a very drastic reduction. The contract is going from $143,000 to $30,000 in services. That's going to have a very big impact to the service we provide. That service also includes litter removal in the nature preserves. That will fall to Ranger staff now. We don't know exactly how and fully all of these changes will play out. We're still investigating the changes and how we can mitigate the changes as much as possible. Unfortunately, this is all part of the COVID fiscal impact and fiscal recovery. In recreation and the arts, we have a number of reductions. We have reductions to Children's Theatre programming. We have reductions to the number of main stage productions that they offer. There are also reductions to their outreach program. The outreach program is a partnership with the School District. We have reductions to programs for both adults and youth. We also have some reductions throughout our teen programs. Some of the teen services have been reinstated, and we're looking forward to making full use of reinstatement plans. Kristen, could you assist me on the recreation reductions?

Ms. O'Kane: The recreation reductions include reducing the amount of hours that the community centers are open. Each of the three community centers will be closed one day per week. We will in turn rotate staff through the different community services as well as the Art Center and our other museums to allow customer service to continue at each of the facilities. Teen services was an initial cut, but fortunately City Council saw how important our teen services and programs are. That was restored quite a bit. That includes the Think Fund, which is the Bryant Street Garage Fund funding. Most of those teen programs have been restored in the budget. I think that's it for recreation.

Mr. Do: That concludes the budget impacts of the department. I'd be more than happy to take some questions should anyone have some.

Chair Greenfield: That's a very sobering report. Council Member Kou, would you care to comment on this at all? The Council has been working many hours on very difficult budget work and the decisions that nobody was envisioning and looking forward to being in a position to have to make. A lot of care went into the process.

Council Member Kou: Firstly, I want to say Kristen and her team did a fabulous job in rerouting and reconsidering a lot of the programs. In our community, Community Services is one of the most valued areas. She did a great job trying to coordinate all of that. It's not easy. Given what we have, I think we clawed back as much as possible for the teens. A lot of them are important programs, which really help them grow. One of the areas with Children's Theatre, a lot of youth came in and talked about how important it was in
developing themselves, their self-confidence and making friends that are pretty much lifelong. They come back and give back after they go to college. It's not just in the acting side, it's also behind the scenes, behind the stage, doing stage management, doing sound, doing lights. There's a lot that goes on there including making costumes. It's very beneficial. Through this COVID crisis, we've come to learn how important outdoor space, parks, etc. are for residents in order to get some reprieve from being indoors. Just to go out and see some life instead of just at home, that's another area that is treasured. Based on the knowledge we've gained from this, we've worked to try to save as much as possible. Besides that, Lam and Kristen did a great job giving a summary. Thank you.

Commissioner Reckdahl: When we talk about this maintenance that we're skipping right now, is this purely aesthetics or will it hurt the plants long term if we don't do this for a long period of time?

Mr. Do: We don't intend to have long-term hurt. What we're focusing on right now is all the safety maintenance is still in place. We'll still maintain plants, but the annual plants that get replanted may not occur until our budget has been increased to perhaps current levels or somewhere in between that will allow us to replant. The existing plants should stay alive unless it's an annual.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Tree maintenance, there's nothing that we're skipping for trees that may make them less durable?

Mr. Do: Tree irrigation will remain the same. Tree trimming will remain the same. That's part of the City's urban forrestry. I have not heard that they have made any drastic reductions to their tree maintenance. Our maintenance of trees is limited to trimming the lower limbs. We don't maintain the upper limbs.

Commissioner Reckdahl: How about the work that the Fire Department and other parts of the City do for the Foothills fire mitigation?

Mr. Do: The fire mitigation plan is not impacted by the budget reductions.

Commissioner Reckdahl: That continues?

Mr. Do: Yes.

Commissioner Reckdahl: How well has the public been obeying things like playground closures and things like that?

Mr. Do: We've had residents and/or visitors that have observed our closure and/or caution signs. We've also experienced quite the opposite. We've had caution tape torn down and removed. Signage has been ripped off. We've had courts that were closed and locked,
unlocked and played upon. We've gone back out and re-locked or re-taped or closed or re-signed.

Commissioner Reckdahl: How about things like Acterra at Foothills Park? Have they been affected by this?

Mr. Do: I do not know that. I'd have to look into that and get back to you.

Chair Greenfield: That's the Grassroots Ecology nursery. I believe they have maintained regular access. I've observed access there.

Commissioner Moss: Whenever I've been up there, they've been up there working.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Do you happen to know if Friends of Foothills Park is continuing doing their invasive species removal?

Mr. Do: I do not know. I'll find out and send a message back to the Commission.

Commissioner Reckdahl: I assume if Grassroots had access, then the Friends of Palo Alto's Parks would also have access.

Mr. Do: I'm not aware of us restricting access to our partner groups.

Commissioner Moss: I actually thought they were incorporated into Grassroots Ecology and that they were doing all of the volunteer work parties for both kinds of activities.

Chair Greenfield: Volunteer work parties have taken a hit the past two months.

Mr. Do: If there aren't any further questions, Chair Greenfield, I also have another portion of the COVID-19 financial impact. That is the CIPs.

Commissioner Moss: Before you go to CIPs, I am absolutely amazed that with the huge fiscal impact that you only have to have these sets of impacts. That truly is an amazing juggling act. The only one I'm really concerned about is the big reduction in contract Rangers. That's a huge drop from $150,000 to $30,000. I hope that other members of staff will be able to fill in or that we may have to reduce the hours of the open space or something like that.

