City of Palo Alto

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance Committee

DATE: May 17, 2016

SUBJECT: Recommended Changes and Additional Information Pertaining to the Fiscal Year 2017 Proposed Budget

This memorandum transmits additional information requested by the Finance Committee and/or provided at staff’s behest in regards to the Fiscal Year 2017 Proposed Budget.

Recommended Changes to the FY 2017 Proposed Budget and/or Finance Committee Motions

Street Trees Contract Increase vs. Cycle Time

(Finance Committee Budget Hearing May 12th; Agenda Item #3b)

At the May 12th Finance Committee meeting, additional details about the tree trimming contract and potential cycle times was requested. Below is a chart detailing the number of trees that would be pruned annually based on a 7 year, 10 year, and 15 year cycle time and the annual and total cost for a three year contract with each of these cycle times. In FY 2017 the unit cost for a tree is $128 and this would increase to $140 and $150 in FY 2018 and FY 2019 respectively based on a population of approximately 43,100 public trees (35,400 street trees and 7,700 park, golf, and open space trees). The current FY 2017 Proposed Operating Budget recommends movement from current service delivery levels of a 7 year cycle to a 15 year cycle. The Finance Committee tentatively approved a motion on May 12th to place a reduction in the cycle time down to 10 years in the “parking lot” for further discussion at the Budget Wrap-up on May 23rd in the amount of $135,000. Staff recommends amending the “parking lot” adjustment to $170,000 to align with the proposals outlined below.

3 Year Tree Trimming Service Contract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 Year Cycle</td>
<td>5,380</td>
<td>$1,465,785</td>
<td>$1,567,150</td>
<td>$1,669,515</td>
<td>$4,702,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Year Cycle</td>
<td>3,415</td>
<td>$1,148,885</td>
<td>$1,228,930</td>
<td>$1,303,145</td>
<td>$3,680,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Year Cycle</td>
<td>1,978</td>
<td>$978,930</td>
<td>$1,027,750</td>
<td>$1,087,795</td>
<td>$3,094,475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electric Fund Capital Improvement Project: Facility Relocation for Caltrain Modernization Project
(Finance Committee Budget Hearing May 17th, Item #2.a.ii.)

Subsequent to the printing of the FY 2017-2021 Proposed Capital Improvement Plan, a new project was identified by the Utilities Department that is recommended to be added to the five-year plan with $150,000 recommended to be budgeted in FY 2017. This project is detailed in Attachment – B, and provides funding for the relocation of overhead Utility Electric and Fiber Optic lines to provide adequate clearance from new electric lines being installed by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain) to convert their diesel powered commuter trains to electric power. Staff recommends that the Finance Committee make a motion to include this project in the final recommended FY 2017-2021 CIP with corresponding decreases in the Distribution Reserve in the Electric Fund.

Art in Public Places (Finance Committee Budget Hearing May 17th, Item #3)

Subsequent to the printing of the FY 2017-2021 Proposed Capital Improvement Plan, an error in the calculation of the Art in Public Spaces capital project (AC-86017) was identified. Replacement pages are included as Attachment – C, which contains an updated project page. Funding levels are recommended to be adjusted as outlined in the table below offset by adjustments to the Infrastructure Reserve Balance.

### Recommended Changes to Art in Public Places Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2017-2021 Proposed CIP (April 25, 2016)</td>
<td>$579,840</td>
<td>$613,249</td>
<td>$227,612</td>
<td>$199,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended</td>
<td>$423,513</td>
<td>$212,450</td>
<td>$880,700</td>
<td>$240,012</td>
<td>$125,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>($156,327)</td>
<td>($400,779)</td>
<td>$653,088</td>
<td>$40,612</td>
<td>$125,958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information Pertaining to the FY 2017 Proposed Budget

Planning Transportation Commission Findings
(Finance Committee Budget Hearing FY 2017 Proposed Capital Improvement Plan)

On May 17th, the Planning Transportation Commission reviewed the FY 2017-2021 Proposed Capital Improvement Plan for compliance with the City’s 1998-2010 Comprehensive Plan. Per Section 19.04.040 of the Palo Alto Municipal Code

> The Planning Commission shall submit an annual report to the council regarding the capital improvement program, which shall review each project for its conformity to the master plan; review the programs as a whole in order to suggest any improvement in economy or efficiency which might be effected through the combining of various projects; and suggest any needed improvements which do not appear in the program.

