



DRAFT

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

**MINUTES
PARKS & RECREATION COMMISSION
SPECIAL MEETING
December 19, 2017
CITY HALL
250 Hamilton Avenue
Palo Alto, California**

13 **Commissioners Present:** Jeff Greenfield, Jeff LaMere, Ryan McCauley, Don McDougall,
14 David Moss, and Keith Reckdahl

15 **Commissioners Absent:** Anne Cribbs

16 **Others Present:**

17 **Staff Present:** Daren Anderson, Peter Jensen, Kristen O'Kane

18 **I. ROLL CALL CONDUCTED BY:** Kristen O'Kane

19 **II. AGENDA CHANGES, REQUESTS, and DELETIONS:**

20 Commissioner Reckdahl: Next is Agenda Changes, Requests, Deletions. We do have
21 one deletion.

22 Kristen O'Kane: We do. Elizabeth Ames was to be here tonight to present on the
23 Baylands Boardwalk, and she is sick. The other staff member who's familiar with the
24 project is in India. We are going to have to postpone that item until January.

25 Chair Reckdahl: Why don't you just Skype her in?

26 Ms. O'Kane: No.

27 Chair Reckdahl: We'll bump that to January.

28 Ms. O'Kane: That's correct.

29 Chair Reckdahl: Item Number 5 will be deleted. Postponed, yes.



1 **III. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:**

2 Chair Reckdahl: Next is Oral Communications. We have no speaker cards, so we'll
3 move on to the Department Report.

4 **IV. DEPARTMENT REPORT**

5 Ms. O'Kane: I'm going to go ahead and turn it over to Daren Anderson, who will give an
6 update on a few of our projects.

7 Daren Anderson: Today is technical difficulty day. Sorry about that. Daren Anderson
8 with Open Space, Parks, and Golf. Let me start by giving you a couple of updates about
9 the urban parks. One is that we're working on a shade structure to add to the Magical
10 Bridge Playground. We've been doing some design work with the group that originally
11 funded and helped build the playground. They were eager to help support. We're
12 partnering on this process. The design right now is finished, and we're ready to go out to
13 bid.

14 Chair Reckdahl: This is an additional or a replacement?

15 Mr. Anderson: This is a new shade structure for that existing playground.

16 Chair Reckdahl: We're not replacing a structure?

17 Mr. Anderson: Nope, this is a new shade structure.

18 Chair Reckdahl: New stuff.

19 Mr. Anderson: Yeah, new stuff. It'll be hopefully a theme that we can replicate in other
20 parks where we're deficient on shade. I also wanted to give you an update on the golf
21 course. The construction of the golf course is substantially complete. However, there
22 still are a number of things remaining to be completed before we're able to open. It's
23 really getting it polished and ready for presentation as opposed to getting the grass
24 installed and the elements there. That's the part we're at right now. Some of those items
25 include the course signage, having the course rated. We still have a little work to do on
26 hole 13. That's the area that was last occupied by PG&E. Up until a few weeks ago, they
27 were still on the course doing some of their line work. As they pulled out, they allowed
28 us the space to get back in and do the tees for hole 13 that hadn't been added. We're still
29 fine tuning that. While it's in right now—the sod is in. It still needs prep work before it's
30 game-ready. The other thing I want to share is that we're in negotiations right now for a
31 golf course management company that would assume the golf professional services
32 hopefully in February. In order to maximize our one-time opportunity to make a splash,
33 to make a really grand opening that sets our reputation as one of the premier municipal
34 courses in our area, we want to make sure everything's right. That means not rushing

1 these last couple of months to get play on there before it's really ready and give ourselves
2 a bad name. That was a lot of the advice we heard from all the applicants we interviewed
3 to be a management company for the golf course. We're currently in negotiations with
4 one. I can't go into details now given that it's in the negotiating phase. The advice we've
5 gotten from them—we've walked the course with them several times. They're giving us
6 good feedback. One of the key takeaways is just make sure you get it right. Make sure,
7 when they come in there, they've got the complete experience dialed in. What that might
8 look like—these are still tentative dates—is in the month of March we do this marketing
9 outreach play just to stakeholders and writers for golf journals and articles like that. We
10 bring them out; we show them the course; we let them play. In April, we open up to the
11 public once we've gotten those reviews out there. We've polished through some of the
12 challenges on the course that these people, as they play through it, will say, "You really
13 need to fix these elements." That's the tentative game plan. I'm hoping to have more
14 information soon, especially once we get to move forward with getting into a contract
15 with that new golf operator.

16 Chair Reckdahl: We won't know whether they'll remodel the restaurant area until after
17 the RFP is settled?

18 Mr. Anderson: That's true. In all our negotiations with the different firms, them taking
19 on the onus of remodeling is probably unlikely in the first 5-year cycle of the golf course.
20 There are no numbers yet to predicate what they can expect on how the course will
21 perform, which makes it very difficult to get into a long-term lease. There's risk on both
22 sides of preemptively getting into a lease that locks either the City or a company in for 20
23 years, which they would need before making a capital investment like that. Somebody
24 comes out a big winner or loser sometimes in those scenarios was what we learned in this
25 process. What we'll do is put an opener into whatever contract we end up into, to say let's
26 explore the lease option at some point during this contract, in the 2-3, maybe 4-year mark
27 or put the opener in the contract and we discuss long-term lease options for things just
28 like you say, capital investment in the pro shop, perhaps the café.

29 Chair Reckdahl: This would start in April, this new contract, or does this ...

30 Mr. Anderson: Potentially earlier. April we're thinking we'd have the course open by
31 that date.

32 Commissioner McCauley: Daren, may I ask what's the length of the contract you're
33 trying to negotiate now?

34 Mr. Anderson: Still to be determined. One of the common things we're looking at and a
35 starting point is a 5-year with opportunities to renew based on mutual agreement. I also
36 wanted to give you an update on the Baylands Comprehensive Conservation Plan. We're
37 moving along. We've had our community meeting; this was December 5th. This is not

1 just the stakeholders. There are about 25 stakeholders that are comprised of longtime
2 Baylands users, some Staff that have long experience there, and other people that just
3 love the Baylands. This last meeting was open to the broader community. We
4 announced it on our social media and all throughout the preserve. The focus of that last
5 meeting was really to focus on Byxbee and ITT. While we're looking at everything in the
6 Baylands, we really wanted to focus on those two, so we came forward with a couple of
7 design ideas. It's hard to get into the nuances without showing you maps and photos and
8 everything else. What I'm going to promise to do is bring this back to the full
9 Commission for a full discussion on the Baylands Plan, where you'll be able to get into
10 the details and see everything we've been working on so far as well as the feedback. I
11 just wanted to share a brief update that we had this community meeting, got a lot of good
12 feedback. A lot of it centered, as I mentioned, on those two key features of Byxbee and
13 the ITT area. In preparation for that public meeting, on November 13th I led a tour of the
14 ITT property. We talked over some key concepts. Three big ones are public access
15 throughout that whole area of the ITT property. As it connects to Byxbee and the whole
16 region and the new ped bridge that will come in on East Bayshore Road, what would the
17 trail system best look like there? What kind of connectivity do we want and not want?
18 As a lot of the stakeholders pointed out, there are impacts from sometimes having too
19 much connectivity, too much trails that bifurcate habitat areas and cause issues. Those
20 were some of the things that came out concerning connectivity and trails. We also talked
21 about—there's a freshwater wetland inside. It's called the Emily Renzel Wetlands. It
22 gets 1 million gallons a day of treated water. Effluent comes through that freshwater;
23 effluent goes out into the saltmarsh, into the flood basin. That has some challenges. It's
24 old and needs to be repaired in many ways, including the levee that holds it up is leaking.
25 It's also constricted. It is shaped like a kidney bean. In the narrow center section, it's
26 constrained with vegetation and not flowing properly. Again, this will make more sense
27 when I come back with maps and photos and everything. The point was we asked the
28 participants in this tour, "Would you be interested in expanding this freshwater wetland
29 and creating more freshwater wetland?" There's a cost, of course. You would lose some
30 upland refuge area that's used for other things. We discussed that a little bit. The third
31 big topic was what to do with the buildings out there. There was somewhat of a
32 consensus that the majority of the group—again this resonated with the community
33 meeting on the 5th—was not in favor of saving those buildings. They'd like them
34 removed. They think the impacts of having those buildings would be you need to have
35 parking. It's not necessarily an appropriate area to have parking, out there in the middle
36 of this wetland area. Others thought maybe the building could have use, perhaps moved
37 to a different area. There was even someone from the airport who said there might be
38 interest to take elements of the building and move it over to the airport to use for another
39 facet. Lots of discussion to come on that. It'll be certainly something the Commission's
40 asked to weigh in on in-depth. Good news. We're on the agenda for the dog park Park
41 Improvement Ordinance to go on Council consent January 29th. I'll give you an update
42 once that one hopefully is approved. That concludes my portion of the report. Thanks



1 Peter. These were taken by a professional photographer several weeks ago. The
2 course—these shots make it look amazing. These will be part of our marketing campaign
3 for sure, probably make it onto the scorecards and some other advertising elements.
4 We're really happy with the way it came out. In this shot, you can see some of the native
5 areas on the left. When I mentioned some things still need to be dialed in and improved
6 before opening, that's one of the areas where we've got a lot of bare spots that we prefer
7 to have vegetated. We really struggled with that. It didn't go as planned. As I was
8 talking it over with the potential new contractor, they were saying, "This is really an area
9 you've got to have dialed in before you have play. As people hit into those areas,
10 tracking mud back and forth, you can't have it." We're still working on a game plan to
11 resolve that. You can see some of our bunkers here next to some native areas. The
12 greens are really top notch. One of the most important parts of a golf course is the
13 greens, without a doubt. Across the board, everyone who interviewed with us said those
14 facilities, the pro shop and the café, are not up to standard, but the greens are fantastic,
15 top notch. It was great to hear that we got that part right, which is the most critical part of
16 a golf course. Thanks very much, Adam.

