HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION Thursday, November 8, 2007 Council Conference Room Palo Alto Civic Center 250 Hamilton Avenue 7:00 PM ROLL CALL: Commissioners Present: Atito, Blum, Khan, Mendoza, Mora, Savage Council Liaison: LaDoris Cordell Staff: Kathy Espinoza-Howard, Amy Johnson **ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:** Mr. Abraham, Palo Alto resident spoke about searches as reported in Palo Alto Police Department quarterly reports. He presented charts which included data described for years 2005, 2006. He spoke about the data being on a downward slide. The conclusion is that there is an imbalance in the data. Mr. Aram James, a Palo Alto resident, spoke about officers who he believed as of yet, had not been punished for crimes they committed. He said that he wanted to see Officer Powers and Police Chief Johnson resign. He also spoke about how he opposed the use of tasers. He showed posters that he was co-maker of.

Mr. Petersen-Perez, spoke about how he spent ¾ of his life in community service. He spoke about how his civil rights were violated by the Police Chief because she purchased a telephone and plugged it into his telephone system. He is an advocate against police misconduct and claimed that nothing is being done about it. He is not anti-police but felt that they have sweeping constitutional powers and their own separate Bill of Rights. He spoke about how Chief Johnson should be removed from her position and how he wanted to see more auditors with subpoena powers and who review and make recommendations to improve the department.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Commissioner Mora made a motion to approve the minutes as corrected for the July 12, 2007 meeting. Seconded by Commissioner Savage. AYES: unanimous.

Commissioner Savage made a motion to approve the minutes as corrected for the September 15, 2007 meeting. Seconded by Commissioner Blum. AYES: unanimous.

AGENDA CHANGES, REQUESTS, and DELETIONS:

None

BUSINESS

1. DISCUSSION ABOUT CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN MYNMAR (BURMA)

Ms. Barbara Slone, a Palo Alto resident urged the HRC to adopt a resolution concerning Mynmar.

Ms. Saw Htun, a Cupertino resident spoke about the revolution and protests in Burma. She handed out information to the commissioners. She spoke about what Buddhist Monks do for their community and how their government prosecutes them. The civil unrest and protests are fueled by government cruelty. Burma is one of the poorest countries in the world with a corrupt government. She asked that Palo Alto put sanctions on corporations in Palo Alto who do business with the Burmese Government. She

Commissioner Atito asked for Commissioner's comments and discussion.

concluded by asking that we use our liberty to promote theirs.

Commissioner Blum explained that he sympathized with what is going on and has been following the issue. He commented that there are too many items in the resolution that he is not aware of; he knows that the resolution will be reviewed carefully by the City Attorney. He doesn't think this resolution will be passed by the City Council. He said that it would need to be reworked before he can vote on it.

Commissioner Mora asked which other cities passed a similar resolution. She said that she agreed with Commissioner Blum and said that this is a disturbing issue and she would like to see a resolution that the HRC could pass. She agreed that the wording needs to be changed. She suggested that one or two commissioners work to reword the resolution.

Council Member Cordell suggested some changes. She would like to see it reworked and given to the City Council before the new council members are appointed.

The commissioners discussed when they would be able to discuss this further and the possibility of sending it to the City Council.

Commissioner Mendoza and Khan agreed to work with Barbara Slone on rewording the resolution.

2. CONSIDERATION OF MODIFICATION OF POLICE DATA COLLECTION

Mr. Abraham spoke in favor of data collection. He expressed his concern that African American people are stopped more often than white people in Palo Alto, even if it is occurring subconsciously. He asked for the data collection to continue because it is important and because video tapes cannot be viewed by the public.

Mr. Petersen-Perez spoke about how important it is for private auditors to review financial data and how people are facing prison sentences because of fraudulent financial matters. He stated that the data collection should continue and that it should be reviewed independently so that police officers can be put in prison. He believes that action is not being taken against rogue police officers and because of this, the Police Chief and City Manager should be fired.

 Mr. Aram James thanked the previous speakers Mr. Abraham and Mr. Petersen-Perez for their comments and said that he agreed with them. He said that we need more data and that the Police Chief perpetuates the problem by not prosecuting officers for misconduct. He spoke about past cases and read from an article that referred to the absence of punishment for officers who act improperly. He spoke about how nothing is being done.

Commissioner Savage made the suggestion for the HRC to limit police data collection to one quarter per year. She explained that the numbers have stayed the same and that the continual collection of data is not cost or time effective for staff. She explained that many positive steps have taken place such as the independent auditor review, and video cameras in patrol cars. She explained that currently data collection takes 200 hours per quarter.

Council member Cordell asked Mr. Gennaco, the independent auditor for his opinion on the matter.

Mr. Gennaco said that he believes it is a thorny issue. In every city, there is a perception with some people that individuals are not being treated fairly. The collection is helpful, however it has frustrated more folks than it has resolved anything. Once the data is collected there are many actions which can affect the data which is another issue. Mobility is another issue, due to driving patterns. The mere collection could be seen to provide some level of transparency. The question remains how to interpret the data for the public. A better protocol would be to look at patterns and take a wider perspective. He said that he is concerned about discontinuing data collection.