Mr. Do: In regards to the hours of open space, despite the reduction in the contract Ranger service, we are looking at a different operating model where we will not have to reduce the hours of open space. We're looking at a model of leaving and keeping the open space areas open; however, perhaps there may not be staffing available. The goal of this model is to retain the operating hours and open hours. In regards to us being able to come up with a budget that has what would be relatively a minimum amount of budget reductions, that is
in thanks to not only all of our recreation, arts, and open space managers and through
Kristen's leadership, but it's also through Council's support of our program. To get the
reductions, we actually had to propose much more drastic reductions. Through the series
of budget hearings, Council was able to reinstate some of our programs. It's a collaborative
effort from both staff and the Council and our community members as a whole speaking
and informing us what's important to them.

Commissioner LaMere: Chair, I have one question for Lam. In terms of summer camps,
what's been the fiscal impact in having to cut some of these programs and what's been our
communication and what do we anticipate for sign-ups with this new offering that we're
trying to do this summer with camps?

Mr. Do: That's a difficult question to answer at this time. We're still going through the
registration process. We only recently, because of the County, announced changes to what
we can and cannot offer for summer camps. We had to pivot and make a quick change to
our offerings. Registration has really just begun, and we don't have the numbers at this
time. I fret to even guestimate at this point. We're too early in the process.

Commissioner LaMere: I don't know what the communication has been, but I urge robust
communication to parents. There could be some strong interest for camps this summer. In
terms of middle school sports, if we do indeed have middle school sports this year, are
there any cuts anticipated for the middle school athletic programs?

Mr. Do: There are no cuts for the middle school athletics programs. However, there is an
increase in the fee to participate. For the Department to meet our budget reduction goals,
it was a combination of some program areas having reductions and some program areas
having fee increases to generate revenue.

Council Member Kou: With the litter removal from the parks, would it be possible to have
signs to ask people who come to take their trash home with them so that we can help with
some reduction in trash in the receptacles? Is that something to think about?

Mr. Do: Certainly we can think about that. We have several ways to address this. One of
the areas that's being addressed is in some of our parks, for example Rinconada Park, we
have actually removed all the trash cans already. Part of the City's waste reduction program
is to implement, where we can, a dumpster program instead of a trash can program. We're
asking participants to gather their litter and bring it to a central dumpster. It's not
implemented everywhere. We may not be able to implement it everywhere. The
suggestion that you have given us, we'll take that into account.

Council Member Kou: I also wanted to ask about the Think Fund. It's been reinstated.
The Think Fund is usually a grant application. I understand that Magical Bridge had three
grants that they had submitted for COVID purposes. Can you give me an idea about where is this Think Fund going now? I understand their three grants were rejected.

Mr. Do: I'm not familiar with that. Kristen may be but, if not, we can look into that and get back to you.

Ms. O'Kane: The Think Fund is still going to be a program. It's still going forward, and it will still be the same model of teens submitting a proposal to do a project. The project is typically something that benefits teens or is for teens. I can get back to you on those particular grant applications that were not approved. Usually these grants are specific projects that get submitted, and then staff works with the teens to refine them and get it to a point where it can be something that's implemented. That model is going forward.

Council Member Kou: I was reading one of the emails from the community in a neighborhood that said their park is looking sad. Is there any way that we might be able to organize volunteers or neighborhood volunteers to help out with their neighborhood park? Would the Commissioners be willing to shepherd this program if it's something you would consider?

Chair Greenfield: Absolutely. This is the type of collaborative work that the Commission can be helpful with direction from staff in engaging the community to help support our community. These are important efforts that we can be helpful with, again with staff's direction and support.

Ms. O'Kane: That's something we could look at. We'd want to make sure that whatever program we were endorsing met the County's health order. We've had to modify our parks maintenance model just to ensure two park staff aren't riding in the same truck together, that they're able to maintain social distancing. Once we're able to get to some level of normal, we can do a lot of catch-up in those parks. It's really been limited as to what we can do. Lam can probably provide more information on that. We've had to adjust and adapt as the shelter in place was first implemented and then as it loosens a little bit.

Council Member Kou: Just considering will bring out a lot of people to help out at the parks. Just a suggestion here.

Ms. O'Kane: That's in our Parks Master Plan as well, to do more community ownership of parks and get them out to do the maintenance and clean up. That would align with that well.

Chair Greenfield: A primary focus of this meeting is to understand how the Parks and Rec Commission can help with new issues, including engaging the community's support to help address these.
Commissioner Moss: In our neighborhood park, Cubberley field, it's not going to be possible for us to mow the lawn. Certainly, my mother used to walk the Santa Monica beach picking up trash once a week. I can certainly bring a glove and pick up trash. If she could do that for 30 years, I can do it. The other thing that maintenance does is blow the leaves off the new track, which is not necessary as often as they do it.

Chair Greenfield: Regarding the Baylands contractor reductions, were we able to maintain the Baylands gate closure in the evening through contract services? That was an important one.

Mr. Do: We are still working out the logistics of that. We have approached our contractors, the park maintenance contractor and the contractor Ranger service. We haven't finalized all of our service level changes and/or implementation process, but we do want to be able to have at minimum park closure. We don't know for sure. We just don't know how the model is going to work out yet. If we were to have reduced hours, we could get park closure and gates locked. If we were to make every effort to ensure that we have full, regular hours until the end of sunset, we don't know how that's going to look yet.

Chair Greenfield: Could you explain what the issue is here?

Mr. Do: Yes. Our open preserves are open essentially from sunrise all the way to 30 minutes past sunset. We operate our regular park Rangers—we have seven total—seven days a week managing four open space preserves. I can imagine summertime where we're looking at 14-hour days. We could not stretch the seven Rangers to manage both open and closed parks every day. As a result, we rely on a contract Ranger service to assist us in the evening. This is where they come in during the evening hours. They're there for both patrol and litter removal. They also lock preserve gates for us. We're asking them to reduce their compensation from $143,000 to $30,000. We're working with them to ask them what type of services they can provide for that minimal amount.