17 Chair Reckdahl: Are the greens undulating or are they just sloped?

18 Mr. Anderson: There are a couple with some slight tiers and a little bit of slope to make
19 it a little challenging. There's something for the more experienced golfer as well.

20 Commissioner LaMere: Daren, just a very quick question. As far as pricing is going to
21 go for the course, is that set by the City or is that going to be set by the vendor who's
22 running the course?

23 Mr. Anderson: Good question. It's set by the City. We're doing a lot of conferring with
24 them. We've got \$11.9 million in debt to pay off, so it's critical we get that pricing right.
25 There's a lot of back and forth right now as well with the golf course advisory committee.
26 We reached out to that board and got their feedback. They had some very strong feelings
27 about what the pricing should be. Marrying those two, how do we pay off the debt, how
28 do make sure we're profitable while still catering to the Palo Alto resident, catering to our
29 seniors, making sure we're economically viable is not easy. We're going to try and get
30 that right, try to keep working. The contractor we're looking at has a lot of experience.
31 They're very adept at that. They're good consultants working with us to figure it out.

32 Vice Chair Moss: Question. Are you going to have a big party or open house or
33 something like that in April when you let the public in or are you just going to leak it in?

34 Mr. Anderson: No, we're not going for the slow leak. It would be a grand opening and
35 really make an affair of it.

36 Chair Reckdahl: Do you have anything to add or is that ...

1 Ms. O'Kane: That's it for our Department Report.

2 Chair Reckdahl: Thank you. Now, we move on to business.

3 **V. BUSINESS:**

4 **1. Approval of Draft Minutes from the November 28, 2017 Parks and**
5 **Recreation Commission meeting.**

6 Approval of the draft November 28, 2017 Minutes was moved by Commissioner
7 Greenfield and seconded by Vice Chair Moss. Passed 6-0, Cribbs absent

8 **2. Amendment of Dog Rules Revision and Approval.**

9 Chair Reckdahl: The next item is amendment of dog rules. This is actually an action
10 item, so we'll be voting on the items. If there are any deficiencies, we should correct
11 them before the voting. Daren.

12 Mr. Anderson: Daren Anderson, Open Space, Parks, and Golf. Thank you. We're here
13 tonight to seek your recommendation to Council to amend the City's Parks and Open
14 Space Regulations R-132 to update the City dog park rules. At last month's meeting, I
15 explained that we were updating these rules in anticipation of getting ready to open up
16 Peers dog park or at least start construction on it. We wanted to be prepared with
17 updating these rules, which haven't been updated in many, many years, including making
18 Peers Park one of the places you can bring your dog off-leash. We discussed some of the
19 proposed changes to the rules at the last Commission meeting. The Commission was
20 generally supportive of it. There was a public comment, a concern that we might have
21 been off base a little bit with our weight limit. At this dog park at Peers, we'll have a
22 large dog or open dog area, and a small dog section. There was a comment that maybe
23 we were off base a little on that. Part of the ad hoc met with the Superintendent of
24 Animal Services and my staff, and we talked through some of the rules again just to make
25 sure what he thought. The Superintendent shared some good information with me. The
26 key was that Palo Alto actually has a very large population of toy dogs. They're in that
27 less than 20-pound range. He really thought it would be wise to lower the weight limit on
28 that smaller dog section to 25 pounds or less. We checked with both the ad hoc
29 committee and the original stakeholders who helped us draft these proposed rule changes.
30 They all concurred that that made good sense. There was one other change. In the
31 original draft rules I shared with you, there was a proposed rule about reporting dog bites
32 to Animal Services. We discussed that a little bit with the Superintendent. There was
33 some back and forth on whether that is beneficial or whether we make it a little bit
34 broader by just listing the animal control number at the bottom of the rules sign if
35 anything important comes up. Ultimately, we settled on making it the Palo Alto
36 communications line. It's a little bit broader; they can get directly to an animal control

1 officer if they need to. If it ends up being a call for something else, which is often the
2 case, they can go to the appropriate group. I think we got that one right especially with
3 the assistance of the ad hoc and the Superintendent of Animal Services. Once again, I did
4 share that with our two stakeholders who have been really instrumental in helping. They
5 both bought into those changes. Anything from the ad hoc to share on that one,
6 Commissioner McCauley?

7 Commissioner McCauley: (inaudible)

8 Mr. Anderson: Thanks very much. That concludes my presentation on the proposed
9 changes.

10 Chair Reckdahl: Thank you. We have no public comment on this. Do you want to go
11 through any comments?

12 Commissioner McCauley: Just what I said. Thanks again, Daren, for making sure this
13 gets tied out. Hopefully with the opening of the new dog park at Peers Park, we'll have
14 these new rules in place as well.

15 Chair Reckdahl: These rules have to be approved by Council or just us?

16 Mr. Anderson: They have to be approved by Council.

17 Chair Reckdahl: If we wanted to change that weight limit a year from now, we would
18 have to amend it and then send it back to Council, and Council would have to (crosstalk).

19 Mr. Anderson: That's correct. It's not uncommon to make tweaks to the Municipal Code
20 or definitely the Park Rules and Regulations.

21 Vice Chair Moss: I was on the Island of Kauai, and we discovered they have fantastic
22 dog parks, fantastic ones. Little dogs separated from big dogs. Fantastic. They have dog
23 park rules. Some of the rules that they have and that are not on our list you might want to
24 consider now or in the future. One is no spike, prong, or pinch collars, but dogs must
25 wear a collar. Puppies under 4 months, dogs in heat, and dogs with parasites, open
26 wounds, or in ill health are not allowed. Closely monitor unneutered males. The last one
27 that we don't have, if your dog gets into a fight, exchange contact information and leave
28 the park. You are responsible for any injury caused by your dog. The last one that we
29 don't have is bring children at your own risk. Children under 10 must be accompanied by
30 an adult. Do any of those resonate as ones that we would need?

31 Mr. Anderson: Good questions. We've got a few of those. We've got the children.
32 We've got one about aggressive dogs, which covers a lot of that. When I did my first
33 iteration, I had tried to pull as many as I can as a starter conversation. There was
34 definitely a feeling amongst the ad hoc and our stakeholders that it might be a little

1 overkill and that we didn't need quite so many. A more pithy list might be beneficial.
2 We've culled out ones like the old one that had a requirement for a muzzle on dogs. We
3 thought aggressive dogs covers that and more in a simpler, better way. A lot of those we
4 culled out through the process. That said, if the Commission felt we should entertain
5 tweaking it to include some of that, we certainly could. Our stakeholder group thought
6 we got it right. I originally had many of those and more on my first iteration.

7 Commissioner McCauley: Just to affirm what Daren just said. He did, I think, have
8 probably everything you just mentioned. The thought was to try and, as he said,
9 streamline the list as much as possible. The one that stood out to me that perhaps we
10 didn't talk about before was the prong collars. I wonder whether it wouldn't make sense
11 perhaps to add just to the sentence that provides dogs must be licensed, vaccinated,
12 wearing a collar with ID and license tag, no prong collars or something like that after
13 that. The reason being that, I think, some people who actually have their dogs in those
14 prong collars don't realize that, when dogs are playing with each other, another dog can
15 be injured by one of those prong collars. I think everything else we had discussed.
16 Daren, if we did have a discussion about the prong collar, then please remind me.

17 Chair Reckdahl: What's the feeling on Commission about prong collars? Do you want to
18 include that in the list?

19 Commissioner McDougall: I agree with what Ryan said.

20 Commissioner Greenfield: What would the proposed wording be then?

21 Commissioner McCauley: At that second bullet, we could either just change the period
22 into a semi-colon and say "no prong collars" or you could have a new sentence that says
23 "no prong collars" or "remove any prong collars from dogs before entering the exercise
24 area." Something along those lines.

25 Commissioner McDougall: Or must have a collar except prong collars.

26 Commissioner McCauley: I think any of those would be fine.

27 Chair Reckdahl: My preference would be "no prong collars" after that. Are people
28 happy with that?

29 Commissioner McCauley: Yeah. Let us know ...

30 Commissioner Greenfield: "Prong collars are not allowed."

31 Chair Reckdahl: I'm happy with that.

1 Commissioner Greenfield: As an additional sentence on the second bullet item or as a
2 separate bullet item?

3 Chair Reckdahl: I would do it right after the collar, just like he said. A new sentence,
4 and say "prong collars not allowed."

5 Commissioner McCauley: I would perhaps say "prong collars should be removed."
6 Many times people walk their dogs with those prong collars because it helps them to
7 control the dog. It makes it clear that once you get into that exercise area, that's when
8 you're supposed to make sure that your dog is free of that prong collar.

9 Commissioner Greenfield: We're saying the dog must wear a collar with an ID and
10 license tag. We need to maybe keep it together. You're saying no prong collar, but you
11 still have to have a collar on. That's what we're trying to convey.

12 Commissioner McCauley: That's a fair point too. I think most everyone who uses one of
13 those prong collars doesn't actually have the ID on the prong collar, but it's possible that
14 some one could. It's a fair point.