Attached is the letter from the Commission (Attachment – D) outlining their findings in association with the compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. Due to the timing of the PTC meeting schedule and the Finance Committee Budget Hearing schedule, full minutes from this meeting are anticipated to be
transmitted to the full City Council as part of the Adopted of the FY 2017 Budget, agendized for June 13, 2016.

**Zero Waste Coordinator to Environmental Specialist Reclassification**  
*(Finance Committee Budget Hearing May 12th; Agenda Item #3b)*

In accordance with the SEIU MOA that was ratified by the City Council effective April 16, 2016, the salary difference between a Zero Waste Coordinator and an Environmental Specialist is approximately $11,000 from top-step to top-step. Factored into this difference and included in all the FY 2017 Proposed Budget assumptions include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Effective Upon Ratification April 16, 2016</th>
<th>Effective Date: December 1, 2016</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Wage Increase</td>
<td>Market Adjustment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Waste Coordinator</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>6.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Wage Adopted FY 2016 Budget = $83,262</td>
<td>$85,280</td>
<td>$88,608</td>
<td>$5,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Specialist</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>7.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Wage Adopted FY 2016 Budget = $93,454</td>
<td>$96,200</td>
<td>$100,485</td>
<td>$7,031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With regards to the specific reclassification of a Zero Waste Coordinator to an Environmental Specialist as recommended in the Refuse Fund (FY 2017 Proposed Operating Budget Page 366), the total difference of salaries and benefits is approximately $8,000. (This reflects the costing for a specific position and incumbent all in terms of changing that position from the FY 2017 costing assumptions for a the Zero Waste Coordinator level to the FY 2017 cost assumptions for an Environmental Specialist). This difference includes:

- The marginal salary cost for a specific higher level position with an incumbent employee over the costs of a lower level position
- Increased variable benefit costs (Pension, Medicare, etc.) for the higher level position based on a higher salary level.

If one were to look at the year-over-year increases from FY 2016 budgeted salaries to FY 2017 budgeted salaries, the difference would be the combination of both the MOA approved increases, and the marginal salary and benefit increases from the lower level job classification to the higher level job classification described above.

In addition, when comparing FY 2016 budgeted salaries to FY 2017 budgeted salaries it should be noted that in FY 2016, funding associated with general wage increases for positions was allocated in a salary reserve, and not budgeted directly in departmental budgets due to ongoing negotiations. In FY 2017, all general salary increases for positions have been budgeted in departmental budgets due to the ratification of the MOAs, which causes the year over year salary and benefits change to be larger than just the approved FY 2017 change.
Unfunded Liability & Salary Growth Assumptions (Finance Committee Budget Hearings May 2016)

Throughout the Finance Committee hearings and specifically again as part of the discussion surrounding the reclassification requests during the review of the Public Works' Departmental Budget review, the impacts and assumptions used in the unfunded liability calculation for salary growth have been discussed. Bartel and Associates have provided a quick analysis outlining the percentage growth in salaries assumed and every 1% additional growth implications on the unfunded liability level. Slides 31 and 32 in Attachment – A reflect these calculations. CalPERS makes certain assumptions based on age, years of service on individual pay increases (see slide 31) and assumes a 3% aggregate growth of payroll above the individual increases. The impact figures in slide 32 are for the whole group respectively.
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April 5, 2016
**ADDITIONAL 1% PAY INCREASE**

- **CalPERS Pay Related Assumptions**
  - **Aggregate Payroll Growth**
    - Inflation: 2.75%
    - Increase Above Inflation: 0.25%
    - Total: 3.00%
  - **Individual Pay Increases**
    - Inflation, Step Rate, Merit, Promotion, Longevity, etc.
    - Varies based on hire age & Agency service
    - For example, hired at age 30:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Earlier hire ages have higher anticipated pay increases and later hire ages have lower anticipated pay increases
## Impact of 1% Pay Increase above CalPERS assumption:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actuarial Liability Increase</th>
<th>Contribution Rate Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$2,388,000</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>$847,000</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Based on a 20 year amortization without ramp up/down.
Facility Relocation for Caltrain Modernization Project

Description
This project allows for the relocation of overhead Utility Electric and Fiber Optic lines to provide adequate clearance from new electric lines being installed by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain) to convert their diesel powered commuter trains to electric power.