Chair Greenfield: That sounds like a challenge. Regarding the community centers being closed one day per week, will this be a rotating day through the week so that only one community center would be closed on a given day or is it Fridays? What's the thinking on that?

Ms. O'Kane: We haven't worked out the details yet. The initial thought was to do what you said, rotate so there are always two community centers open on a given day.

Chair Greenfield: That sounds like a better form of reduction for the community. I do appreciate all the reductions are difficult. There has been a lot in the rec programs, and how much of those reductions are programs that would be difficult to pull given the shelter-in-place guidelines, things like Children's Theatre or art programs for both adults and youth and teens. Was that part of the prioritization in deciding where to make cuts?
Ms. O'Kane: That was a factor in the decision-making. Like you said, none of our reductions were easy. It was really difficult, especially hearing teens talk from all our different programs and the benefits that they provide to mental health, emotional well-being in the community. When we had to make those tough decisions, looking at theatre performances and knowing it's going to be a while until there's a theatre full of attendees again definitely played somewhat of a role in how we prioritized those.

Chair Greenfield: Any other questions before we move on?

Council Member Kou: Would you like some statistics from the County Health?

Chair Greenfield: Absolutely.

Council Member Kou: Santa Clara County Public Health did a survey to understand what most of the people's willingness is like. It says 59 percent of residents are paying attention to the COVID needs and the news, which is large because usually not that many people keep up to speed on what the County is pushing out in terms of their news and so forth. They said 73 percent of residents' biggest fear is the second wave of this coming back again. 59 percent of the people in Santa Clara County finds public health is very important, and 71 percent of the people in Santa Clara County says that the way that they went forward with the shelter in place is heading in the right direction. Also, County Public Health is pushing out their mobile testing. Last week, they were in Mountain View, and they're looking at ways to come more here to the north county. They're also expanding the testing for employers who are also requiring their employees to be tested.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you. That's appreciated. It helps color the picture a little bit more. The next item on the agenda is—I want to thank staff very much for the presentation.

Commissioner Moss: Are we going to talk about CIP?

Chair Greenfield: Yep. My bad. Let's go to CIP please, Lam.

Mr. Do: The fiscal recovery due to the impressive COVID-19 extends beyond our operating budget. The reductions also extend into our Capital Improvement Project budget. That is not just within the Community Services Department; it is Citywide. For us in Community Services, we were asked to reassess our projects, both timelines, necessity, and also perhaps reduced scope of work. This is along the lines of addressing the $39 million budget shortfall that's projected. Within our Community Services Department, most of the capital projects are within parks and open space. As a result, we needed to reassess. Some of the projects we had to de-fund and cancel. Some projects we had to suspend, and some projects we had to defer. We had to reduce the scope of some projects. A project that we had to cancel is the turf management plan. This project was to develop best practices that address the needs of sustaining healthy turf for athletic play. There is a
heavy emphasis and a high need for athletic play throughout the year. Turf cannot handle that. We were looking at developing a turf management plan. We have had to cancel that, and we'll adjust that through implementing down time in our turf to keep up the health. We'll continue our current practices of occasional seeding, occasional aerating, and sometimes entire turf renovations. We'll do those in-house instead. Another project that we have to suspend is the 10.5-acre expansion to the Baylands Athletic Center. This is another plan and not necessarily a development project. It was difficult to justify against all the other reductions, maintenance needs, programs needs, and service level cuts. We had to suspend this one. We hope to bring this project back when the City is in better financial standing. Another area where we had to make changes—we've had to push out some projects beyond fiscal year 2022 and see if we can push them out to fiscal years '23, '24, '25 or perhaps even further out. We pushed out several projects, for example Johnson Park, Ramos Park, Werry Park. We've pushed out those dates based on an assessment and talking to staff, how long can the amenities in those parks still be sustained.

Chair Greenfield: Excuse me, Lam. That was Johnson, Ramos, and what was the third park?

Mr. Do: Werry. In speaking with staff who maintains these parks, we opted to invest in some repair dollars to maintain the parks, to keep them open so that we could push out their renovation dates. With some funding for repairs, we can achieve keeping those parks open. There were some projects that we felt we could not wait on. Of interest are the ones on the horizon, Cameron Park improvements are going to continue. That's scheduled for fiscal year '21. Ramos Park improvement project is going to continue as well. Rinconada Park's improvement project will continue; that's a multiphase project beyond fiscal year '21. We are going to continue with Boulware Park improvement project, and that one is set through a funding mechanism to allow us to continue to do the design in '21 and perhaps do something in fiscal year '22. There has been interest in combining this project with the Birch Street project in parallel and perhaps later in conjunction. Other projects that did not get impacted. The JMZ project is still set to continue without changes in funding. It's actually almost complete. Towards the end of the summer it should be complete, but it doesn't necessarily open right away. There's a large logistic process and quarantining animals. The Highway 101 pedestrian/bike bridge project will continue. It was suspended temporarily due to the shelter-in-place order. As the County eased its restrictions for construction projects, we resumed that project. We also had some projects that had to be reduced. These projects varied in size and scope. The largest project that had to be reduced is our Byxbee Park completion project. That project had a $300,000 reduction in the scope. The project remains at about $2.8 million in funding. We think despite a reduction in the scope the project will not have a significant impact based on the reduction. This project focuses on habitat improvements, irrigation, seating, signage, and improvements to the parking lot. The majority of funding is intended for habitat improvements.
Chair Greenfield: I seem to hear that Ramos was in the defer list and in the list that was not touched.