15 Chair Reckdahl: A prong collar is not allowed in the dog park or a prong collar is not
16 allowed. What do you need for clarification?

17 Commissioner McCauley: It's fine to say even more simply "no prong collars."

18 Chair Reckdahl: Are you happy with "no prong collars"?

19 Commissioner Greenfield: Sure. Maybe we want to put that before we state that a dog
20 must be wearing a collar.

21 Commissioner McCauley: That's fair enough. Frankly, where it says wearing a collar,
22 you'd probably have a parenthetical, which is "no prong collars."

23 Commissioner Greenfield: "Prong collars not allowed" in parenthesis would be cleanest.
24 Let's get through this.

25 Chair Reckdahl: You want to make a motion to amend that?

26 Commissioner McCauley: I'm happy to. If there's any other discussion?

27 Commissioner McDougall: I have two questions. Likewise, I was impressed by David's
28 notes and list. Do we cover the open wounds in what we say?

29 Commissioner McCauley: I'm sorry. Say that again?

1 Commissioner McDougall: Do we cover the open wound issue? What Commissioner
2 Moss read out was if the dog has an open wound, it shouldn't be there. I don't know if we
3 cover that, and I don't know how serious dog people consider that.

4 Commissioner McCauley: We don't cover that presently. Again, Daren please correct
5 me if I get this incorrect or if my memory fades on me. It was more particular to the
6 conversation about female dogs that are not spayed. Essentially, most dog owners are
7 pretty thoughtful, and they're looking out for their own dog certainly. I think it would be
8 a fairly rare circumstance that a dog with an open wound would actually be brought to a
9 park because they might be the most vulnerable animal in that setting. The feedback
10 from the stakeholders was that it didn't seem like a particularly significant issue that
11 needed to be called out specifically. Is that right?

12 Mr. Anderson: That's correct.

13 Commissioner McDougall: I'm okay with that. I had the question but not a strong
14 opinion. My other comment maybe seems silly. Somehow moving it from 25 to 35
15 pounds—Daren made the point that we have an awful lot of toy dogs. I don't know what
16 toy dogs are, but it seems to me that they're less than 25 pounds. Twenty-five and 35
17 seem arbitrary numbers. This may not sound correct. If you said 22 1/2 or something, it
18 would cause people to think more about what really is the weight as opposed to is this a
19 big dog or a little dog. I don't know how much it matters. Daren's comment that there is
20 a lot of toy dogs makes me concerned. What does the ad hoc think about that?

21 Commissioner McCauley: Not to speak for Anne, I kind of agree with you it's somewhat
22 arbitrary. What's really driving this is would a dog that is 35 pounds interacting with a
23 dog that's 5 pounds potentially cause more significant injury or would there be a more
24 significant risk of injury to the small dog. Whereas, a dog that's 25 pounds and a dog
25 that's 5 pounds, you're getting closer to the same weight. When dogs are rolling over or
26 playing or whatever else, there's less significant risk of injury. That's all that's really
27 driving it. You're right 25 is a round number. Could it be 24? Sure.

28 Commissioner McDougall: I was looking for a number that made people think more
29 about it than arbitrary. If you think a 25-pound dog isn't going to kill a 5-pound dog,
30 fine.

31 Chair Reckdahl: Were you poking at that you thought 25 was too big or you just thought
32 ...

33 Commissioner McDougall: I actually think 25 is too big. I'm worried that we're still on
34 the high side. I don't know how small these toy dogs are, but they're less than 10 pounds,
35 I think, in a lot of cases. I don't know. We could leave it the way it is and just simply
36 make a note or comment that it needs to be watched and aware of. It's already been

1 commented that we could change this again later if we had to. It might be a laborious
2 process to change it, but we should just be aware.

3 Chair Reckdahl: The good news is that we have experience with dog parks. The bad
4 news is we don't have any small dog parks. This is a learning opportunity. My
5 preference would be ...

6 Commissioner McCauley: Just the flip side—I'm sorry. I don't mean to cut you off,
7 Keith. The flip side is by having the limit at 25 pounds, it means that a 26-pound dog
8 now has to be in the large dog area playing with up to 100 or 150-pound dogs. There are
9 some really large dogs out there. That's the other side of the equation. In the first
10 instance, that's why we thought 35 might be the right limit, so that people in that 25-35-
11 pound range had more flexibility.

12 Chair Reckdahl: If there's a 30-pound dog that's wimpy, they'll probably put it in the
13 small dog park, and it'll probably be happy.

14 Commissioner McCauley: I think that's absolutely right. There's a practicality. We're
15 not going to be out there with a scale.

16 **AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED RULES:**

17 Chair Reckdahl: My preference would be to go with 25 and see how it works. If we get
18 feedback that that's not working, we can adjust that. We still have to make the motion for
19 adding the parenthetical on the second bullet. After wearing a collar, we'll put "(prong
20 collars not allowed) with ID and license tag." You got that, Daren?

21 Mr. Anderson: Yep, got it.

22 Chair Reckdahl: Let's vote on that amendment.

23 Commissioner McDougall: I'll second Ryan's motion as such.

24 Chair Reckdahl: Let's vote on that amendment. All in favor. Opposed. That passes [6-
25 0, Cribbs absent].

26 Commissioner McDougall: I would invite Ryan to move that we ...

27 **MOTION:**

28 Commissioner McCauley: I move the approval of this action item to amend Parks and
29 Open Space Regulation R-132 to update the City's dog park rules.

30 Commissioner McDougall: I would happily second Ryan's motion.

1 Chair Reckdahl: All in favor. Opposed. Unanimous [6-0, Cribbs absent]. Very good.
2 Thank you, Daren.

3 **3. Cubberley Temporary Lighting Update.**

4 Chair Reckdahl: Next is Cubberley temporary lighting update. Adam Howard is here.
5 I'm excited about the potential for this project. It could work out well. It also is a trial
6 project. If it goes up poorly, we just don't continue it. I view only the up side.

7 Adam Howard: Good evening, Commission. Adam Howard, Community Services
8 Manager with the City of Palo Alto. I'm joined here by Neal Aronson from the Palo Alto
9 Soccer Club, who is going to go over a proposal that we have on the table to put
10 temporary lights on the Cubberley turf field. Excuse me; I'm coming down with
11 something so I'll struggle through this a little bit. Just a little bit of background for you.
12 During Daylight Savings Time, when we have more dark hours, we have a shortage of lit
13 turf field, lit fields in general. We currently have three lit fields: one at El Camino
14 soccer fields and two at the Mayfield Sports Complex. Those fields Monday through
15 Friday are booked 4:00-10:00 solid. There is no additional openings for those fields.
16 The crunch becomes even more severe mostly the January through March time period
17 where it's darker sooner. At this point, I'm going to pass it over to Neal, who's going to
18 go over the proposal with you, and then we'll go over some of the details.

19 Neal Aronson: Good evening. Thank you for your time again. I appreciate the
20 opportunity. As you know, Palo Alto Soccer Club is a local, youth soccer, competitive
21 league. We've been in the City for 40 years, actually this is our 40th year anniversary.
22 We have about 1,000 members in the club, call it 1,500 parents, plus or minus, as
23 residents of Palo Alto. We're one of several clubs in the community including the
24 Stanford Soccer Club, PSV Union, the adult men's league, and AYSO, all of whom
25 struggle day in and day out with field issues in the City. We're excited to see if this is an
26 opportunity to provide a few more slots, as Adam mentioned, in some of the more critical
27 periods of the year when we have less light and less fields available. Per our last session
28 when I was here in October, we presented the idea of putting forth rented light structures.
29 They're a mobile trailer, battery powered, solar powered with a backup generator out on
30 the Cubberley turf field, ringing the field with four, potentially six, lights. I was hoping
31 for a light plan from the vendor today. It didn't come through, but I can provide that as
32 soon as we receive it. For a period of time, plus or minus 3-4 weeks depending on how
33 quickly we can get an approval from this body and any other bodies or Commissions or
34 Council that might need to approve the idea, for 3-plus hours probably 5:15 to 8:00, 8:30,
35 the idea is we'd shut it down about 8:15—shut down practice, I should say, give the
36 teams 15 minutes to get off the field, and then the lights would go off. You have a copy
37 of our proposal in front of you, I believe. It does have some of the specs of the towers
38 and the trailers. Happy to answer any questions as well.

1 Chair Reckdahl: Are there copies in the back for the public?

2 Mr. Howard: Yes, there are.

3 Chair Reckdahl: Thank you.

4 Mr. Aronson: They're fully self-contained with wireless connection to cellular
5 connection so they can be managed both by timer onboard as well as remote connection
6 if need be. The vendor that we're talking to has a 24-hour technical service. They can
7 come out and attend to the lights if they've been somehow triggered on. They can also be
8 removed if there's vandalism or something that happens. We've put together a very rough
9 map as to where the trailers would be located on the field. It's right on the border of the
10 track and the turf roughly. There may be some impediment to using the track if you're
11 running around jogging. We do plan to work with staff to put up cones or rubber
12 bumpers as necessary to make sure nobody runs into them and gets hurt. The vendor
13 does carry insurance for liability and personal injury and so forth. What else can I tell
14 you? Like I said, there is a lighting plan that will be forthcoming to show members of the
15 Commission and staff how much light they put out and where it will potentially reach.
16 We don't believe there will be a lot of light pollution getting into the neighborhood. The
17 lights are very well targeted. You can direct them exactly where you need to put them.
18 We are planning a public outreach effort, should this Commission give us the go ahead to
19 move this project forward. What you see up there is a rough outline. This is just my
20 work here. We're open to additional input on how extensive we should conduct an
21 outreach. This is a quick outline of the homes immediately adjacent to Cubberley on—I
22 believe that's the south side and then the west side. We would outreach with door-to-
23 door visits to each one of those homes, share with them our idea. Interestingly enough, a
24 number of the homes are actually households that have kids in our club. We've already
25 spoken to a few of them, and everybody seems to be fairly supportive understanding that
26 we would, of course, have the lights off by 8:30. It's not an all-night party by any means.
27 The other idea is that we would conduct all of—we would instruct all of our teams to
28 drop off and pick up in the parking lot of Cubberley so that there is no additional traffic
29 on Nelson or Fern. We know that's a big concern of many of the residents, that it
30 becomes a parking lot at times. We would instruct our players and parents to use the
31 parking lot instead. What else can I—I think that's about all.