Justification
The Caltrain Modernization Program consists of converting Caltrain from a diesel-hauled to Electric Multiple Unit trains. The installation of the Overhead Catenary System above the rails to power the trains will cause conflicts with the City's overhead electric and communication lines, as well as those owned by others, e.g. AT&T, Comcast, which cross the railroad tracks. Caltrain is looking to have the overhead lines relocated/removed by August 2018 for an anticipated project completion in 2020. In order to meet Caltrain's timeline, Utilities must obtain funding and start the design process in FY 2017. If lines are placed underground, Utilities will look for opportunities to share the costs with AT&T and Comcast as part of the joint construction agreement between the three entities for installation of underground facilities.

Supplemental Information
Though Electric power for the trains will be provide by PG&E from outside of Palo Alto's city limits, the timely relocation/removal of overhead electric lines will facilitate the conversion of the commuter train system from diesel fuel to electricity, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, noise, and pollution in Palo Alto. Caltrain is receiving funding for the project from several different sources. If Caltrain does not obtain full funding to complete the project, construction fund-
ing approved by Council for the relocation of facilities will be returned to reserves. Revenue projections are based on shared installation underground facilities with AT&T and Comcast.

## Expenditure Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>Prior Years</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY2016 Est.</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>5 Year CIP Total</th>
<th>Beyond 5 Year CIP Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>2,550,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>2,550,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Relationship to Comprehensive Plan
- **Primary Connection:** Element: Natural Environment
- **Section:** Energy
- **Goal:** N-9

### Potential Board/Commission Review
- Utilities Advisory Commission
Art In Public Spaces

Description
This project provides funding for innovative public art for both interior and exterior public spaces in accordance with the City Council-approved Art in City Capital Improvement Projects ordinance.

Justification
This project places art in public buildings, plazas, gateways, parks and other sites frequented by residents and visitors alike. It is expected that public art will enhance community pride and ownership in the City of Palo Alto. Public Art preserves the long-term viability of the built environment and helps set a standard for aesthetic variety and creativity in the community.

Supplemental Information
The Art in City Capital Improvement Projects ordinance states that the City will budget one percent of its CIP budget for public art, with certain exceptions. A base level of funding in the amount of $50,000 is included each year.

Significant Changes
Prior to Fiscal Year 2015, the one percent for public art was included in the budget for each applicable project. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2015, this funding is accounted for within this project. This change provides a clearer picture of how much funding is available for public art, allows for the pooling of funds for more impactful projects, and ensures that the funds are preserved for public art.
Operating Impact

Annual funding in the amount of $30,000 for public art maintenance is allocated to the Community Services Department in the General Fund. This level of funding may be reviewed in light of increased funding for public art resulting from the public art ordinance, and adjustments to the maintenance allocation may be brought forward for City Council consideration in future years, if appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship to Comprehensive Plan</th>
<th>Potential Board/Commission Review:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Connection</td>
<td>Architectural Review Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element: Land Use and Community Design</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section: Public Ways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal: L-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy: L-72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Impact Analysis:
This project is expected to have a possible exemption from CEQA under Section 15301.
May 17, 2016

Honorable City Council
C/o City of Palo Alto
250 Hamilton Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94301

RE: Review of 2017-2021 Proposed Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

The Planning and Transportation Commission (PTC) reviewed the 2017-2021 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) at a special hearing on Tuesday, May 17, 2016 and determined that all of the Capital Improvement Projects included in the 2017-2021 Capital Budget are consistent with the adopted 1998-2010 Comprehensive Plan. The Commission determined that the proposed 2017-2021 Capital Improvement Programs (CIP) are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and forwards the finding to the City Council Finance Committee and the City Council. The Commission approved the motion by a vote of 4-0-3 (Commissioners Tanaka, Alcheck and Downing absent).

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]
Adriane Fine, Chair
Planning and Transportation Commission