Mr. Do: I apologize if I misspoke. Robles is the one that is pushed out. Ramos continues. We’ve also had reductions in some of our ongoing CIPs. We've had reductions in our CIPs for athletic court maintenance. We've had a reduction in our CIP for emergency repairs, and we've had a reduction in our trail maintenance CIP. Packaged together, the various reductions, deferments and eliminations were part of the reassessment of our CIPs. As much as we did not want to do this, it was necessary. That concludes the changes to our CIPs.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you for the overview, Lam. Sobering news but work that had to be done. Questions or comments from Commissioners?

Commissioner LaMere: Can you provide a brief visibility on the decision to go ahead with the pedestrian bridge after the suspension? Was it because of how the contract is written? I'm just curious how that worked. It seems the money saved from that could go to a lot of different programs.

Mr. Do: You're asking about the Highway 1010 bike/pedestrian bridge project? The suspension of the project and the resumption of the project was based on the County health order. The County health order has gone through multiple iterations, initially restricting construction and public works projects, so the City suspended that project. A few weeks ago, the County order changed and allowed public works projects to resume, and later on the public health order allowed construction projects to resume. The City resumed it. As for any consideration to use the funding for that project elsewhere, I'm probably not well versed in it to explain how and why that project remained. I could get more information for you and respond, if you'd like.

Council Member Kou: The City Manager and Public Works' Brad Eggleston said that it was ready to go. Design work was done. Everything was in place for construction to start. After the County order was released, they started to resume work on it because it was shovel ready.

Chair Greenfield: If I recall correctly from the Council meetings and some of the dialog, there was an issue of financial penalties from the contractor. Since the contractor was already in place, it didn't make sense to put a hold on that due to penalties.

Commissioner Moss: Not only that, but they had said that most of the City money had already been spent on planning. We got the grant from Santa Clara County just about three or four weeks ago. We're spending other people's money now, Google and Santa Clara County, and very little from the City. That's what I heard. Is that what you heard, Lydia?
Council Member Kou: You're correct. There's a large majority of the funding that does come from VTA and other sources. I'm not quite sure if the City's money is spent already or not. We don't want to lose any of the money that is coming from other sources.

Chair Greenfield: That brings up a good point. Anyone else?

Vice Chair Cribbs: Would it be possible, Lam, to see a really simple list of the projects that you ran through? I was writing it down, but I don't think I got them all. It would be really helpful for us to see what stopped, what's been pushed off, what's been delayed, what you're going to proceed on, just a summary in writing sometime in the future so we could know what the costs are as we proceed with some of the ad hoc committees and gathering other information. Is that possible?

Mr. Do: Absolutely possible. We will do that.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Some of these like Cameron Park and Ramos Park don't seem to be a high priority to me. I'm not quite sure why we're going forward with that and not saving that money for other things that have more immediate impact on the community.

Mr. Do: Part of those two projects have to do with the design is in place, they've started initial public outreach, and they are small-value projects. The renovation of Cameron Park is mostly playground and play structures. We felt that one could not wait. It's a wood-structure playground, and it has termite damage. If we did not proceed with it, in the near future we would need to reassess and consider closing it. We recommended that it proceed. The Ramos Park project is another small-value project. The design is ready as well, and public outreach has already occurred. That one's condition is better and possibly could continue as it is. We could consider swapping it out with a different project. The value of that project is difficult to swap out with another project because most other projects are larger in size.

Commissioner Reckdahl: What about Boulware?

Mr. Do: The bulk of the funding for Boulware is development impact fees. Proceeding with that project does not impact the City's bottom line. It's almost like a third-party dedicated fund.

Commissioner Reckdahl: That money can't be moved over to recreation programs or anything like that?

Mr. Do: How we use the money is restricted by several factors. The money has to be spent within a certain proximity of where the development occurred that paid the fees, and it needs to be used in a way that increases capacity and usage. It cannot be used for maintenance. It cannot be used for renovation. It may not be used for replacement, unless
the replacement increases capacity. With the various restrictions of these development impact fees, we're not able to apply it to our other projects. Most of our CIP projects in Community Services have to do with replacement and renovation of our park amenities, so that is not eligible for use of the development impact fees.

Vice Chair Cribbs: I wanted to comment on the 10.5 out at the Baylands. I'm really sorry that we can't continue to look at that because I thought we had good community input and engagement. I'm worried that we're going to lose that if we push it out too far.

Chair Greenfield: On the turf management budget going away, it makes sense in that the money was allocated to do a study to research and gain information on how to properly maintain the park fields, but there was no money to follow up with the actual work required to do the field maintenance, which was going to be on the order of $0.5-$1 million per field. Any other comments before we move along? Thank you to staff for all of the diligent and detailed work. I know this has been a time-consuming effort and a difficult effort on a number of different levels, not to mention the shelter-in-place restrictions that have impacted your daily work lives. Thank you very much for your dedication to our community.