32 Mr. Howard: Just some important aspects of this plan that I want to make sure we talk
33 about a little bit. These units are safe. They have locked control boxes, so there won't be
34 an issue of somebody coming on the field and trying to turn on the lights at midnight or
35 something that. They can be controlled wirelessly, which is super important. He talked a
36 little bit about it, but there's a 24-hour service provided with these. If there was
37 something that happened, we could get somebody to come out quickly. Again, the
38 company and the Palo Alto Soccer Club will take on the liability of these lights being out

1 on the fields. Again, just touching on the fact that we'd be requesting all pick up/drop
2 offs to take place at the Cubberley parking lot. If you could talk about this a little bit, the
3 fact that these are solar. The information we've gotten is that they probably will never
4 kick on the generator.

5 Mr. Aronson: That's right. In our conversations with the vendor, we've learned that with
6 as little as 5 hours of direct sunlight—that's a bright, sunny day—they can power the
7 lights for 24 hours. We're talking about 3 hours. We don't expect the generator will kick
8 in at all during the entire trial period which, of course, eliminates all noise and emissions
9 from the diesel generator. We expect them to be nice and quiet and clean the entire time.

10 Chair Reckdahl: I have a question for Adam or maybe for Daren. Do you want to go to
11 the map slide, the slide with the map on it? We have a standard when we have a park
12 improvement that—is it a half mile or quarter mile from the park we notify people?
13 What is that standard?

14 Mr. Anderson: I believe it's 600 feet.

15 Mr. Howard: For the City's perspective, when we have a public meeting, it will also be
16 the Greenmeadow association. We'll probably cast a little bit larger net.

17 Chair Reckdahl: If you err, I want to err on making it too big. Sometimes people feel
18 like they're impacted, and they haven't been asked.

19 Mr. Howard: When the City does their public meeting, it will be a larger net than just
20 within those red lines.

21 Mr. Aronson: This is just what we suggested might be a door-to-door canvassing. If the
22 City requests us to expand that, we're open to doing that. We have a lot of potential
23 volunteers that we could ask to walk the streets.

24 Chair Reckdahl: We have no public comment on this. We can start with the
25 Commissioners. David, do you want to start?

26 Vice Chair Moss: I'm one of the near neighbors. I think I am two houses away from the
27 red line. We use the track every day. I want to make sure that—I didn't realize that you
28 were lighting the oval. I thought you were lighting the rest of the field over on the other
29 side of the big lawn. This is the first time I've heard it was going to be the oval. The
30 main question I have—I have three. One is when you put the lights and they extend onto
31 the track, people run the track. Those cones, you might want something more substantial
32 like a little fence or something like that all the way around that is going to be a foot in or
33 however far the footprint is, and not this little thing around it. It should be a solid line
34 around the track. If you have to put cones, I would put cones all the way around, and not

1 this little thing around the unit itself. That's my first suggestion. Second is that the lights
2 on the south side are—there are a lot of trees. In fact, there are trees on three sides. I'm
3 very happy to hear that the 5 hours of sunlight will power it for 20 hours. We only have
4 to power it for 3 hours. It'll be interesting to see if you even get no direct sunlight for
5 those ones on the south side, will it still power for 3 hours. If they could do a test—if
6 your vendor could do a test ahead of time and see, if you never get direct sunlight for
7 those two or three on the south side, will you still be able to use those lights. The third
8 thing is that if the lights—I was driving by Palo Alto High School. I saw the lights on for
9 the football field. They're much bigger, much brighter. They definitely do not go—they
10 point straight down onto the field. Of course, we want to see the lighting plan to make
11 sure that the lights go straight down onto the field and not into the backyards of those
12 houses. We're looking forward to seeing that lighting plan next time, at least for the
13 public meeting. I'm hoping that we never have to use the generators. I don't know what
14 68 decibels sounds like at 35 feet. Of course, if we never have to use the generators, that
15 would be great. I don't know how you can—you're going to get that question in the
16 public meeting. The last thing is thank you very much for addressing the drop off and
17 pick up so that people are not making a zoo out there on Nelson in the dark. It is a real
18 problem. That's all I had.

19 Commissioner Greenfield: Thank you. I think this is a very interesting and creative plan
20 to address a community resource issue. I think you've been very thorough, as thorough as
21 you can be in the preliminary presentation and trying to address the potential community
22 needs. In the proposal that you gave to us, you listed specific dates that you're looking to
23 run a trial. Given some of the uncertainty—I understand ideally you'd like to run the trial
24 for the month of February, basically 4 weeks in February. Given constraints and
25 approvals required, there are uncertainties. I'd suggest changing—not listing specific
26 dates that you want to run the trial for, but saying 3 weeks or go big and say 4 weeks
27 starting sometime in February, then you have a little bit more leeway there. I agree that
28 focusing on drop off and pick up in the Cubberley parking lot is important. I have heard
29 some comments from the neighborhood about how you would actually enforce that. You
30 may need to consider having someone monitoring that. It would be easy for some people
31 to cheat on it, so to speak, and that would risk the ire of the neighborhood who you're
32 trying to cooperate with. Otherwise, I think it's a great plan to put out to the
33 neighborhood. I think we need to be very thorough and proactive in reaching out to the
34 Greenmeadow community and perhaps doing the community meeting in their community
35 center on their turf, so to speak. Look forward to seeing if there's a way we can make all
36 parties happier. Thank you.

37 Commissioner McDougall: I'd just like to compliment Adam—compliment both of you
38 in terms of responding to what the ad hoc committee meeting had. The new document
39 addresses several of the comments we made. Several of the comments that
40 Commissioner Moss made have been considered and are part of the conversation, not



1 necessarily covered in here, particularly the parking. I know you're sensitive to that.
2 That's important. Whatever sport we're talking about, the lack of lit fields or lit courts or
3 whatever it may be in a community that seems to be incredibly active or is incredibly
4 active, anything we can do to address that. This is a trial, and it's a worthy trial. Thank
5 you for your efforts.

6 Commissioner McCauley: Let me add that I also think it's great that you're presenting
7 this trial. It's a really good thing to think creatively about how we can better utilize our
8 park spaces. This is a great way to think about doing that. Well done in that respect. I
9 have two questions. The first is—this is a 4-week trial. What happens after March of
10 next year? What's the long-term goal?

11 Mr. Howard: The reason we picked March 11th is the time change takes place. We have
12 the later hours. As we've discussed this, we really do see this as the long-term goal is
13 maybe allowing this short window to take place every year. Although lighting those
14 fields permanently will be great, the resources make that seem like a conversation that
15 doesn't necessarily need to take place right now. Really, the long-term goal is to allow
16 this to happen every year for a 1-month window.

17 Commissioner McCauley: What about other field users? Are they going to be presenting
18 the same sorts of—not necessarily just the Cubberley field. Are they going to be
19 presenting similar proposals for other areas?

20 Mr. Howard: The only reason I think staff or the ad hoc is willing to look at this specific
21 proposal is because it's turf. Really only turf fields could handle the increased play of
22 lights. A proposal to light grass is just not something we would consider because the
23 grass couldn't handle it. In terms of this specific proposal, at least some of the committee
24 feels that, because they're putting in all the foot work, financing all the lights, proposed
25 the idea, we will let them use this time specifically for Palo Alto Soccer Club. If it's
26 something that works, in the future time that we promote we'll broker it as if it were any
27 other field spot.

28 Commissioner McCauley: Really what is driving my question is that we're setting a
29 precedent potentially. I want to fully understand what that precedent means. The second
30 question I had is more a technical one. If you had more lighting units, would it actually
31 allow you to lower the height of each of the light booms so that you had shorter towers?
32 Have you considered that? It looks as though you've come to the conclusion that four
33 towers is the right number for this particular field. I'm interested to know whether or not
34 there are options in order to get these lights as low as possible.

35 Mr. Aronson: The towers are about 25 feet tall, which is obviously much smaller than
36 the lighting tower that you would see on a permanent structure. In conversations with the
37 vendor—they're probably the best one to answer this, but I'm going to take a swing at it.

1 My sense is if you added more, you potentially could reduce the height, but you do start
2 to run into issues with the lights being in the field of play. It could cause some problems
3 for the players who are out there practicing, ball's flying and gets blinded by the light.
4 The other issue that Adam alluded to is these are not cheap structures. We have to rent
5 them for the entire month. Even though we're only using them for what would be about
6 12 hours a week, we have to pay for the entire month. We've figured out a way to
7 amortize that almost down to the player, and it works with four, possibly six units. If we
8 were to have to put more units out there, it might make it uneconomical. We haven't
9 looked at that carefully. We want to try to keep this as low cost to our members as
10 possible.