Commissioner Blum said that he raised this issue a few years ago. The data is confusing and we haven't figured out what to do with it yet. The national trend is to collect more data not less. He said that he wondered if more could be done electronically to save with the expense. He suggested that reporting by using a few graphs and charts could be explored. He said that he is concerned about the message it sends to the minorities in the community if data collection was reduced. He said that he would feel better about reducing it, if things were getting better and recent issues had not taken place as well as the video cameras in patrol cars were on 24/7. He explained that his personal perspective is to abbreviate the reports and then review the process again in a year. If there haven't been any more issues in that time he might be convinced.

Commissioner Mendoza spoke about how he had not heard one reason why to stop collecting the data. He said that the data is not easy to understand, he has many questions when he reviews the reports, but is not able to do anything about it. He said that finding an effective way to review the data is the question.

Commissioner Khan said that she does not think it is a good idea to stop collecting and that doing it quarterly is not enough. If the data is not useful now, it won't be useful in a month. We need to change how the data is collected and analyzed. She recommends modifying how the data is collected and what to collect.

Commissioner Savage stated that there is more transparency currently. A random sampling will give the entire picture. She said that she met with Commissioners Atito and Mora.

Commissioner Atito said that he has not seen the Police make changes to correct problems. He wants to work with them to collect data, and to understand the issues of costs and time. He does not support the idea of limiting the collection of data.

Commissioner Mora asked for clarification about whether the data is referred back to or not, because

- 148 Chief Johnson said that she looked at the last number of citations to see if there is a propensity to site 149 racially and they do not refer back to the data collected.
- 151 Chief Johnson explained that there is nothing to benchmark the data with. The data is presented to the public and the HRC. It is not utilized. They only use it to look for changes.
- 154 Commissioner Mendoza commented that not every incident of profiling goes reported.
- 156 Chief Johnson explained that it is a frustration of hers that if people don't report it, how can she prove it 157 is occurring?
- Mr. Gennaco commented that it is a common problem for most agencies. There is no methodic or systemic review of the data done, except by individuals like Mr. Abraham.
- 162 Council member Cordell commented that the issue is finding a balance. To help instill trust is a great value to her.
- 165 Council member Cordell made the suggestion to continue the same method of data collection however 166 the reporting would be done once from a random sampling of the data collected. The officers would 167 continue to collect data without knowing when the data will be reviewed and/or included in the random 168 sampling for reporting purposes.
- Ms. Cheryl Contois suggested that in addition to the next two quarters data, she will do a random sampling.
- 173 Commissioner Atito, Savage and Mora will continue to work with Police staff on this suggestion.

3. DISCUSSION OF CODE OF CONDUCT

150

153

155

158

161

164

169

172

174175

176177

178179

180

183

186

189

192

195

- Commissioner Blum said he and Commissioner Mora worked on a draft code of conduct. He explained that they would like to add it to the public comment cards.
- There was a discussion about why it was needed and whether or not it might be a violation of free speech.
- 184 Commissioner Blum explained that it can make communication more effective and it can help to build a better community by sending a message of what is important.
- 187 Commissioner Mendoza said that it is important to hear everything a person says, no matter how colorful or awkward the subject matter is. It falls on the HRC to extract what the message is.
- 190 Mr. Aram James, a Palo Alto resident said that a Code of Conduct feels like a clear violation of free 191 speech.
- Mr. Abraham, a Palo Alto resident said that he agreed with Commissioner Mendoza that the problem lies in execution. He said that it is appropriate to give a gentle reminder asking for summarizing.
- 196 Council member Cordell said that she didn't feel it was a violation of free speech, but she recommended

some wording changes and that it be sent to the City Attorney for review. Mr. Peterson-Perez said that he agreed with Mr. Aram James and that his language should not be suppressed. Commissioner Blum made a motion that the HRC approve the guidelines as amended and to ask the City Attorney to review it. Seconded by Commissioner Mora. AYES: 5 NAYS: 1. 4. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES None 5. REPORTS FROM OFFICIALS: A. Commissioner Reports: Commissioner Blum reported on Community Law Night at Cubberley. It was very successful and there was a good turn out of attorneys and the public. He thanked Hobees Restaurant for providing food. B. Council Buddy Reports: None C. Council Liaison Report: Council member Cordell spoke about the vote to rename the city plaza which won by a vote of 5 to 3. She said that letters have been written and sent to the papers from individuals who did not support the change. She is concerned that it may go to the City Council for reconsideration. She asked the HRC to send letters to the paper in support of the decision. D. Staff Liaison Report: Kathy Espinoza-Howard asked for extra copies to be made when bringing materials for other commissioners. She also reported that two items from the HRC are on the agenda for the Policy and Services meeting on Wednesday at 6pm. **ANNOUNCEMENTS** None **CALL FOR AGENDA ITEMS** Continued Discussion about Civil Rights Violations in Mynmar (Burma) Consideration of Modification of Police Data Collection Discussion of Code of Conduct

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 pm