3. Review and Update Parks and Recreation Commission Priorities

Chair Greenfield: Now, we move on to discussing the priorities for the Parks and Rec Commission, which we established in our retreat in January. This is an exercise to see how these still apply. Catherine, could you put the priorities on the screen for us to review? Those are a little small to see, so I'll read through the priorities that we ended up with. They were rather generic priorities as we discussed in our retreat with the idea they would be fairly encompassing. That may be doing us a favor now as we look to reconsider the priorities and see how they're still applicable. The priorities are environmental and fiscal sustainability; preserve and enhance parks and open space; and expand recreational opportunities. If you recall when we were in our retreat, we ended up putting together some check boxes for which projects each of these priorities … We can do one of two things. We can discuss these priorities and decide if we want to adjust these. If we do decide we're going to adjust these priorities, this item is an action. If we make a change, we'll need a motion and a vote to officially change these. If we decide to leave them as they are, then no action will be required, which makes intuitive sense. Anne and I have had a number of discussions with Daren about our Commission priorities that were set earlier this year and discussions on the specific ad hoc groups and liaison assignments that we have right now. Given the considerable shifts in the landscape that have occurred, it seems appropriate to review these and consider changes. The overall discussion was take a look at the ad hoc and liaison roles and consider which of these should remain active, which of these we might want to put on hold. As we discuss things further, rather than on hold, we thought on call was a better way of phrasing it in that the groups would be
available to serve staff on an as-needed basis. That's what is thought of by on call. There
may be an ad hoc or liaison role that we need to delete at this point. We can do one of two
things. We can either decide on the priorities without covering the ad hoc and liaison roles
in detail or we can jump to the ad hoc and liaison roles and talk about them, decide how
they inform our decision on the priorities and then make an action or not on the priorities
and then make an action or not on the ad hoc and liaison roles. I'm open to your thoughts
on this. Personally, I think the priorities fit pretty well in an umbrella encompassing the
redirection we're going to have in that we're still focusing absolutely on fiscal
sustainability, and including environmental sustainability with that in conjunction with the
Council priority makes sense. As far as open spaces and parks go, we're looking to preserve
what we have. We're looking to support them both through efforts with staff and
potentially with engagement with the community. On recreation opportunities, it's kind of
similar. There may be less emphasis on expanding recreation opportunities. Although
that's certainly within our view, we're looking to maintain and continue to support direct
opportunities. Would anybody like to comment on that?

Vice Chair Cribbs: I was pleasantly surprised, when we looked back at these a couple of
weeks ago, at how well we all did in February or whenever it was we had our meeting to
discuss this. This gives us a lot of flexibility, but it also outlines what we were about back
then. There are some words here that I'm really glad we have right now. Given the current
situation and the difficulties, the challenges and the sadness and broken hearts in our
country right now, I would love to see the word equity be really reinforced and also the
words that Jeff used at the beginning, the words access and opportunity and inclusion. It
feels like for me, if we could look at our Commission and the words we have here and see
how we could include that kind of attention to the things that we do in the future, that it
would be, from my perspective, a real benefit for our community and our region.

Chair Greenfield: That's a great suggestion. I support that. Comments from others?

Commissioner Moss: Foothills, because of the tremendous demand that's been shown over
this past month or two, we have to maintain our focus on that and Arastradero Preserve just
to, as you said, preserve what we have and environmental sustainability. I don't think we
can not focus on that as opposed to say the 10 1/2 acres, which we don't have yet.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Our highest priority right now should be looking at how COVID
is going to change the parks. Between opening things and having a prompt schedule of
opening while keeping safety in mind, that's a fulltime job right there. Some of these other
things, I look at and say these are second and third priorities.

Commissioner McCauley: I was going to echo the comments that Anne made and that Jeff
echoed. I think it would be great if we add a bullet after expand recreation opportunities
that reflects the moment we're living through right now. Anne phrased it really well.
Chair Greenfield: Anne, you want to repeat it? It was something about equity, access and inclusion.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Those were the three words, equity, access and inclusion.

Commissioner McCauley: I would add a verb at the beginning, which is promote equity, access and inclusion.

Vice Chair Cribbs: I like that.

Commissioner Moss: Because the Cubberley Master Plan is in flux, I would like there to remain significant focus on that to support staff during this very difficult juggling act that they're going through at least for the next couple of months.

Chair Greenfield: I feel like that's still—you meant that these priorities are fairly general and not specific. For me, it's covered under expand recreational opportunities and preserve and enhance parks and even under fiscal sustainability. I'm open to others' comments on that.

Vice Chair Cribbs: I don't think any of us will forget about Cubberley, David, in the very near future. We have so many Commissioners who are so interested in it. I think this is okay standing the way it is.

Commissioner LaMere: I agree with what's been said, especially adding that fourth point.

Chair Greenfield: I think we're at the point where a motion would be appropriate.

**MOTION**

Commissioner McCauley: I move to adopt our 2020 priorities.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you, Ryan. This is a motion to adopt the change to our priorities by adding the fourth priority.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Second

Chair Greenfield: We have a second from Vice Chair Cribbs. Does anybody care to comment? Let's proceed with a vote on this.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Yes.

Chair Greenfield: I am a yes.

Commissioner McCauley: Yes.
Commissioner LaMere: Yes.

Commissioner Moss: Yes.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Yes.

Motion passes 6-0, Olson absent

Chair Greenfield: The motion passes 6-0. We have amended our 2020 priorities. Now, we'll move on to the next item, which is the review of our ad hoc and liaison roles.

4. Review and Update Parks and Recreation Commission Ad Hoc and Liaison Roles Status

Chair Greenfield: Anne and I had discussions with Daren on this. It was interesting to review these in that many of these roles seem more important than ever. It would be useful to go through the ad hocs individually and talk about them and talk about how a new focus may be appropriate. Interested in any feedback. This could be more of an active discussion. If you have comments as we're going through each of the ad hocs, please feel free to raise your hand or chime in.

Commissioner Moss: The list of ad hoc committees doesn't necessarily match the list of projects that we just approved. The one that's out of sync is park safety and sustainability as opposed to Park and Facility Use Policy. All the others are sort of in lock step. Was that on purpose? Park safety and sustainability projects are not represented by a single ad hoc committee. Everything else is represented by a single ad hoc committee.

Chair Greenfield: Let's consider that as we go through this list. First is Baylands 10.5 acres development, and we just heard from staff that the budget is being put on hold for this, if I understand correctly. Lam, could you clarify that again for us?

Mr. Do: The project has been suspended. Along the same lines, the project has also been defunded. Staff does have a desire to bring this project back when the City's financial status is in better standing. In addition, Commissioner McCauley has expressed finding alternative ways to see if we can keep traction on this project. I think Vice Chair Cribbs expressed that desire as well.