11 Commissioner McCauley: I absolutely appreciate that. That's a very thoughtful
12 response. Here's what's driving the question in this respect. To the extent the proposal
13 can be somewhat adaptable, if there are objections about light leakage, for lack of a better
14 term, light pollution I guess, it could potentially be addressed by either repositioning the
15 units or having more units at lower heights or whatever else. I think that's what's
16 important, you can be adaptable within this proposal and respond to feedback once the
17 lights are actually out there.

18 Commissioner LaMere: I like the fact that we're pursuing this idea and appreciate the leg
19 work that the club has done to get this project going. I also have a concern—I haven't
20 been out to this Cubberley field. Looking at the plan, David's comment about where the
21 lights will be place. If they're not placed on the track, it looks to me that they would need
22 to be placed very close to the corners of the soccer field. Is that correct? I guess the
23 concern I would have is the liability. I don't know whose liability it would be. How
24 close to the field? The structure itself looks a little bit menacing in terms of if a player
25 were to run into it chasing a ball or somebody chasing a ball, padding or safety of the
26 player or safety of a runner with this structure.

27 Mr. Howard: That is actually why some of it has to overhang onto the track. We need it
28 to be 8 feet from the playing field. We are talking with them to provide a thick foam
29 bumper system, so there's no running into a corner, if you happen to do that. We are
30 considering Commissioner Moss'—where we block off the entire 2-foot inner circle of
31 the track to prevent people from getting right next to it.

32 Mr. Aronson: One other note I want to make is that we won't be playing games. This is
33 not for game play. Practices are a much more controlled environment. Coaches limit
34 where the players go, what they do, what the drill is, and so forth and so on. Even though
35 we're thinking to avoid the actual field lines and so forth, it's probably not out of the
36 question that we have some flexibility. If we needed to avoid the track, we could move
37 them in. We would then limit where the players and the teams would be practicing
38 specifically. Again, this is purely for practice. If you've ever had a chance to observe

1 one of our practices or probably really any competitive youth soccer practice, they're very
2 structured environments. The timing and place and movement of the players is pretty
3 regimented. There's not a lot of running after an errant ball, not looking where you're
4 going and potentially running into something.

5 Commissioner LaMere: My other concern would be the noise of the generators. I see
6 where and heard where you said you hope that these can run without the generators based
7 on the solar. Will we have someone who is—since we're doing a pilot project, are we
8 having someone who is gathering data or is there someone who's accountable for some of
9 these actions that we've talked about? I don't know who it was. Maybe Commissioner
10 Greenfield brought up the pick up for example. How are we monitoring and how are we
11 going to gather feedback based on this? If a generator does start up, are we going to note
12 that? A generator ran for 10 or 15 minutes, and that's going to impose this hardship or
13 that's going to be annoying to these people. If we're going to do this pilot project to be
14 able to gather information, is someone from the club or someone from our Staff—I don't
15 know—responsible for this?

16 [Commissioner McCauley left the meeting at approximately 8:00 p.m.]

17 Mr. Howard: I think it's going to be a universal approach. Staff will need to send people
18 out to see how the situation is working. We're going to be very open to the community
19 members of a specific way they can provide feedback, certain contact information that
20 they can provide feedback to Staff. Obviously the club will be there day in and day out,
21 so they'll know how it's working. I think it'll be up to staff and community to make sure
22 it's running smoothly.

23 Mr. Aronson: As I understand it, with all things wireless and electronic these days, it
24 logs its own activity. The vendor will know how many hours or minutes the generator
25 ran and so forth and so on.

26 Commissioner LaMere: Great. Good luck. Excited to have this project go forward and
27 see how it turns out.

28 Vice Chair Moss: One more comment on what was just said. The corners, is there any
29 way instead of putting it on the track to put it over on the end zone? There's a whole lot
30 of space between the end zone and the track. Is that better? I don't know how heavy it is
31 and whether it would cause a dent in the turf if you put it on the grass itself as opposed to
32 being right on that corner. Just a thought.

33 Mr. Howard: We certainly will address keeping no divots, which will require them to put
34 out thin plywood sheets. They won't drive on the track or the field. They will be going
35 along these plywood planks. They will rest on the plywood planks to prevent damage to

1 turf or track. Neal, maybe you can address a little bit why it's not the best idea to have
2 them straight on or if it is okay.

3 Mr. Aronson: We're actually open to placements to minimize impact on the track and so
4 forth. The vendor thought it would be appropriate to put it on a corner. In further
5 discussions with them, they seem to be relatively okay. In the end, we want to get it out
6 there and see what it looks like and try to find the right spot, a little trial and error to
7 make sure we're minimizing impact and providing the best benefit.

8 Chair Reckdahl: I too am concerned about damaging the turf and damaging the track.
9 This is going to be trial and error. We're going to learn from this. I'm looking forward to
10 doing this and getting the trial under our belts and see what we learn. We are going to
11 learn a lot from this. This is the first time we've done this, both the solar power and also
12 just portable lights. I would say that we should get the community outreach sooner rather
13 than later. I would not want to wait too long because we want enough window so that, if
14 there are actions or mitigations we have to do, we want some time before the next
15 meeting. At the next meeting, we have to vote to get it on to the Council. We really do
16 have to be crisp about this. Greenmeadow community center is currently remodeling
17 right now. We would probably have to move it to either Cubberley or Mitchell Park, but
18 we've got a lot of options for that. I agree having the meeting there as opposed to the
19 north end of town makes a lot of sense.

20 Commissioner Greenfield: I just had some follow-ups. Adam, you mentioned the long-
21 term goal would be to have these lights running for 1 month per year. My understanding
22 is that the goal might be broader than that. We have an issue during the Daylight Savings
23 months, and probably the most critical need months would be November, when the youth
24 teams are still playing, until the Thanksgiving holidays and also in February and March,
25 when they're ramping up for the spring season. Neal, do you have comments on that?

26 Mr. Aronson: I think that's right. If this works and the neighborhood is supportive or
27 perhaps not opposed, we would probably like to expand it for a couple months, to your
28 point, to November when we first lose the light and February just before the change.
29 Let's see how it goes. We're open to working with the community and the Commission to
30 see what can work best. At this point, any additional field resource is better than what
31 we've got now.

32 Commissioner Greenfield: To that end, while I understand there is not intention to play
33 games at night under the lights, the fields will still be used for game play during the day
34 when the lights will be there. We need to make sure the lights are sufficiently out of the
35 field of play. As David suggested, I think we should look to potentially move the lights
36 beyond the end lines a safe distance away. That will also simplify the issue of impact on
37 the running track. I don't really like the idea of having a coned-off area all the way
38 around the field. I don't think that's pragmatic on the dirt track, particularly during rainy



1 months. I think we want some bulb-outs around the center light structures. We certainly
2 want to keep things safe, but let's minimize what we do there.

3 Chair Reckdahl: One thing I would add with that is if we're not playing games there,
4 then we really don't have to have the classic lineup where you have to worry about the
5 lights getting in your eyes. You can move your practice drills to be oriented relative to
6 the lights as opposed to orienting the lights relative to the field.

7 Commissioner Greenfield: Definitely let's log the generator time. I'm assuming the
8 equipment should be able to do that. Let's plan on getting a report on that. That'll be
9 very useful feedback. That's all I've got. Thank you.

10 Commissioner McDougall: Just quickly, I want to reinforce let's not just collect the data.
11 Let's anticipate how we're going to present the data. Too often we think about how the
12 presentation is after the fact when we didn't collect the right data. Let's make sure we
13 know of that. Relative to the comment about coning off around the track, the ad hoc did
14 spend a lot of time with Adam, Neal, and Daren. It was an initial proposal to cone it off
15 all the way around. I do think in the end properly structured bulb-outs, not just cones,
16 was the conclusion that the ad hoc had. I think they're willing to consider that. The
17 whole idea of putting down plywood as Daren suggested to make sure we're not
18 damaging the field is really important. Sooner rather than later is important. As we do
19 the sooner, Jeff's suggestion of let's make sure we're being honest about the ambitions so
20 we're not saying it would just be 1 month a year. We should be admitting that maybe we
21 need to look at the full opportunity. I want to reinforce we've continued to say thank you,
22 Neal, for the effort you're putting into this. We should also make sure it's clear thank you
23 for the offer and the plan to actually pay for this. You're not asking the City to do this;
24 your organization is offering to not just do the work but fund it. Thank you for that.

25 Commissioner Greenfield: One more thing I'll throw out. This may be a benefit to the
26 night joggers who may enjoy running around the track that's lit up. We might actually
27 get some mileage out of that, so to speak.

28 Chair Reckdahl: I can see that. From a security standpoint, it's going to be a lot nicer
29 running around a lit track than a dark track. Thank you. We should get community
30 outreach as soon as we can so we can be crisp on the date and move on. Thank you.

31 **4. Pickleball Update Informational Report**

32 Chair Reckdahl: Next up is pickleball. That is Adam Howard. Do we have any public
33 comment on pickleball? No public comment.

