Phil,

Some pertinent questions occur to me regarding the RFP respondents' proposed solutions to Palo Alto's and the RWQCP partners' organics management needs:

=> What amounts of each of the three organic inputs will yield a cheaper cost per ton than our export costs?
=> Can you fit a facility meeting those tonnages on the sites indicated in the RFP?
=> What is the cost of such facility in the various financing methods which you support?

With this kind of information, we would know how many cities we'd need to partner with to make our local solution more affordable to all of us.

RFP Responses could consist of meeting two options:
=> Handling only Palo Alto and the RWQCP's organics management needs
=> Handling the amount of organics which optimize for costs, space, and impacts

Respondents should be encouraged to provide for each option all the metrics the RFP asks about (costs, acreage, GHG, outputs, inputs, etc.).

Thanks,
Cedric

On Mon, Jun 18, 2012 at 3:22 PM, Walt and Kay Hays <wkhays@igc.org> wrote:
Hi,

Phil will be presenting his Action Plan to the Council on 7/2. As agreed, ours next meeting with him should be on 7/12 at 5 in his office.

Walt

--
Cedric de La Beaujardiere