Chair Greenfield: There has been some community engagement on this. In order to minimize the burden on staff on this, maybe it would be appropriate to have this ad hoc move from active to on call. It's worth taking a step back on our ad hocs and setting expectations. When we started out ambitiously in January, we were hoping for action from each ad hoc on a monthly basis or every other month, depending on what's going on. I think we need to scale back our expectations on actions now that staff is taxed very heavily with just getting by right now. As we talk about ad hocs being active or on call, active is
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a status that is generally moving forward. On call might be in a holding pattern, depending on staff. It sounds like Commissioners may be interested in meeting offline a bit independent of staff to try to keep this active. I'm interested in feedback whether active or on call makes more sense for this one.

Commissioner Reckdahl: We should reach out to the community. One of the things that is attractive to this is there was at least potential for community funding. If that's gone away, then we put it on call because it will be a while before the City can finance it entirely itself.

Chair Greenfield: What I'm hearing from that is temporarily active would be appropriate, but revisit it in the short term, and it may move to on call. Is that accurate?

Commissioner Moss: You mentioned if they can do it without staff help, if they can do some things offline without staff help for a while, that would be the best way to preserve staff time.

Commissioner McCauley: I don't think it matters how we distinguish it exactly. The bottom line is it's going to have maybe one meeting. I imagine it makes sense for Lam to join us for that one teleconference. Obviously, he's the person most in the know and most connected to all these things, bring us up to speed, and we'll figure out if there is anything else we have to do for the rest of the year.

Mr. Do: I would concur with Commissioner McCauley. Staff is not opposed to continued participation in this project even though it's been defunded. If the focus is seeing alternative ways to continue outreach or continue finding sources of funding, we're open to being a part of that as well.

Chair Greenfield: I think we have consensus. We'll keep this active for the time being.

Vice Chair Cribs: I'm a little confused because I thought I was on that ad hoc committee, but maybe I was dismissed.

Chair Greenfield: I'm thinking you must have been on it last year.

Vice Chair Cribs: And then I changed. Okay. No worries.

Chair Greenfield: What we're looking at is what came from the minutes from our retreat. Cubberley Master Plan seems very appropriate to keep active. I have reached out to Kristen and made clear we're very interested in helping with the transition process in whatever manner is helpful to staff. This one makes sense to keep on the list.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Is this more Cubberley operations as opposed to the Master Plan itself?
Commissioner Moss: I was going to say the same thing. Are we putting the Master Plan on hold and dealing just with the day-to-day?

Chair Greenfield: That's a question for Kristen. Do you expect any activity on the Master Plan this year or would we be better just renaming this the Cubberley ad hoc committee or should we try to be more specific?

Ms. O'Kane: My recommendation would be to have this be more general related to Cubberley so that it covers both. The one piece of the Master Plan that still needs to come to the Commission and Council is the CEQA document. There are a variety of reasons why that's been delayed. One is related to a change in CEQA law related to how traffic impacts are assessed. We have to go back and do some additional traffic studies. That will not happen until the next fiscal year. That's still coming, but anything related to Cubberley is relevant right now. I would make it a more general ad hoc.

Chair Greenfield: Let's just call the ad hoc Cubberley and get rid of Master Plan. That will be part of our proposed motion. The next one is projects and fund development, which covers CIP projects and funding outreach. It seemed like a fun, silly name at the time. I'm having second and third thoughts on that one. This is an appropriate ad hoc to keep, but I'd like to see the name changed so that it can be referred to in a functional manner.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Can we change the name by adding another "d" to fund and a space for development?

Chair Greenfield: Sure. I like that idea. Anybody else have comments?

Commissioner Moss: It's critical because we're going to have to deal not only—the fallout from this is going to go on for several years. We really need to look out at least two, three, four years.

Chair Greenfield: Projects and fund development will be a name change as part of an action. Foothills Park pilot project looks like will be going to the Council later this month. Is that confirmed, Kristen?

Ms. O'Kane: It's tentatively scheduled for June 22\textsuperscript{nd}. It's not completely confirmed, but that's the tentative date.

Chair Greenfield: The other aspect of the Foothills Park ad hoc was development of the 7.7 acres. I don't know to what extent that is being put on hold. I'm not sure if Lam directly addressed that.

Mr. Do: The 7.7-acre project is not on hold; it's proceeding. There was discussion about what years it would be funded because it was a three-year phased project. I don't have the exact details with me, but it is not being suspended and pushed out. It was suspended in a
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Chair Greenfield: It seems to make clear sense that we need to keep this ad hoc for now. If Council comes back and is positive on moving forward with the pilot project, then the ad hoc is going to be very busy. As far as the 7.7 acres, that would be more of a holding pattern waiting for staff to have specific needs to discuss this with the Commission based on what's happening with the funding cycle. Does that make sense? We need to keep Foothills Park for now.

Commissioner McCauley: Agreed.

Chair Greenfield: Park and Facility Use Policy. I had a discussion with Daren about this in terms of there's a lot of potential use right now for discussing the use of parks and how park policy may be changed or impacted by the shelter-in-place guidelines and the COVID-19 impacts, which will extend for a period of time. I asked Daren what he thought about it, and he agreed with that. In the name it says parks and facilities. I asked him if he thought it was appropriate to create a different ad hoc to have the same apply to open space policy. His opinion was that it would make sense for this single Park and Facility Use Policy ad hoc to have the latitude to rethink implementing protocols across parks and open spaces. It makes a lot of sense to keep that active. That may answer David's question in terms of park safety and sustainability. This comes under the umbrella of this ad hoc.

Commissioner Moss: That's fine.

Chair Greenfield: In general, for park amenities this has been looking at things like dog parks and restrooms. In discussions with Daren, it seems like it might be appropriate for this ad hoc to do at least on occasion a preview of CIPs or park improvement plans before they came to the Commission. This ad hoc could be used to run a preliminary presentation and any questions by an ad hoc. If there's agreement that that makes sense, it seems like it might be more appropriate to rename this the park improvements ad hoc, and then it would more directly be looking at park improvement plans as well as all the amenities which could be considered improvements, such as dog parks and restrooms.