34 Mr. Howard: Adam Howard, Community Services Manager with the City of Palo Alto.
35 We're here to give an update on the proposed project at Mitchell Park tennis courts. This

1 proposal is to possibly look at restriping tennis courts at Mitchell to designate to
2 pickleball. The reason we are looking into this is we've recognized pickleball is a
3 growing sport. We've definitely seen an increase in demand in Palo Alto specifically.
4 Currently, pickleball is using Mitchell courts 5, 6, and 7 on a first-come-first-serve basis
5 because it's been available. Pickleball's partnered with the City of Palo Alto to offer
6 some weekday classes through our Enjoy! catalog. The City is interested in helping
7 continue that growth and providing some space for them. This is just an overlay. The
8 courts on the left are 1-4, and the courts on the right are 5, 6, 7 which are closest to the
9 Magical Bridge Playground. This is an overlay of what we're considering to be an
10 additional tennis court built just outside of courts 1-4. It would be just to the right,
11 currently where the handball walls are placed. We would be considering placing an
12 additional tennis court there.

13 Chair Reckdahl: That would replace the handball court?

14 Mr. Howard: Right. On this image on the left side of the tennis court, we would replace
15 another wall, so there would be space for handball play as well, not as much but there
16 would be an additional space on the outside of that court where people could continue to
17 play handball.

18 Chair Reckdahl: Where on the outside?

19 Mr. Howard: On the left side of this court, that area will be cleared and flattened. There
20 would be a playing surface along the outside fence line.

21 Chair Reckdahl: You actually would have a court there, a handball court?

22 Mr. Howard: Right. Utilizing the tennis court fence.

23 Commissioner Greenfield: It would just be a wall, not a handball court.

24 Mr. Howard: Right, just a wall.

25 Chair Reckdahl: I was going to say that gets heavily used. People hit tennis balls against
26 that all the time. I would want to make sure we have a wall somewhere in the park that
27 they could use.

28 Mr. Howard: This is an overlay of courts 5, 6, and 7 with currently pictured 12 pickleball
29 courts that could fit into that space. Since I've passed the staff report, we've actually had
30 the opportunity to meet with stakeholder groups. The pickleball stakeholders basically
31 really enjoy the fact that we're considering designated space. They really appreciate the
32 availability to give input and would like to be a further part of the details of that design
33 and a willingness to fund some additional items that they think would make the space
34 perfect for pickleball. The drawback for this proposal is that there are currently two light

1 poles situated on the tennis courts that we cannot remove with the current funding
2 available. They're in an odd location; unfortunately, we can't take them off—we could
3 take them out, but we couldn't replace them, so there would be light issues. I met with
4 the tennis ad hoc committee, who is not as thrilled with the idea. The good news is I
5 really appreciate both of these groups enjoy that the other group is passionate about their
6 sport. There's no "we don't like tennis," "we don't like pickleball" going on in this
7 community, which is really great. They both respect each other. The tennis groups
8 obviously like that the current courts are flexible and can be used for both. There are
9 tennis courts painted with pickleball lines, so they do enjoy that. Some of the cons they
10 came up with were the parking issues at Mitchell Park with the increased play that
11 pickleball does bring and can bring. They have big concerns over losing lit tennis courts.
12 The additional tennis court that we are planning will be plumbed for lights but would not
13 be lit with the current funding that's available. Ultimately, they see it as two lost, lit
14 tennis courts, which is a concern for them. They brought up the concern of the handball
15 players. Staff hasn't seen a ton of handball play, but they feel like there is. They've given
16 me some times that they recommend us checking it out. I will commit to doing that.
17 They are just overall concerned with a drop in space for tennis and hurting what they
18 consider to be a strong tennis community here in Palo Alto. Just some next steps that
19 we're considering. Gaining further insight in the use of Mitchell courts 5, 6, and 7. I
20 think they really wanted to make sure that we understood the drop-in rate, which we don't
21 have permit numbers for that. They really think it's important that we understand how
22 many people are just using it first-come-first-serve when pickleball's not there. We feel
23 it's going to be important to meet with that stakeholder group again to see if we can
24 address some of their concerns. Beyond that, we would really get into the larger, general
25 public meetings where we could invite everyone, park users, handball users, all the tennis
26 community, all the pickleball community, anyone that's interested, to come and provide
27 further feedback. At that point, we would bring a more concrete plan back to Parks and
28 Rec. That's the feedback we have and where we are in the plan now. We thought it
29 would be appropriate to come back and give an update.

30 Commissioner LaMere: My only comment or question is in making a designated space
31 for pickleball with these courts, we would be putting in permanent nets. Is that correct?

32 Mr. Howard: That would be the idea.

33 Commissioner LaMere: We would then—these courts would be pickleball only. They
34 could not be used for any other purpose.

35 Mr. Howard: That's correct.

36 Commissioner LaMere: The last thing. I actually would agree with the tennis group to
37 get some accurate drop-in rates. If we're going to lose these courts permanently, to have
38 that would be some good information for us.

1 Mr. Howard: I definitely will do that. It'll have to be rough, visual evidence since we
2 don't have a firm system to do that. Staff will definitely provide some insight into that.

3 Vice Chair Moss: One suggestion is that if they want to do a video camera or something
4 for a couple of months to actually see who's using the field, you can get a temporary one
5 put up there and actually see who's using those courts. I am a little concerned about the
6 permanence of the nets and never, ever being able to go back to tennis. I get the feeling
7 that the tennis players should be sympathetic to the—as tennis players get older, a lot of
8 them become pickleball players. It's for their benefit as well. My only other question is
9 parking. I know that the church behind there has quite a large parking lot. If there was
10 some way that we could make a deal with them or with Abilities United to get more
11 parking, I hate to admit it but the parking lot at Mitchell is very, very full. It made a huge
12 increase with Magical Playground and the new Mitchell Park Library and the Community
13 Center. The parking has really ballooned. If you can find more parking, that would be
14 great.

15 Commissioner Greenfield: This Commission's been pushing the data angle many times
16 before. We really do need some numbers on the current drop-in usage for tennis and for
17 the handball courts. I do agree with David's idea to get a camera in place and figure out
18 how to do some recording and monitor it every hour or something to see what the usage
19 looks like. That may be helpful. We also need some hard data to the extent it's available
20 on the usage by Palo Alto residents of the tennis courts, of the handball courts, and the
21 pickleball courts. We're a bit loopy on the numbers right now. Anything we can
22 do to improve that really makes a significant impact. It'd be nice to know how many
23 tennis court users are from Palo Alto, how many pickleball users are from Palo Alto.
24 You understand the issue, and I understand the problem. On parking, I'm really
25 struggling with the concept that we should limit a community resource due to parking
26 constraints. I appreciate parking is an issue. It's shortsighted to be concerned about the
27 parking right now. We had a similar discussion regarding Rinconada recently. There are
28 all kinds of new development going on there, and the overall plan is not to increase the
29 parking, to allow the neighborhood to absorb it to the extent it's necessary, and also to
30 hopefully move the City towards alternate transportation means as time goes on. I know
31 that might not seem like it'll make such a big dent today, but that's the direction we need
32 to be moving. Adding parking at Mitchell Park is out of the question for a variety of
33 reason. I really struggle with the concept of trying to limit some sort of recreational
34 activity because we're afraid about the parking impact. Regarding the permanent
35 conversion of the courts, I do appreciate the tennis community's concern that that takes
36 away the flexibility. Right now on 5, 6, and 7, the courts can be used for drop-in for
37 tennis or pickleball. I also appreciate the need for permanent, dedicated pickleball courts
38 where you don't have to go to the trouble of loading nets out of a lockbox and setting it
39 up. That's very important. I don't know if maybe a compromise at this point would be to
40 consider permanently dedicating two courts, like 6 and 7. Perhaps 5 would be a court

1 that could go either way and still have the nets available. Finally on a humorous note, if
2 we add another tennis court, what will we number it? You can't put 8 in the middle.
3 Thank you.

4 Commissioner McDougall: The first thing I want to do is thank Adam. The ad hoc has
5 been to meetings with Adam, and we've been to meetings with the racket ball people, and
6 we've been to meetings with the tennis people. Adam has done a marvelous job of
7 allowing the passion of these groups to be expressed, at the same time making sure that
8 we were having a useful and honest discussion and managing the time. I'm very
9 complimentary of what Adam has done. One of my takeaways from the two meetings is
10 the Parks Master Plan and the City's Comprehensive Master Plan make a big point of
11 dealing with the diversity in the City and with the youth and with the aging population,
12 and particularly the whole momentum that people believe there's an aging population and
13 the forecast for youth numbers is actually going down. Both groups recognize that. I
14 think the tennis people conceded that point, that that was an important part of the
15 conversation. I agree with everything Jeff just said about parking. I hope and believe
16 that eventually alternate transportation strategies will help our parking. We shouldn't be
17 limiting anything we do today because of current parking. In terms of data, I've been as
18 enthusiastic as anybody about collecting data, but I would suggest that this is a case
19 where, number one, I'd be very careful about cameras in terms of privacy. That's a risk.
20 This is a case for some sort of sampling technique. You don't need to have 24 hours a
21 day for 6 weeks to come up with the data. We should be able to find sampling techniques
22 the same way the population census for the whole United States is done by counting 1
23 percent of our people and comes up with an accurate number. I would encourage you to
24 take that approach. I would encourage the Commission to believe that we can do some
25 appropriate sampling. Thank you.

26 Chair Reckdahl: Anecdotally, when I walk by there, I hardly ever see tennis players
27 playing on those courts. They really seem to me—this is not scientific—underused. I do
28 agree with Jeff. We're data driven. It'd be easy enough to get a camera, have that camera
29 just take a picture every 5 minutes, and have a stream of pictures, and then have someone
30 strip through there and count how many times that was used during the day. If you could
31 do that for a week, you'd have a really good idea what kind of coverage you'd have. The
32 same with handball courts. If you put them high enough, one camera could cover all
33 three tennis courts, and one could do all the handball. I think we'd have our answer very
34 quickly. My gut, without any backup, is that we should just put the pickleball in and give
35 it a shot. If it doesn't work out, we always can go back to tennis. The conversion from
36 one to the other is not that expensive. I would err on the side of putting the pickleball
37 courts in, but we should also do our best to get some data so we're making an informed
38 choice and not just a knee-jerk decision.

