## Hackmann, Richard

From: James39@comcast.net

Sent: Monday, December 20, 2010 2:40 PM

To: IBRC

Cc: Ames, Elizabeth; Bobel, Phil; Hackmann, Richard

Subject: two questions

## Colleagues

1. I defer to others re the chart on p 297. Le's suggestion seems clear to me. There is a difference between clarity and wanting entirely different data however formatted.

2. Re subcommittees. The more there are the more overhead to keep track of what each is doing, and then there's the start-up: the charge, the chair, etc. At our second meeting John Melton suggested two subcomms: finance and projects. Such a scheme would result in 8 or so per subcomm; that seems about right to me what with inevitable attendance erosion due to illness, other demands, etc.

I would see "finance" as: fuller understanding of city finances, methods of estimating project costs, internal costing/chargebacks, procurement/bidding issues if any.

I would see "projects" as: establish a benchmark catalog of all projects grouped into a few categories; identify tentative fy's for each project accounting for external factors (e.g.mandates), available funds, etc., identify interrelationships (dependencies) between/among projects.

Personally I would serve on either, but would prefer the "projects" subcom.

Jim Schmidt