Commissioner Moss: Are you renaming this pre-CIP? In other words, you're doing the legwork ahead of a CIP?

Chair Greenfield: No, it would be post-CIP. It would be park improvement plan. Park improvement plans come to the Commission for approval, and this group could work with staff as necessary on the specifics of park improvement plans either before they go to the Commission for consideration or after they go to Commission for initial consideration and before approval.
Commissioner McCauley: That seems fine. Park improvements, park amenities, it doesn't make much of a difference from my perspective. The other thing that committee has been working on for some time is dog parks and restrooms, which obviously are park improvements.

Chair Greenfield: Dog parks and restrooms have been the primary focus. Expanding the focus to include the PIPs makes sense.

Mr. Do: I'm in concurrence.

Chair Greenfield: Rec opportunities seem as important as ever to be considering right now. The whole structure of rec programs has hit a curve and needs some reconsideration. Hopefully, the Commission will be in a position to help staff in this effort. In short, all of the ad hocs seem to have a role at least in the nearer term. There are three name changes that we're suggesting. The name changes would be—the second one would become Cubberley. The third one becomes project and fund development. Park amenities changes to park improvements. Does anyone have any comments on the ad hocs or questions about their roles in the near term before we move on to the liaison assignments? Does anyone feel like we need to add a new ad hoc? Let's move on to liaison roles.

Commissioner McCauley: I don't have anything particular in mind, but in light of the new goal that we added, a priority promoting equity, access and inclusion, is there anything we'd want to do on that topic or do we think it fits within the existing ad hoc committees?

Chair Greenfield: I don't have any specific ideas on how to further flesh that out. We can always add new ad hocs or remove or change ad hocs. Unless someone has a concise idea on how to move forward on this quickly, I suggest we stay with what we have and consider something at a later date.

Vice Chair Cribbs: What about if we were to keep the ad hocs as they are, and at our next meeting we all could do some thinking about what we're trying to achieve and come up with some specific ways. I saw that as being overarching, so that every ad hoc would have that charge to consider this as part of the work we do. Perhaps if we all were thoughtful about it, we could come up with some ways to make it more than words. Does that make sense?

Chair Greenfield: That does make sense. That's a good role for the Commission to take on without burdening staff. Let's try to move through the ad hocs real quickly. I'll just go through with quick summaries of what Daren and Anne and I discussed. Aquatics, it certainly seems to make sense to keep active. BCCP, it makes sense to keep active in the short term, but as soon as the plan is formalized, the liaison role would end. Community gardens, that makes sense to keep active. GSI as well. Commissioner Moss has been attending the stormwater committee meetings.
Commissioner Moss: They have guaranteed funding as opposed to the City. It's taxed to each household. They can continue to do projects for us or with us even if we don't have funding.

Chair Greenfield: Park dedication, the thought was to put it on call. I've always considered it a priority. Given the load on staff right now, we could resume it when staff is in a position to consider that. I'm recommending we put it on hold/on call. Anyone care to comment on that? PAUSD/City liaison is a role that Keith continues to be active with. It makes sense to continue monitoring those meetings. The safe routes can be continued with minimal burden and makes sense, especially with the increased use of safe routes in the community these days by means of transportation other than cars. Stanford is another one Daren recommended could go on call. It's in a holding pattern anyway. Are you okay with that, Commissioner LaMere, or any comments?

Commissioner LaMere: I would agree with that.

Commissioner Moss: Since our last meeting, the Master Plan was rejected or whatever. Does any of that have anything to do with us? Do we have to react in any way to that or is it basically not related?

Commissioner Reckdahl: Are you talking about the GUP?

Commissioner Moss: Yeah.

Commissioner Reckdahl: I think we just wait. The ball's in Stanford's court. If they post something new, then we can evaluate it.

Commissioner Moss: Does it affect our use of the Dish or the paths around Stanford or anything like that?

Commissioner Reckdahl: I don't think it has any effect on anything.

Chair Greenfield: Sustainability makes sense. We'll be looking to have a presentation from Christine Luong at one of our upcoming meetings on the Sustainability and Climate Action Plan status, which is going to Council later this month. Turf management is a role that I have managed with Daren for a while. I feel like field users' liaison would be a better name for that. It makes sense to continue it as a field users' liaison. Turf management is going to be taking a secondary role anyway. I'm proposing that we rename this field users. That's really liaising to the soccer organizations, baseball and softball organizations, etc.

Commissioner Moss: Is there any overlap between that and the Parks and Facility Use Policy ad hoc?
Chair Greenfield: Not really. This is more outreach with these community groups as opposed to a policy discussion and position and consideration. Urban forestry is a new role that we were looking at taking on for the Commission. This is still in the discussion and clarification phase with Kristen as Director of Community Services and Brad Eggleston as Director of Public Works. There is an active role for the liaisons as we look to clarify the role of the Commission with respect to urban forestry.

Ms. O'Kane: I don't have any updates on that. I think there was a meeting scheduled with Public Works Director Brad Eggleston around March 19th. The meeting got canceled and never got rescheduled. When the time is right, we'll circle back with him and get clarity on that.

Chair Greenfield: The Ventura Coordinated Area Plan, it makes sense for someone to continue monitoring that. Youth Council is another example of something that's more important now than ever. There was one other liaison role that we discussed and that would make sense to add, and that is a golf liaison. Given the changes at the golf course, Daren thought it would be helpful to have a Commissioner involved with that. That's a role I'd recommend adding. We'll be looking for someone to fill that role. Does anyone have any comments about any of the liaison roles including potentially adding golf? Any thoughts on adding a golf liaison or is there anybody who would be interested in taking on that role?