39 Vice Chair Moss: Anecdotally, when I always go by there, I always see tennis players.

1 Chair Reckdahl: Really? On those courts?

2 Vice Chair Moss: Yes.

3 Chair Reckdahl: On the ones close to the Library, I see those are heavily used. These
4 aren't used that often. Maybe it's a sampling error. That's why we need the cameras.

5 Ms. O'Kane: Chair, could I respond to the cameras comment?

6 Chair Reckdahl: Okay.

7 Ms. O'Kane: We'd have to run that through our City Attorney's Office because there's
8 definitely privacy issues. We can't print any photos that have a child in them unless we
9 get written consent from a parent. We'd have to run that through the Attorney's Office
10 before we did something like that.

11 Chair Reckdahl: If you could have them defocused enough—all we need to do know is
12 are there blobs on there or not. It doesn't have to be a fine, high-resolution camera. It
13 can just be very low resolution.

14 Commissioner McDougall: I think we should listen to staff advice. I think it's a very
15 high risk.

16 Chair Reckdahl: We can talk to the Attorney and get their opinion on it. From a
17 practical standpoint, if you had a fuzzy picture, I don't see any danger, but I'm not a
18 lawyer. Yes, we should check with them. Jeff's point is that we make all these decisions
19 driven by data, and we have no data at all on how much our tennis courts are used. It's all
20 anecdotal, and that's just not a good decision-making process.

21 Ms. O'Kane: We could do a little bit of research on the best way to get data in a situation
22 like this, that's representative of the number of users that might be there in a day. We can
23 do a little bit of research on that and find the best way.

24 Commissioner Greenfield: I was just going to throw out if we did get clearance to do
25 some sort of video surveillance, I suppose—bad way to put it—sampling once an hour,
26 once a half hour would be plenty. You want to limit your samples because you're going
27 to have to check the samples.

28 Mr. Howard: We're going to need to put someone there to take the sample. We have to
29 think about staff time and when they can be there. We'd have to be careful with that. Not
30 careful, but think through how we're going to even take a sampling.

31 Chair Reckdahl: I would think you would have a camera mounted that automatically
32 would take a picture every X minutes. At the end of the day, you take the card out and

1 put it on your computer. Even if you did it every 5 minutes, you're talking—that's only
2 12 pictures an hour. You could go through a full day very quickly.

3 Mr. Howard: The camera is a different situation. They were talking specifically about
4 staff doing it. I'm sure we will have some legal questions we need to ask before we even
5 know if it's a possibility for a camera.

6 Vice Chair Moss: The other option is just to have a sign-up sheet. You do have one
7 now, I think, not for reservations but just who's using the court.

8 Commissioner McDougall: I think we've suggested to staff that we'd like any way that
9 they could get any kind of numbers. I think we should let them investigate this.

10 Chair Reckdahl: The path forward then, what's the timeline?

11 Mr. Howard: I haven't proposed a timeline on this mostly because we have such a short
12 timeline on the temporary lighting. I was trying to get through that. I would probably
13 start in the new year collecting the data. Maybe it's a month-long collection of weekdays,
14 weekends, different times, depending on how we can go about collecting that data. From
15 there, I think the ad hoc can meet again to come through with a little bit more clear of a
16 plan. We're excited to do it with the funding that we have in this fiscal year. That's
17 somewhat of a determinant of how quickly we're trying to do it.

18 Chair Reckdahl: How much money do we have for this?

19 Mr. Howard: I think the project ...

20 Chair Reckdahl: Is this a CIP or how do we have the money?

21 Mr. Howard: Daren can help answer that because this is some money that was set aside
22 for these particular courts.

23 Mr. Anderson: Daren Anderson, Open Space, Parks, and Golf. We have an existing CIP
24 we use for resurfacing tennis courts and basketball courts throughout the City. It's
25 ongoing and funded each year. The funding we have now is allocated for Mitchell Park
26 to do these. We've got adequate funding to do the conversion for pickleball and
27 potentially do that new tennis court as well.

28 Chair Reckdahl: Thank you. We'll probably be a couple months away from an update on
29 this.

30 Mr. Howard: That would be my guess, yeah.

31 Chair Reckdahl: Thank you.

1 **5. Baylands Boardwalk Improvements Project Update.**

2 [This item was postponed.]

3 **6. Other Ad Hoc Committee and Liaison Updates.**

4 Chair Reckdahl: Next is Ad Hoc Committee and Liaison Updates. Does anyone have
5 any updates in the ad hoc? We did have a CIP ad hoc meeting with Daren. What's the
6 timeline when we submit this?

7 Mr. Anderson: The CIPs are being submitted now. We're getting information into our
8 OMB, Office of Management and Budget, for review. There will be several iterations of
9 review at different levels. What we anticipate is that we've put something forward, a
10 certain package of programs very similar to what we have in the existing 5-year budget.
11 Very little was added in the way of new programs, just some shuffling around with a very
12 minor change here and there. What happens is we put that forward, and then we get
13 feedback once OMB has looked at all the City's capital budgets. Usually there's some
14 horse trading and tweaks that have to be done, so we'll see. I think that's the next stage. I
15 anticipate getting some information back from OMB probably in the next month or two
16 and then consulting with the ad hoc again to say, "Here's what we're hearing. Which way
17 do you want to go?"

18 Chair Reckdahl: Thank you.

19 Commissioner LaMere: I do have a question with Kristen as far as guidance or updates
20 on the Master Plan funding that we're looking at or working on and any of the
21 information with bonds or consultants or what direction we're going there and help you
22 may need from the ad hoc going forward.

23 Ms. O'Kane: We met with the City Manager's Office to go over the different options for
24 funding the Master Plan and the relative costs of funding the high priority projects and
25 programs over the nearer term. What was decided is on Monday, January 22nd—that's at
26 a Council meeting—there's going to be a discussion with Council and the Office of
27 Management and Budget on an infrastructure funding plan, which includes a funding gap
28 for our infrastructure plan. What we decided to do so that Council has a full picture of all
29 the different funding needs within the City is that we would roll that conversation into
30 that Council item. They will not only see what could be a funding need in Public Works
31 or for roads or the airport or utilities but also the entire picture. Council is going to have
32 to make some tough decisions on what gets funded in the next few years because of this
33 funding gap. It is really important that they see that full picture. After that Council
34 meeting on January 22nd, we'll have a better idea of what our next steps are as a
35 Commission.



1 Chair Reckdahl: That'd be very good. The 22nd, that's still a month away.

2 Ms. O'Kane: Right. Council's on break right now. When that staff report is completed
3 and posted, I'll make sure I send the link so everyone can read that and get the big picture
4 on the City's needs.

5 Chair Reckdahl: It's hard because it's a complicated issue. You want to do enough prep
6 work, but if we wait too long we've painted ourselves in a corner. At least, we're on the
7 docket. Anymore updates? Then we will move on.

8 **VI. COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS**

9 Chair Reckdahl: Comments and Announcements. Do we have any comments and
10 announcements?

11 **VII. TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR JANUARY 23, 2018 MEETING**

12 Chair Reckdahl: Tentative agenda for the 23rd of January.

13 Ms. O'Kane: We will have the Baylands Boardwalk update. This is just a—you saw the
14 staff report. It's just a follow-up from the last time that Public Works was here. There
15 were some open-ended questions on both CEQA, the handrails, and some design features.
16 Public Works will be coming back in January to provide an update on those. That was
17 intended to go to Council in January, but it's likely going to be postponed. That is not the
18 critical path at this point. The critical path is getting the permits from the regulatory
19 agencies, and those applications have already been submitted. That process is already
20 underway. The other items we have tentatively are the update on the Buckeye Creek
21 hydrology study. Daren's nodding yes, that will be an action item. A Boulware Park
22 PIO, is that ... I'm not sure why that's ... That's a possibility. We do have this park
23 dedication activities prohibited item that I admit I keep pushing. I'm hoping we can come
24 in January. I might have one of our Attorneys with me to help answer some legal
25 questions. I need to make sure that he's available to attend as well.

26 Chair Reckdahl: I think that'd be really good. Have they done prep work so they can get
27 us any time or do we have to—is there some risk that they'll say they're not ready in
28 January?

29 Ms. O'Kane: There is that risk.

30 Commissioner McDougall: Kristen, on that subject I can tell you that I was accosted in
31 the post office today by a Council Member on that subject.

32 Chair Reckdahl: About what you can do in dedicated parkland? Especially with the golf
33 course.



1 Commissioner McDougall: And the issue of undedicated parks as well. That list that
2 was apparently given to the Commission a year ago or whatever.

3 Chair Reckdahl: We can't really decide on whether we want to dedicate this undedicated
4 parkland until we really understand what that means, how does it tie our hands and how
5 doesn't it tie our hands. Daren, can you give us a comment about the whole—when we
6 had the Cubberley field rented out to Palantir? I was told Palantir could not rent out the
7 golf course. Is that correct? Because that was dedicated parkland.

8 Mr. Anderson: I believe the request was they wanted to use the parking lot. We've had
9 that request before. Yes, that was the rationale, that it was dedicated parkland including
10 the parking lot. That's part of the reason; although—I think Kristen might have some
11 comments on that too—we're exploring other opportunities that might allow for that kind
12 of thing.