Commissioner Reckdahl: I'm willing to do it. If anyone else has a desire, they get first shot.

Chair Greenfield: I don't know if Commissioner Olson is a golf enthusiast or not. She's not here. She will be down one liaison role. Jeff LaMere, I don't know if you're interested in golf with Stanford going to on call. Why don't we hold off on adding a golf liaison until we can have some further discussion within the Commission to see if someone's interested in filling this role and confirm with Daren. Lam, if you want to comment that this is something we should add as an open role now and look to fill it?

Mr. Do: We can create a liaison. The golf course operator has welcomed City input in how we operate. I think they would be open to it. I’m certainly open to it. Should the Commission want to create this liaison, we can facilitate that.

Chair Greenfield: Keith, do you want to slide into the role for the time being with the thought that you may end up getting replaced?

Commissioner Reckdahl: Yeah, put me down. If someone else has a desire to do it, they can take it over. If something comes up in the next month, we'll have someone to cover it.

Chair Greenfield: Thank you. Does anyone have any further discussion on this or are we ready for a motion?
MOTION

Commissioner Reckdahl: I move we approve the updated ad hoc list.

Chair Greenfield: Do we have a second?

Vice Chair Cribbs: Second.

Chair Greenfield: Commissioner Reckdahl with a motion, and Vice Chair Cribbs seconding the motion. Does anyone have any comments on the motion before we vote? Let's go to a roll call vote.

Vice Chair Cribbs: Yes.

Chair Greenfield: I'm a yes.

Commissioner McCauley: Yes.

Commissioner Moss: Yes.

Commissioner Reckdahl: Yes.

Motion passes 5-0, Olson, LaMere absent

Chair Greenfield: Commissioner LaMere looks to have dropped off. That motion passes on a 5-0 vote. Thank you very much for working through that with me.

5. Other Ad Hoc Committee and Liaison Updates

Chair Greenfield: The next item is Ad Hoc Committee and Liaison Updates. We spent quite a while talking about that. Does anyone have any updates they'd like to share?

Commissioner Reckdahl: Ventura's still going on. Nothing noteworthy from a park standpoint. If people are interested, it's on the website. If they have questions, certainly contact me.

Commissioner McCauley: You and Kristen had mentioned that the Foothills Park discussion is going to happen with Council June 22nd. It's possible there's going to be discussion of it sooner. Just a heads up for everyone. I'm quite proud of the work the Commission did last year to be ahead of the moment we're in as it concerns Foothills Park. I'm optimistic that there's going to be some progress made on that front. I'm not sure whether it will be exactly what the Commission recommended last year because we're cycling in a different spot than we were when we made that recommendation. Nonetheless, the discussion is happening and moving forward.
Chair Greenfield: I was under the understanding it might be on the 23rd, which is a Tuesday, which would be an extra meeting for Council. Kristen said the 22nd. I'm not sure if Kristen has a clarification on that or we're going to wait and see what happens.

Ms. O'Kane: I don't have clarification on the exact date. Council Member Kou, is there a Council meeting on the 23rd for sure?

Council Member Kou: Let me check my calendar.

Commissioner Moss: I just got a notice from Don that it's the 23rd. If you could check that, that would be great.

Council Member Kou: There is a 23rd meeting. That might be filled with budget. If I understood correctly, they're coming back to us with the final budget numbers either the 22nd or the 23rd.

Ms. O'Kane: We'll let you know the exact date that's coming forward as well as the final budget adoption.

Commissioner Moss: That was going to be our next PRC meeting, the 23rd. We've cancelled that, and the next one is July 22nd or something?

Chair Greenfield: Actually we've proactively changed our next meeting to June 30th with the notion that Council may be preempting us on June 23rd with a meeting. This will space out an interval of four weeks between meetings.

VI. TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR JUNE 30, 2020 MEETING

Chair Greenfield: We'll move on to the tentative agenda for the June 30th meeting. Confirming that we will be meeting via Zoom on June 30th. There are a few items that have been discussed with Daren for a potential agenda. Those include the sustainability discussion. In conjunction with that, we may get a presentation on recycling from the Public Works Department. Everything's in a state of flux. In the interest of time, I'm happy with deferring this to further discussion with Daren unless anybody has specific input on agendas for the next couple of months.

VII. COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chair Greenfield: We'll move on to Comments and Announcements. Anyone have anything to add? Thank you everyone for joining this meeting. I'm excited to get the Commission restarted. I value each of the contributions that each of the Commissioners bring in different areas and look forward to working with staff and Council to the benefit of our community.
Vice Chair Cribbs: If I could echo that and thank staff for working with us to help us through the first Zoom initiation. I'm really proud to be part of this Commission as we look forward to the future. We can really work together to bring some definite and positive, exciting changes given what we're finding ourselves in right now. Thank you all very much for all the work you do. Certainly thank the staff for the work that you guys do. I'm very grateful that I live in Palo Alto and we have a staff like you guys.

Commissioner LaMere: I'm appreciative of being able to have our Commission going and try to help out where we can during this time. Thank you everyone for their time, and thank you staff for everything that you do.

Chair Greenfield: A special thank you to Catherine for her role in helping make this all happen and hanging with us. And to everyone else as well.

Ms. O'Kane: As staff goes through some of these challenges, sometimes we forget—forget is not the right word. It's not on the forefront of our brains that we have Commissions who are here to help us because we're so busy trying to get each task done. Thank you for reminding us and supporting us and lending a helping hand to us as we navigate these challenges moving forward. We do really appreciate it. We sometimes need someone to say, "We're here to help."

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned on motion by Commissioner Moss and second by Commissioner Reckdahl at 10:06 p.m.