13 Ms. O'Kane: That's correct. The reason they were attracted to Cubberley is because it
14 wasn't dedicated parkland. That's why the activity could occur there. Like Daren said,
15 there was also the issue last summer of the San Francisco mime troop. They were not
16 allowed to come to Mitchell Park, which is also dedicated parkland. That was a different
17 situation in that it wasn't commercial, but it was soliciting donations, which is not
18 allowed in the Code. I'm in the process of speaking with our Attorney's Office and
19 working with them to see how we might make some changes to that Code to allow very
20 specific activities to occur on dedicated parkland. I don't want to get into a discussion
21 really here tonight because it's not an agenda item. We can talk about it more in January.

22 Chair Reckdahl: With the golf course, that's a prime location if you have some big event
23 that someone wants to put a tent up for 2 days. There are no immediate neighbors, at
24 least not residential neighbors. It would be nice to have that as an opportunity to take the
25 pressure off other places that are dedicated. Another thing was public art. We had talked
26 about having a dog park at Bowden Park, and public art was in the way. There was some
27 discussion about moving public art and what kind of constraints if there's public art there.
28 Could we put a fence around it? Could we move it? What kind of restrictions do we
29 have associated with public art? I'm not sure who answers that.

30 Mr. Anderson: When we started looking at Bowden, for example, I met with the
31 Manager of Public Art, and we talked about those two pieces at that particular site and
32 what options there were. There's some flexibility, but there are VARA rights, meaning
33 there are rights to artists on where their art is. Some of those go on in perpetuity. We've
34 dealt with that in a few other parks, Byxbee being one where these rights were—when
35 that was put in place, they last forever ostensibly. I believe that's the case for those two
36 pieces there. There's still some flexibility, though. I think that particular Manager of that
37 program was willing to work with us, but there were still things where she said, "No, you
38 can't put a fence around it. That wouldn't work. You can only move it in certain areas.



1 We're really not intended to." For example, you might remember the one piece—there's a
2 series of boxes that are welded together. The other one's the baby car running thing.
3 That baby car element is very much tied to the transportation theme across the street from
4 the park, where you've got the train tracks and all that. It was intended to be connected to
5 there. Moving it would alter the intention of the art. There are some of those things. I
6 still think it's possible. It's just going to be a negotiation. The other big ...

7 Chair Reckdahl: What about making a higher pillar so you could have it in the dog park
8 and the dog ...

9 Mr. Anderson: Possibly. I had ideas of doing landscaping around it. I was willing to do
10 just about anything I could because it was such a great spot for a dog park. The moving
11 one art piece was so expensive that it shot my budget at the time. I think I had \$50,000
12 from a different funding source to throw at it. It wasn't enough. I couldn't build the park
13 and move that art piece. Now, we've got a dedicated funding source that's larger. We
14 could look at it again and see if there's a way around it. Once we get Peers in the ground
15 and construction going, the dog park ad hoc meets, and we start talking about where we
16 do the next one. If we choose not to restore existing ones but look at new ones, Bowden's
17 a great place to look at. There are a couple others as well. That's where we dig deep into
18 what will it take to move that art to make it work.

19 Chair Reckdahl: That'd be a good time to have that conversation.

20 Commissioner Greenfield: Just following up on that for the dog parks. Budget-wise we
21 would be 2 years out for the next dog park?

22 Mr. Anderson: Yes. Every other year in the capital budget there's \$150,000 for the dog
23 parks.

24 Vice Chair Moss: When do you think we would have another discussion about the
25 Baylands Comprehensive Plan?

26 Mr. Anderson: I think now is probably the right time. Maybe the February meeting,
27 something like that. I just need to get together with the consultant, make sure it's on their
28 calendar, and fits in with their budget plan, when we plan to give updates. It seems to me
29 a very appropriate time to come and give an update.

30 Vice Chair Moss: When do you think we could have another look at the AT&T property
31 and the Fry's property and the Boulware? I heard that Boulware was coming up for
32 renewal in 2018.

33 Mr. Anderson: I have to look at the calendar program. I'm sorry I don't have it in front
34 of me right now.

1 Vice Chair Moss: I certainly wouldn't want you to spend a lot of money on Boulware
2 until this issue has been resolved.

3 Chair Reckdahl: Boulware is just so out of date.

4 Mr. Anderson: It needs renovation almost independent of anything else, but I understand
5 what you're saying. If we were to add something, you'd want it to be complementary.
6 There are a number of elements in that that need rejuvenation. It's definitely not funded
7 in FY '18, which is our current fiscal year. That's for sure. I'll have to get back to you on
8 the precise date.

9 Chair Reckdahl: Let's talk about this next meeting then.

10 Commissioner Greenfield: Is there any update on when something might be happening at
11 Cubberley in terms of grander plans?

12 Ms. O'Kane: Our RFP closed yesterday. We are in the process of looking at—our
13 contracts department is looking to make sure the proposals met all the qualification
14 requirements. We'll start looking at those proposals and see what we have. We only
15 received two, which surprised me actually because at our pre-proposal meetings—we had
16 a meeting and a phone call—we had quite a few consultants listen to the project. I was
17 surprised that there were only two. We'll have to decide after we look at those if we want
18 to pursue reviewing those proposals or if we want to go back out and try and get some
19 more.

20 Commissioner Greenfield: Thank you.

21 Vice Chair Moss: You went pretty quickly past the Baylands Boardwalk because we're
22 going to move that to next time. I was one of the ones that talked about the rail on the
23 top. I now understand that the rail on the top does work pretty well for people who are
24 using it for support. I have to go Alviso Marina, and I haven't had a chance to do it. I
25 encourage anybody—send somebody on the staff to actually play with it at the Alviso
26 Marina because it works better than I thought. Rumor has it that it works better than I
27 thought. I don't want you to spend a lot of brain cycles on it if it really works. You
28 talked about an extra handrail. If somebody could go out there and look at it or
29 something, that would be great.

30 Chair Reckdahl: My understanding is there's a rail under the roller, so you can still use it
31 as a rail. I've not seen it in person.

32 Mr. Anderson: I'd be glad to go look. I'm very interested in that.

33 Chair Reckdahl: Kristen.

1 Ms. O'Kane: I just wanted to add the calendar idea of future agenda topics was not
2 intended to be month-to-month but more of a big picture of the year. At any time you're
3 interested in hearing about anything, community gardens or one of our other programs
4 that we do, if you bring that to our attention, we can find a place in the whole calendar of
5 where that might fit in. Then, we can see the big picture and see where we might have
6 months that are too heavy or too light. I'd like to encourage that feedback. We can do
7 that a little bit more in our retreat, which we'll need to schedule in the coming year as
8 well. We should keep that in mind.

9 Commissioner Greenfield: Sometime back we had talked about potentially getting a
10 presentation from the Urban Forestry Department. I wondered if we could consider that.

11 Ms. O'Kane: Sure. We can speak with them and find a time.

12 Commissioner Greenfield: Also, is it too early to be talking about our retreat now?
13 Potentially work on getting something scheduled earlier in the new year than we did last
14 year.

15 Ms. O'Kane: I don't think it's too early to start. We could put some dates together and
16 send out a poll to everyone and start getting some dates on the calendar. That's a great
17 idea.

18 Chair Reckdahl: It'd be nice to reserve the date ahead of time.

19 Mr. Anderson: A quick question for you on the topic of the Urban Forestry presentation.
20 Anything in particular?

21 Commissioner Greenfield: Just some general information about what the Urban Forestry
22 Department does and its synergies with Parks and Rec, how we can be supportive, and
23 what information would be useful to them. Nothing specific, starting with a general
24 overview and see what comes from that.

25 Vice Chair Moss: A tie-in to that process of draining the basements of new houses, the
26 urban forest would be impacted by that. Also, if you go to the Cubberley field, you'll see
27 that several of the redwood trees are dying, specifically the ones that are not being
28 watered by neighbors. They've evidently stopped watering it or the drought really got to
29 them. The last thing is I predict that we're going to have another drought year. You
30 heard it here first. It's a constant discussion point regarding the urban forest.

31 Mr. Anderson: I'll be glad to pass that on. My staff does the irrigation for the redwoods
32 at Cubberley. I'll definitely look into that. We're not intentionally cutting it off. If
33 there's a problem—lots of times drought impacts are realized 3-5 years later. There are
34 also independent of the drought strange things going on with the redwoods around, not

1 just at Cubberley, at Magical Forest, Rinconada, and throughout the City. It'd be a great
2 thing for the Urban Forester to discuss with you and share some special issues like that.

3 Vice Chair Moss: My personal opinion on those redwoods is that they used to be watered
4 from the lawn, from the oval, natural turf field. When you put in artificial turf, most of
5 the water supply for those particular ones came from there and is no longer there. The
6 only ones that still survive are the ones that are getting water from the near neighbors,
7 who are watering their backyards.

8 Chair Reckdahl: The one thing I wanted to say is echo what Kristen said. If you have
9 something that you'd like to hear about, it's nice for her to have a list because sometimes
10 there are cancellations and sometimes there are two open spots. If we have a list of
11 things that would be nice to hear about, she may be able to turn that around and fill up the
12 agenda better and have more consistent agendas.

13 **VIII. ADJOURNMENT**

14 Meeting adjourned on motion by Commissioner Greenfield and second by Vice Chair
15 Moss at 8:51 p.m. Passed 5-0, Cribbs and McCauley absent